

**IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

No.


Noramie Jasmin,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.



**APPLICATION TO THE HON. RUTH BADER GINSBURG FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME
WITHIN WHICH TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED
STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT**

To the Hon. Ruth Bader Ginsburg, Associate Justice of the United States Supreme Court and Circuit Justice for the Second Circuit:

Petitioner Jasmine respectfully requests, pursuant to Rule 13(5) of the Rules of this Court, for an extension of time of 60 days, to and including March 26, 2020, for the filing of a petition for a writ of certiorari to review the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit denying both Petitioner's request for a certificate of appealability (COA) under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) and for reconsideration of such judgment. *See* Exhibit 1, ECF Nos. 75, 78 in *Jasmin v. United States*, No. 19-328 (2d Cir). The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

1. The date within which a petition for writ of certiorari would be due, if not extended, is January 26, 2020. This motion is not filed more than 10 days in advance of that deadline, because Petitioner learned of the Court's on the date of this application.

2. The reason for this request is to provide Petitioner with adequate time and


opportunity to prepare a petition for a writ of certiorari and to consult with counsel regarding the filing of such petition. Petitioner was unaware of the Circuit's denial of the motion to reconsider, and she only learned of such decision by happenstance. Specially, on this date (January 22, 2020), Petitioner consulted with an attorney regarding such matter, and was informed by such attorney that the docket sheet reflected the denial of her *pro se* motion to reconsider. Petitioner never received notice of the Circuit's denial otherwise. As a result of these circumstances, Petitioner counsel has not had sufficient opportunity to perfect a writ of certiorari for this Honorable Court.

3. Petitioner's need for additional time in perfecting the petition for a writ of certiorari cannot be overstated. The case presents a lengthy procedural history, and both the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York and Second Circuit have issued a multitude of decisions relevant to Petitioner's request for habeas relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255.

4. An extension of time will not delay service of Petitioner's sentence or otherwise prejudice respondent.

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner prays that an extension of time to and including March 26, 2020, be granted within which Petitioner may file a petition for a writ of certiorari.

Respectfully Submitted,



Naramie Jasmin (*pro se*)
105 West Street
Spring Valley, NY 10977
845-793-7978
Nonjasn7@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Ronald Jasmin, being over eighteen and not a party to the action, affirm that on January 22, 2020, I served a copy, via United States Postal Service, of the Petitioner's motion for an extension of time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari, to:

Noel J. Francisco
Solicitor General
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Dated: Spring Valley, NY
January 22, 2020

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ronald Jasmin', written over a horizontal line.

Ronald Jasmin
105 West Street
Spring Valley, NY 10977
845-793-7978
Nonjasn7@gmail.com

S.D.N.Y.-W.P.
17-cv-9396
McMahon, C.J.

MANDATE

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 11th day of October, two thousand nineteen.

Present:

Gerard E. Lynch,
Raymond J. Lohier, Jr.,
Richard J. Sullivan,
Circuit Judges.

Noranie Jasmin,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

19-328

United States of America,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appellant, pro se, moves for a certificate of appealability, in forma pauperis status, and vacatur of her sentence. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the motions are DENIED and the appeal is DISMISSED because Appellant has not "made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); *see also Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 327 (2003).

FOR THE COURT:

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe


A True Copy

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk

United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe


MANDATE ISSUED ON 11/12/2019

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT**

At a Stated Term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 28th day of October, two thousand and nineteen,

Present: Robert A. Katzmann,
Chief Judge,
Rosemary S. Pooler,
Peter W. Hall,
Circuit Judges.

Noramie Jasmin,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

United States of America,

Defendant - Appellee.

ORDER

Docket No. 19-328

Appellant, Noramie Jasmin filed a motion for reconsideration and the panel that determined the motion has considered the request.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the motion is denied.

For The Court:

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe,
Clerk of Court

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe 