

Supreme Court of United States.

WICHITA COUNTY. AFFIDAVIT

NELSON ROMERO - V - Lorie Davis Director. 19-40729. #

USDC. NO. 3:13-CV-98.

Pursuant to both state and federal law. 28. USC. 1746 and State law Texas Civil Practice and remedies code 132.00-132.003). Offenders incarcerated in Texas may use an unsworn declaration verification certification oath or affidavit sworn before a notary public..

I NELSON ROMERO. Incarcerated in Texas prison system I.D. # 1127658. Do declare, under penalty of perjury that the statements and documents are true and correct to all of my knowledge and memory.

Day 10th

Month. DECEMBER. Year. 2019..

Sine. Nelson Romero 1127658.

Print. Nelson. Romero. Allred Unit. 2101. FM. 369
N. Iowa Park. TX. 76367.

IN COMPLIANCE with 28. U.S.C. § 1746. I NELSON.
ROMERO. # 1127658 Place MOTION for EXTINCTION
~~and one copy~~. Into U.S. Post Box on. DECEMBER
10th. of the year. 2019.

Nelson Romero. 12-10-19.

VERBATIM HAND COPY OF OPINION AND ORDER 11-13-18

On April 26, 2018 the Court dismissed Romero's petition for a writ of habeas corpus and entered final judgment on September 18th, 2018. Romero filed a motion for Reconsideration Dkt. 52. Because the motion was filed more than twenty-eight days after judgment was entered. The Court ~~consta~~ construes the filing as a motion for relief under Rule 60(b) ~~is an uncommon means for relief~~

see *Omahy v. Schwartz Pharma Inc.* 702 F.3d 177, 182, n. 2, 5th Cir. 2012.

Rule 60(b) is an uncommon means for relief and final judgment's should not be lightly reopened, *Lowry, Dev. LLC v. Groves and Associates Inc.* 690 F.3d 382, 385, 5th Cir. 2012, internal citation alteration, and quotation marks omitted. A Rule 60(b) motion may not be used to raise arguments that could have been raised prior to judgment or to argue new legal theories. *Dial One of the Mid-S. Inc. v. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.* 401 F.3d 603, 607, (5th Cir. 2005)

Romero presents arguments regarding his underlying indictment's and fails to present any argument warranting relief under Rule 60(b) for the reasons stated above the Court ORDERS that the petitioner's motion for Reconsideration Dkt. 52. DENIED. Sine NOVEMBER, 13th, 2018.

District Judge. George C. Hank's. copy by Romero.

12-10-19.

Nelson Romero

