

No.

In The Supreme Court of the United States

Hollie Telford

Petitioner

vs


Ron A. Bradeen, Bradeen Real Estate, Jeff Storm, Jim
Bultsma, Jim Ashmore, Southern Hills Title Company,
Morningside Properties LLP; Heartland Real Estate;
Verylis R. Boyd and Warner C. Boyd

Respondents

Addressed to: Justice Neil Gorsuch

**MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
PETITION FOR CERTIORARI 60 DAYS FROM
DUE DATE OF JANUARY 2, 2010 TO MARCH
5, 2019 PURSUANT TO SUPREME COURT
RULE 13.5**

Hollie Telford
P.O. Box 9262
Rapid City, SD 57709
hollietelford.1@gmail.com



COMES NOW Petitioner Hollie Telford and moves this court for an extension of time until March 5, 2019 in which to file a Petition for Certiorari in the underlying matter.

Petitioner is presently involved in federal habeas corpus proceedings to estop several state criminal prosecutions for alleged driving without a license and based solely upon her home state of South Dakota refusing to release a drivers license to Petitioner as a result of a VOID suspension order issued in 2017 by a Wyoming traffic court that never acquired subject matter jurisdiction to prosecute Petitioner; never noticed Petitioner of any trial on a traffic charge that was related to a mechanical defect in Petitioner's car amounting to a fix it ticket; where Petitioner was denied the opportunity to an arraignment and the right to plead not guilty in the traffic prosecution due to lack of notice; where a suspension order was arbitrarily and without notice entered against Petitioner in retaliation to Petitioner having sued the Department of Transportation in Wyoming for reimbursement of medical transportation costs for disabled persons from 2014 to early 2017 under section 504 of the Rehabilitation act ; where the two different state trial and appellate courts have refused to reach the voidness of the 2017 suspension order to estop the state criminal prosecutions; where both state's Departments of Transportation have refused to give Petitioner a hearing on the invalidity of the Wyoming suspension order carried into the state of South Dakota under the Driver's Compact Act, and where Petitioner is being held in custody ad infinitum until the criminal prosecutions are completed and Petitioner is jailed for at least 2 years, fined at least \$4500, and subject to further deprivation of her drivers license for at least another 5 years.

The federal court in South Dakota, a constitutionally biased court against Petitioner, intentionally violated the random selection process to corruptly usurp jurisdiction over Petitioner's Habeas Corpus Petition and deny Petitioner Habeas Corpus Relief. The matter was just appealed to the 8th Circuit Court of Appeals as appeal no. 19-3654. Petitioner does not expect to receive any justice in that Court


and will likely be addressing the Habeas corpus matter in this court.

Nevertheless, Petitioner needs to give full attention to the Habeas Corpus matter addressing Petitioner's illegal detentions in two states before Petitioner can prepare a Petition for Certiorari to this court in the above stated matter – **which involves the same federal District Court Judge who sat on Petitioner's Habeas Corpus Petition repeatedly concealing the admittedly felony conduct of attorneys appearing before his bar** and invoking unconstitutional procedures to engage in that coverup.

The 8th Circuit court of appeals refused to address the serious constitutional issues raised by Petitioner and summarily dismissed Petitioner's appeal for lack of jurisdiction (as untimely) AFTER the clerk of the court by direction of the challenged judge, intentionally lost and concealed Petitioner's Notice of appeal. See this dismissal order as attachment one hereto. The clerk then wrote Petitioner and gave her 15 days to file a petition for rehearing if Petitioner challenged the dismissal as illegal. Petitioner timely filed three filings, a motion to file an overlength Petition for Rehearing, a Petition for Rehearing, and a motion to take judicial notice. See docket as attachment 2 hereto.

The 8th circuit denied Petitioner's Petition Rehearing as Overlength and discharged the appeal See Attachment 3 hereto. Petition claimed the procedural default could be corrected by local rule 3 for the 8th circuit and filed a corrected Petitioner for Rehearing within the page limitations. The 8th circuit rejected Petitioner's corrected Petition for rehearing by claiming that a denial was not the same thing as a dismissal (see attachment 4 hereto). Thereby denying Petitioner the right to appeal all the way around.

Dated: December 15, 2019



Holly Lundahl

certificate of service

each district counsel was serviced via their email addresses

<Greg_Buzzard@sdd.uscourts.gov> Wed, Mar 20, 2019 at 3:08 PM

To: Greg Bernard <gbernard@tb3law.com>, "Gregory H. Wheeler"

<ghwheeler@boycelaw.com>, "Michael L. Luce"

<MLuce@lynnjackson.com>, Greg Erlandson <gerlandson@bangsmccullen.com>,

1

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No: 19-1037

Hollie Telford, personally and as assignee of the claims of Brenda Burton

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Ron A. Bradeen; Bradeen Real Estate; Jeff Storm; Jim Bultsma; Jim Ashmore; Southern Hills
Title Company; Morningside Properties, LLP; Heartland Real Estate; Verylis R. Boyd; Warner
C. Boyd; Fall River County Sheriff Robert Evans; SA Dane Rasmussen, in their official
capacities

Defendants - Appellees

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the District of South Dakota - Rapid City
(5:17-cv-05042-JLV)

JUDGMENT

Before COLLOTON, SHEPHERD, and ERICKSON, Circuit Judges.

Appellees' motions to dismiss this appeal for lack of jurisdiction are hereby granted.

June 26, 2019

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

/s/ Michael E. Gans

2

If you view the **Full Docket** you will be charged for 4 Pages \$0.40

General Docket
Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals

Court of Appeals Docket #: 19-1037
Nature of Suit: 3440 Other Civil Rights
Hollie Telford v. Ron A. Bradeen, et al
Appeal From: U.S. District Court for the District of South Dakota - Rapid City
Fee Status: In Forma Pauperis

Docketed: 01/07/2019
Termed: 06/26/2019

Case Type Information:











- 1) Civil
- 2) Private
- 3) null

Originating Court Information:

District: 0869-5 : 5:17-cv-05042-JLV
Trial Judge: Jeffrey L. Viken, U.S. District Judge
Date Filed: 05/16/2017
Date Order/Judgment:
08/29/2018

Date NOA Filed:
12/20/2018

Date Rec'd COA:
12/21/2018

- 06/26/2019  **JUDGMENT FILED** - Appellees' motions to dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction are granted. STEVEN M. COLLOTON, BOBBY E. SHEPHERD and RALPH R. ERICKSON Adp Feb 2019 [4802196] [19-1037] (JPP) [Entered: 06/26/2019 03:11 PM]
- 07/10/2019  PETITION for rehearing by panel (with attachments/exhibits 1-43 filed by Appellant Ms. Hollie Telford w/service 07/10/2019 [4807980] [19-1037] (JPP) [Entered: 07/15/2019 11:14 AM]
- 07/11/2019  MOTION (with attachments) to file an overlength petition for rehearing., filed by Appellant Ms. Hollie Telford w/service 07/11/2019. [4807994] [19-1037] (JPP) [Entered: 07/15/2019 11:22 AM]
- 07/15/2019  MOTION to take judicial notice, filed by Appellant Ms. Hollie Telford w/service 07/14/2019. [4808014] [19-1037] (JPP) [Entered: 07/15/2019 11:33 AM]
- 09/12/2019  JUDGE ORDER: Appellant's motion to file an overlength petition for rehearing is denied. Appellant's motion for judicial notice is denied. [4807994-2] [4808014-2] [4807980-2] Adp Feb 2019 [4830011] [19-1037] (JPP) [Entered: 09/12/2019 02:57 PM]
- 09/23/2019  JUDGE ORDER: Appellant's petition for panel rehearing is denied as overlength. [4807980-2] Adp Feb 2019 [4833771] [19-1037] (JPP) [Entered: 09/23/2019 12:51 PM]
- 09/26/2019  MOTION to reopen the case, filed by Appellant Ms. Hollie Telford w/service 08/26/2019. [4837595] [19-1037] (JPP) [Entered: 10/03/2019 10:51 AM]
- 09/26/2019  MOTION file amended petition for rehearing for panel rehearing in compliance, filed by Appellant Ms. Hollie Telford w/service 09/26/2019. [4837599] [19-1037] (JPP) [Entered: 10/03/2019 10:54 AM]
- 10/04/2019  JUDGE ORDER: Appellant's motion to reopen this appeal and permit her to file an amended petition for rehearing is denied. Appellant's reliance of 8th Cir. R. 3(c) is misplaced, as appellant's motion to file an overlength petition for panel rehearing and appellant's overlength petition for panel rehearing were denied, not dismissed. [4837599-2] [4837595-2] Adp Feb 2019 [4838210] [19-1037] (JPP) [Entered: 10/04/2019 03:04 PM]
- 10/04/2019  MANDATE ISSUED. [4838215] [19-1037] (JPP) [Entered: 10/04/2019 03:07 PM]

3

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No: 19-1037

Hollie Telford, personally and as assignee of the claims of Brenda Burton

Appellant

v.

Ron A. Bradeen, et al.

Appellees

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the District of South Dakota - Rapid City
(5:17-cv-05042-JLV)

ORDER

The petition for rehearing by the panel is denied as overlength.

September 23, 2019

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

/s/ Michael E. Gans

4

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No: 19-1037

Hollie Telford, personally and as assignee of the claims of Brenda Burton

Appellant

v.

Ron A. Bradeen, et al.

Appellees

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the District of South Dakota - Rapid City
(5:17-cv-05042-JLV)

ORDER

Appellant's motion to reopen this appeal and permit her to file an amended petition for rehearing is denied. Appellant's reliance of 8th Cir. R. 3(c) is misplaced, as appellant's motion to file an overlength petition for panel rehearing and appellant's overlength petition for panel rehearing were denied, not dismissed.

October 04, 2019

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

/s/ Michael E. Gans