

No. \_\_\_\_\_

---

**In The  
Supreme Court of the United States**

---

Mary Louise Allen, PhDc, in her sui juris and in propria persona capacity,  
*Applicant,*

v.

Richard W. Arnold and Bryan S. Gerber,  
*Respondents.*

---

**APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO  
FILE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

---

To the Honorable Sonia Sotomayor, Associate Justice of the United States Supreme Court  
and Circuit Justice for the Sixth Circuit

---

**<sup>1</sup>Mary Louise Allen, PhDc**  
American National/Victim/Witness  
Ohio Property:  
2444 Foxway Cir. NW  
North Canton, Ohio [44720]  
Residential Address:  
Confidential for safety reasons  
(857)770-1170  
[marylouiseallen@icloud.com](mailto:marylouiseallen@icloud.com)


*Sui Juris/In Propria Persona Applicant.*

**Mills, Mills, Fiely, & Lucas**  
Laura Mills, Esq.  
Vicki DeSantis, Esq.  
101 Central Plaza South  
Suite 1200  
Canton, Ohio 44702  
(33)754-1888  
[lmills@lawyerswithstrategy.com](mailto:lmills@lawyerswithstrategy.com)  
[vdesantis@lawyerswithstrategy.com](mailto:vdesantis@lawyerswithstrategy.com)

*Attorneys for Respondents.*

---

<sup>1</sup>A living and breathing woman



## APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME

Pursuant to 13.5 of the Rules of this Court, Mary Louise Allen (“Applicant”) hereby respectfully requests a 60-day extension of time within which to file a petition for a writ of certiorari up to and including Friday, January, 31, 2020.

## JUDGMENT FOR WHICH REVIEW IS SOUGHT

The judgment for which review is sought is consolidated case number 2015-cv-01756 in the <sup>2</sup>*Stark County Court of Common Pleas* in a seeming <sup>3</sup>substitute venue which appeared to brazenly violate the unalienable rights of the Applicant in unconscionable ways.

The following vexatious cases were seemingly pursued to intimidate the Applicant, a victim of audio-recorded violence and a witness of <sup>4</sup>taxpayer fraud, into silence:

- <sup>5</sup>*[Assailant] Richard W. Arnold, Esq. v. [Victim] Mary Louise Allen, PhD,*
- <sup>6</sup>*[Co-Conspirator] Bryan S. Gerber v. [Victim/Witness] Mary Louise Allen, PhD*

which speciously ended with an unlawful, unsigned, and unauthorized settlement agreement which occurred unbeknownst to the Applicant (see Exhibit 1) causing insurmountable harm to her - and involves stolen property/privacy protected information which has been unlawfully hacked and delivered to a known organized criminal network operating within Stark County government – despite appeal avenues not yet exhausted.

---

<sup>2</sup> Applicant believed was a de jure court operating in alignment with the Constitution. It should be duly noted that Respondent #1/Assailant Arnold’s law firm and representational attorney Laura Mills are geopolitically located within the same block as the *Stark County Court of Common Pleas*, *Canton Municipal Court*, and the *Fifth District Court of Appeals* with many conflicting relationships/marriages between judges/law partners.

<sup>3</sup> Contract law violation when all parties must know the terms to which the Applicant did not. She had every reason to believe that she was in a Constitutional/common law court, and to date, has not been informed otherwise – despite numerous queries to clarify and ignored public records requests.

<sup>4</sup> Validated in a US GAO Congressional Investigatory Report.

<sup>5</sup> Attorney/Assailant Richard W. Arnold vs. Victim Mary Louise Allen, PhD (2015-cv-01756).

<sup>6</sup> Co-Conspirator Bryan S. Gerber vs. Witness Mary Louise Allen, PhD (2016-cv-00048).

## JURISDICTION


This Court will have jurisdiction over any timely filed petition for certiorari in this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1). Under Rules 13.5 of the Rules of this Court, for good cause, a Justice may extend the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari for a period not exceeding 60 days. Extraordinary circumstances afford judicial discretion in allowance of such petitions, and this case undoubtedly meets this criteria.

### REASONS FOR JUSTIFYING AN EXTENSION OF TIME

#### 1. QUESTIONABLE JURISDICTION

The notorious *Stark County Court of Common Pleas* was apparently operating in an alternative capacity that has little to no fealty to the Framers' US Constitution to which the Applicant was neither briefed nor acquiesced. Under contract law, all parties should know the terms, yet any and all attempts to dismiss the case and/or reinstate the counterclaims have been denied and/or ignored by all Ohio courts to protect the Respondent perpetrators.

Additionally, the retired visiting judge, John Enlow, not only failed to meet the preliminary criteria to be assigned by the Ohio Supreme Court



Chief Justice, <sup>7</sup>Maureen O'Connor, he appears to have been over the age of <sup>8</sup>70 when assigned which would also disqualify this appointment.

Moreover, per numerous public records requests, there appears to be no active, bona fide oath of office/affirmation by Judge Enlow in order to be able to serve in this capacity and in this venue which is yet another potential question to the US Supreme Court with two states varying in the legitimacy of such assignments (Texas and Ohio). In other cases across the country, officers of the court have been prosecuted for impersonating a judge/public servant – calling into question the “de facto” doctrine.

Lastly, Judge Enlow appears to be the father of a man who was prosecuted for a sex crime which resulted in a lenient sentence presenting yet an additional conflict.

As this Court is aware, the “fraud vitiates all” creed undermines any such “de facto” doctrine, and when state government and the state Supreme Court are both seemingly involved in the crimes, it is unlikely that they will correct the injustice – necessitating a higher authority of determination.

## **2. OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE**

Due to the Respondents’ connections to government as seen in the attached affidavits (see Exhibit 2), the Applicant has been unable to secure counsel. Respondent #1 (Arnold) informed the Applicant that he would make sure that she would never be able to secure representation, and he would destroy her fiscally, physically, and emotionally if she reported. Needless to say, he succeeded. His large law firm, Day Ketterer, recently announced their ominous dissolution recently which surprised an entire community.

Respondents and their counsel have been caught colluding with:

---

<sup>7</sup> With numerous lawsuits against her for racketeering.

<sup>8</sup> As is the case in numerous other Ohio cases of corruption involving female victims of powerful men.

- the Applicant's former employer (Stark State College with numerous Freemason connections) where she had a lifelong meticulous superb personnel record,
- the Ohio Attorney General's Office (the employer's attorney who was caught meeting and communicating with the Respondents while organizing a strategic public smear campaign – per responsive records from a public records request in these government offices),
- police,
- attorneys,
- nonprofits,
- Eastern Star women,
- various others (see Exhibit 3),

to destroy the Applicant – all of which can be validated.

Respondent #1 has threatened to kill the Applicant, and based on the horrific audio-recordings submitted to courts, police, and prosecutors, which went intentionally ignored, she no longer fears being killed – she expects it. One such recording here at the 13-minute mark:

<https://www.facebook.com/MaryLouise.NMI.Allen/videos/10217616620676178/>.

This area of Ohio has one such case where a judge (Mason) brutally attacked his wife years ago, and Ohio judges wrote letters to defend his character in order to receive leniency from the court. As is the trajectory in this Ohio area where female victims of high profile men are frequently silenced and their well-connected court-affiliated attackers are provided with shield, this female victim was recently murdered by Judge Mason.

The Ohio judiciary has repeatedly failed female victims of violence where favors are afforded, the Constitution is ignored, and women are silenced and/or subsequently killed. It is

well known that <sup>9</sup>Freemasons (and its brethren/sistren) have infiltrated every sector of the country including all branches of government, non-profits, private businesses, and law enforcement – where favors take precedence over any oath of office.

Respondent #1 (Arnold) has four ex-wives who report similar (if not identical) physical, law enforcement, and court abuse. Like Weinstein and Epstein, he has a history of power over the courts, control of the government, and authority over law enforcement. Undoubtedly, there will be a fifth victim if he (and this network) is/are able to continue the weaponization of the judiciary and intimidation of the victims.

When the Applicant has been able to secure counsel in the past, it was evident that <sup>10</sup>they were cooperating with a system and compromising the vociferous representation of the Applicant including but not limited to refusals to file basic motions for dismissals and/or discovery, and when the Applicant requested these simplistic preliminary filings, she was denied and/or abusively informed to the shut the “f\*ck” up by counsel – which is recorded/documented.

As a result, the ability to find counsel has been nonexistent as the Applicant, like other female victims in Ohio, was informed by attorneys that they could not take the case as they would be disbarred, found in a ditch, or harmed in other various ways. These threats were apparent when the Applicant overheard one such conversation through Chamber doors resulting in Judge John Enlow trying to convince the Applicant’s attorney to lie in the case.

This same corruption occurred across the street within the *Fifth District Court of Appeals* with, again, numerous connections of nepotism to the Assailant’s law firm. These public

---

<sup>9</sup> 90,000 membership in Ohio

<sup>10</sup>This does not serve as an all-inclusive implicit waiver to overly broad access to one’s medical records. Such absurd assumptions would be reminiscent to an individual implicitly waiving HIPAA rights for being seen in WalMart wearing a cast or waiving GINA protected information for disclosing that one is Italian. This latter scenario would (and should) not provide overzealous officers of the court to an individual’s human genome.

servants intentionally ignored, maliciously concealed, and knowingly diverted any such justice in this case as well (ADA, due process, etc.). The appeals court judges, attorney assistants, and law clerks were all well aware that the Applicant's case was super-sealed and she was being abused by this orchestrated cabal.

Prospective attorneys repeatedly informed the Applicant that there was no such lawsuit as they could not view this case on the docket, and there was no Ohio provision that allowed for the sealing (albeit, super-sealing in this matter) of a civil case. The Applicant was being denied access to her own docket/filings by the *Stark County Court of Common Pleas* in order to divert her ability to file an informed appellant brief numerous times.

It was only recently that the appellate court judge(s) advised the Applicant that she could finally have access to her docket/filings – but only if she were to come directly to the courthouse who abused and assaulted her in ways unfathomable to the human mind. These public servants were well-aware that the Applicant was forced to relocate in another state for safety reasons where she refused to return to Ohio until these matters were appropriately resolved and her safety could be assured.

Simply stated, it is apparent that a treasonous network has infiltrated our system of government requiring a just remedy and judicial oversight.

### **3. UBI JUS IBI REMEDIUM**

Remedial action is not only acceptable but required in judicial actions. It is more than a legal maxim; it is a right to a meaningful remedy and a protected fundamental right by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. Ubi jus ibi remedium provides a remedy for every right which has been denied where abuse is evident. This is one such case involving well-connected litigants' weaponization of a system of justice.

#### 4. CHILLING EFFECT CLIMATE

Denying this opportunity for possible remedy to correct injustice will simply solidify the chilling effect culture by informing female victims to never report their rapes or abuse of a well-connected man – no matter how strong the evidence. These elite males are afforded a separate form of justice where their crimes are often intentionally ignored, frequently collusively concealed, and if caught, mildly apprehended. In retrospect, the Applicant has repeatedly stated that she will never report any abuse, rape, harassment, and/or assault again. She has been abused by police, threatened by government, ignored by nonprofits, abused by officers of the court, and silenced by courts – all of which is well documented and mostly recorded.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GIPKvog7hNM>

The Applicant was only informed of this unsigned, unauthorized settlement agreement after the Respondents were seemingly paid which sets a chilling effect climate for every female victim in this country. The simple message is: “No matter what the evidence, NEVER report.” The Applicant’s assailant and co-conspirator were paid (ie actually received money) to harass, rape, almost kill, intimidate, retraumatize, and silence a victim with ironclad evidence of abuse, and this could make such retaliatory harassment a lucrative business for all perpetrators. Needless to say, it is a statement that should silence every victim and empower every assailant.

Not only was the Applicant not able to heal from the initial trauma, she was retraumatized for years by the weekly (sometimes, daily) onslaught of public servant abuse, the weaponization of the judiciary, the knowing ignorance of the legislators, and the malicious participation of the executive branch. These participatory officers of the court singlehandedly abused the system, corrupted the courts, and retraumatized the victim, and nothing that the



victim/witness has done has resulted in rightful justice. Thomas Jefferson prophetically speaks to the probability of this despotism within the judiciary.

The Applicant's stolen property was also a part of this ominous alleged settlement. It doesn't take a legal authority to know that the Applicant's stolen property cannot be bartered in an alleged settlement agreement by parties unbeknownst to her, and this is precisely what occurred.

The Ohio Supreme Court Supreme Court Chief Justice Maureen O'Connor has been closely associated with the criminal weaponization of the Ohio judicial system using the retired visiting judge program and the seeming unconstitutionally created <sup>11</sup>specialized dockets/courts (as seen here which is an additional issue addressing the nondelegation doctrine:

[https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=specialized%20dockets&epa=SEARCH\\_BOX](https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=specialized%20dockets&epa=SEARCH_BOX) ).

Justice O'Conner has solely and ominously denied Applicant's motion for rehearing on the flippant dismissal of this case involving corruption on September 2, 2019 (see Exhibit 4) which involves crimes including but not limited to former Ohio Attorney General (office), <sup>12</sup>Mike DeWine with close relationships to the Ohio Supreme Court.

## **5. AMERICAN DISABILITIES ACT**

Needless to say, with no ability to secure counsel and no ability to heal from all of the trauma specified within the affidavits and provided links, it has been difficult to pursue any form of justice when all three branches of government and law enforcement are involved with these

---

<sup>11</sup> Not lawfully created in accordance with Ohio Constitution and provides an opportunity to be adjudicated under the nondelegation decree.

<sup>12</sup> It should be duly noted that this government office was caught colluding, per public records, with Respondents and Applicant's employer to maliciously harm and destroy every aspect of her life, and Mike DeWine's son is an Ohio Supreme Court Justice (who appears to also have a history of abuse of females, per the current divorce filings).

crimes (as seen in Exhibits 5 and 6) and/or healthful healing as again, the Respondent's promise to destroy her livelihood has come to fruition.

## **6. JUDICIAL OBLIGATIONS**

In accordance with the Constitutional and Judicial oaths, there is a duty to protect (18 USC § 1621) and prevent a wrong from being done (42 USC § 1986), and if there is some form of unspoken allegiance of the courts that runs contrary to the compulsory education of the United States citizens' expectations, this instruction necessitates inclusion within the curriculum. Otherwise, the Republic is no better than the disconcerting practices of other countries to which we often accuse of indoctrination.

## **7. OTHER JUDICIAL DEADLINES**

The extension of time is also requested due to other cases involving the Applicant's attention that are related to this case. While attorneys are often granted such extensions for case loads, the Applicant is also facing deadlines during the holiday season including the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals brief where the federal court judges (Adams/Barker) in the Ohio Northern District Court and the Sixth Circuit are seemingly equally involved in the abuse of the Applicant.


Allen vs. Stark State College, et al. (again with numerous conflicting relations to the Respondents) which is <sup>13</sup>federal, has been comparably disturbing with judicial actions equally, if not more, disconcerting. This powerful orchestrated cabal apparently has the ability to intimidate federal judges as well. This case involves the Applicant against her former employer, Stark State College, whose counsel is the Ohio Attorney General – all of whom have been caught colluding with the attorneys/Respondents in this specified case. The Applicant now has to file her appellant brief to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals (also concealing crimes) by December 16, 2019.

---

<sup>13</sup> Allen v. Stark State College, et al. (5:17-cv-02706).

Committee orders Akron federal Judge John Adams to undergo mental-health examination

Updated Jan 11, 2017  
Posted Aug 16, 2017



My conflicted federal judge sued this appeals court judge for this ^^^ order...

U.S. District Judge John Adams



Sixth Circuit Chief Judge  
Guy Cole

### Victim Allen v. Stark State College, et al.

1. Mysteriously assigned to a 2017 violence/abuse/harassment/taxpayer fraud case in June, 2019.
2. Refuses to provide her OATH OF OFFICE with unconstitutional threats ordering Victim Allen that she cannot contact courts for oaths, FOIA, etc.
3. Dismisses two-year long case of validated corruption/audiorecorded rape/government conspiracy with no hearing and irrefutable evidence.
4. Calls US Marshals because Victim Allen filed a Motion.
5. Pamela Barker did all of this before October 3, 2019.

## Cleveland federal Judge Pamela Barker sworn in at large courthouse ceremony

Updated Oct 03, 2019; Posted Oct 03, 2019



The U.S. Senate has approved Pamela Barker's nomination to be a Federal District Court Judge in Cleveland

## **8. PENDING CLAIMS OF UNCONSTITUTIONALITY**

---

The Applicant has numerous pending state and federal Claims of Unconstitutionality within this case which are pending before the Ohio Attorney General, David Yost, and the US Attorney General, William P. Barr which are awaiting response – including but not limited to the statehood of Ohio.

Mystified by the court corruption in this case, the Applicant began to study the statehood of Ohio and learned that Ohio's procedural of granting statehood is quite problematic. Case in point, in an attempt to correct an 1803 Congressional error regarding the devoid ratification of the Ohio Constitution, the questionably (at-the-time) US Representative from "Ohio," George H. Bender introduced legislation in 1953 to retroactively grant Ohio statehood - due to this 1803 procedural gaffe.

The question now becomes, did "Representative" Bender have standing in order to be able to submit any such proposal? If so, does this historic precedent now acquiesce allowing everyone the ability to sponsor legislation? Jefferson's Manual V. 6048; VII, 3122 prohibits Members-elect (i.e. non-Congressional members) the ability "to vote or introduce bills," yet it appears this is precisely how Ohio, if statehood-recognized, became a state, and begs the question - should this sponsorship and voting ability be afforded to everyone?

Or, in the alternative, does Ohio's legal statehood remain an unanswered question? Needless to say, if Ohio is not a state and the Parliamentary Practice Manual did not allow for this legalization, the possible political and jurisdictional implications are endless, including but not limited to: any laws that have been within margin could be questioned if Ohio did not legally possess voting privileges, jurisdiction of law enforcement, government, and the judiciary might be considered equally perplexing, numerous Presidencies and respective actions could be called

into question, unconstitutional tax usage for “governmental” salaries of individuals who might not be considered a part of the Union, etc. (which might explain why these Ohio/possible Northwest Territory) is allowed to continue their audacious trajectory of corruption.

The Applicant also began to learn that many judges/clerks do not possess active, parliamentary compliant oaths of office (or in the alternative, affirmations) and/or Constitutionally required bonds. Their oaths are either from previous elections/appointments or completely devoid. Ohio legislators oaths/affirmations/bonds, who often inform its constituency that they have no jurisdiction (despite checks and balances who are have diverted authority to the Ohio Supreme Court to create alternative courts in violation of the nondelegation doctrine), are equally amiss.

Many of these officers of the court are Freemasons and its brethren/sistren members which is in direct conflict to the American Bar Association with Rule 3.6 of the American Bar Association directing that a judge shall not hold membership in any organization that practices invidious discrimination on the basis of race, sex, gender, religion, national origin, ethnicity, or sexual orientation. Freemasonry is known for its discrimination of protected classes.

## **CONCLUSION**

The ongoing news for the past several months has reiterated that no man is above the law. This is a trite phrase when it is not followed by the branch most expected to uphold its legitimacy.


While at first glance, this appears to be more of a legal malpractice scenario, it is not. It is a judicial oversight necessity due to serious crimes within a case involving BAR/American Inns of Court members, judges, elected government officials, police officers, numerous public servants, and various other officers of the court who are concealing and compounding crimes

while attempting to use intimidation to silence the Applicant, a victim of audio-recorded rape/attempted murder and a witness in taxpayer (US GAO Congressional Investigatory Report proven), insurance (this case), and other various court frauds within a court district known for its abuse, harassment, and discrimination of female victims.

If clerks, former attorneys, and judges are ever placed under oath, which seems unlikely as the Applicant's federal judge denied her ability to place known government participatory officials within her initial disclosures list despite the necessity to do so, they would be forced to admit to conspiracy, collusion, and/or other compounded crimes or commit perjury.

For the foregoing reasons in the spirit of justice, the Applicant respectfully requests that this de jure (non-administrative) Court operating under its sole oath to the US Constitution/common law grant an extension of 60 days up to and including January 31, 2020 within which to file a writ of certiorari in this case.

November 30, 2019

Respectfully submitted,  
  
Mary Louise Allen, Ph.D.  
Sui Juris and In Propria Persona  
Ohio Property:  
2444 Foxway Cir. NW  
N. Canton, Ohio [44720]  
(857)770-1170  
[marylouiseallen@icloud.com](mailto:marylouiseallen@icloud.com)