

FILED: August 27, 2019

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 18-2319
(8:16-cv-03135-PX)

PARTHA A. RAI CHOWDHURI

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

SGT, INC.; CYBERDATA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Defendants - Appellees

ORDER

The court denies the petition for rehearing.

Entered at the direction of the panel: Judge Diaz, Judge Thacker, and
Senior Judge Hamilton.

For the Court

/s/ Patricia S. Connor, Clerk

EXHIBIT B

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 18-2319

PARTHA A. RAI CHOWDHURI,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

SGT, INC.; CYBERDATA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt.
Paula Xinis, District Judge. (8:16-cv-03135-PX)

Submitted: May 16, 2019

Decided: July 16, 2019

Before DIAZ and THACKER, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Partha A. Rai Chowdhuri, Appellant Pro Se. Frank Charles Gulin, Jeffrey J. Pargament, PARGAMENT & HALLOWELL, PLLC, Washington, D.C.; Edward Jay Tolchin, OFFIT KURMAN, PA, Bethesda, Maryland, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

EXHIBIT A

PER CURIAM:

Partha A. Rai Chowdhuri appeals the district court's order granting summary judgment in favor of SGT, Inc., and Cyberdata Technologies, Inc., on Rai Chowdhuri's discrimination, retaliation, and harassment claims, brought pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C.A. §§ 2000e to 2000e-17 (West 2012 & Supp. 2018); 42 U.S.C. § 1981 (2012); the Maryland Fair Employment Practices Act, Md. Code Ann., State Gov't §§ 20-606 to 20-609 (West 2014); and Prince George's Cty., Md., Code Ordinances, § 2-222 (2018). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *See Rai Chowdhuri v. SGT, Inc.*, No. 8:16-cv-03135-PX (D. Md. Oct. 24, 2018). We deny all pending motions filed by Rai Chowdhuri. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

No. _____

PARTHA A.RAI CHOWDHURI – (202) 276-7168 (Mobile) – 13714 Bidwell Place,
Bristow, VA 20136

IN THE Supreme Court of the United States

PARTHA A. RAI CHOWDHURI,

Petitioner Pro Se,

v.

SGT INC./ KBR WYLE INC. 7700 GREENBELT ROAD STE 400 GREENBELT MD
20770

and

CYBERDATA TECH. 455 SPRINGPARK PLACE STE 300 HERNDON VA 20170

Respondents.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States

Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit


AMENDED AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE ON APPLICATION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME
TO FILE A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

PARTHA A. RAI CHOWDHURI

13714 Bidwell Place, Bristow, VA 20136 (202) 276-7168

* Pro Se

13 November, 2019



**TO THE HONORABLE JOHN G. ROBERTS, CHIEF JUSTICE
OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES AND
CIRCUIT JUSTICE FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT:**

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rules 13.5, 22, and 30, Petitioner respectfully requested a 30-day extension of time, up to and including December 24, 2019, to file a petition for a writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit to review that court's decision in No. 18-2319, PARTHA A. RAI CHOWDHURI v. SGT Inc., CYBERDATA TECHNOLOGIES Inc. (included as Exhibit A). It issued its denial of re-hearing in the matter on August 27, 2019 (included as Exhibit B). Petitioner intends to file a petition seeking review of this judgment under Supreme Court Rule 12.4. The jurisdiction of this Court will be invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1), and the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari will expire without an extension on November 25, 2019. Petitioner requests leave to add the following:

- 1) Amended Certificate of Service, necessitated by Microsoft Word problems, is included. Petitioner sincerely apologizes for and regrets inconvenience.**

The Petitioner respectfully requests that the printing and Microsoft Word problems in the Certificate of Service sent previously, may kindly be forgiven and the Amended Certificate of Service included here entered instead.

Any extension in time will be valuable to Petitioner who is in ill-health and short of means. Petitioner sincerely regrets inconvenience.

Petitioner respectfully requests Petitioner's name may kindly be correctly noted as follows below:

- 1) Last name: Rai Chowdhuri
- 2) First name: Partha
- 3) Middle name: Amitava

Petitioner has little more than USD 24000 in Petitioner's bank account now. In 2015, Petitioner had around 135000 USD in the bank account. Petitioner is nearly fifty-six years and six months in age and has no retirement plan or savings now.

Respectfully submitted

Truthfully,



Partha A. Rai Chowdhuri
(Certiorari Petitioner Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals Case No 18-2319,
address as below)



AFFIDAVIT OF
SERVICE - DIDN'T SERVE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, certify that I served a complete copy of the above on all parties,
addressed as shown below:


Edward Tolchin, Principal
Offit Kurman, Attorneys-at-Law
4800 Montgomery Lane, #900
Bethesda, MD 20814
for CYBERDATA TECHNOLOGIES Inc.

Pargament and Hallowell, PLLC
1776 K Street NW Ste 825
Washington, DC 20006
for SGT INC./ KBR WYLE INC.

Truthfully,

RELATED TO .

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE - DIDN'T SERVE

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Partha A. Rai Chowdhuri". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized initial "P".A second handwritten signature in black ink, identical to the first, appearing to read "Partha A. Rai Chowdhuri".

Partha A. Rai Chowdhuri
(Certiorari Petitioner Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals Case No 18-2319)
13714 Bidwell Place
Bristow, VA 20136.