

OCT 11 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. \_\_\_\_\_

—♦—

**In the Supreme Court of the United States**

—♦—

Rev. CHRISTOPHER M. HUNT, Sr. Ph.D.

*Petitioner,*

v.

DEUTSCHE TRUST, NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, et al.,

*Respondents.*

—♦—

**Application for an Extension of Time to file the Petition for a  
Writ of Certiorari to the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals**

—♦—

—♦—

Rev. Christopher M. Hunt, Sr. Ph.D. Pro Se (temporarily)  
5456 Peachtree Blvd. Ste 410  
Atlanta, GA 30341-2235

October 8, 2019

RECEIVED

OCT 16 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

## **APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME**

Pursuant to Rule 13.5 of the Rules of this Court, Applicant Homeowner Christopher M. Hunt, Sr. hereby requests a 60-day extension of time within which to file a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari up to and including Monday, February 10<sup>th</sup> 2020.

## **JUDGMENT FOR WHICH REVIEW IS SOUGHT**

The judgment for which review is sought is *Hunt v. Deutsch, Nationstar et. al 18-12348 and 18-12593* denial of the En Banc (both September 13, 2019) (attached as Exhibits 1 and 2). The Eleventh Circuit also denied the Petition to Stay Mandate on October 1, and despite no opposition the request for 11<sup>th</sup> Circuit itself to ask this Court to Petition for Certiorari to answer all important questions in which the two Panels as forewarned when requesting to combine cases and join a third, not only contradicted each other but this Court, Federal Courts and Rules on major questions of national and legal importance that in precedent manner Per Rules 10(a)(c) require an attorney to present to this Court. (attached as Exhibit 2).

## **JURISDICTION**

This Court will have jurisdiction over any timely filed Petition for Certiorari in this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1). Under Rules 13.1, 13.3, and 30.1 of the Rules of this Court, a petition for a Writ of Certiorari was due to be filed on or

before December 11, 2019. In accordance with Rule 13.5, this application is being filed more than 10 days in advance of the filing date for the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari.

### **REASONS JUSTIFYING AN EXTENSION OF TIME**

Applicant respectfully requests a 60-day extension of time within which to file a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari seeking review of the decision of the eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals in this case, up to and including February 10, 2020.

1. Applicant has been forced pro se against his desire due to the three cases created by the Mortgage companies and their debt collecting attorneys creating such legal fees that only the very wealthy could afford. Their 11<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court ruled breach of contract damaged self-employed Homeowner and the Panel's affirmed illegal surprise foreclosure in violation of jurisdiction of their own (improper) Removal prevents Homeowner from accessing \$200,000 in equity for counsel.

2. Applicant is asking for a 60-day extension to sell a property and retain expert U. S. Supreme Court Certiorari counsel, and for counsel to be able to get familiar with the two cases. They have very strong questions for this Court to answer on behalf of all homeowners as the Writ will be in the Spirit and intent of this Court's proper ruling *JESINOSKI v. COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS* (2015)

3. Expert attorneys have existing caseloads, and holiday leaves of absence, etc.

4. The extension is reasonable, and no parties are damaged in any way.

Homeowner built his home of instant case, in subdivision he developed and named after his daughter, has his home-based business of twenty years still operating therein working 70+ hours weekly while recovering from Great Recession the mortgagees caused, raised his children in his home and takes excellent care of his appreciating asset with more than \$200,000 equity. Homeowner has lived in home for more than twenty years with perfect credit and made mortgage payments until Respondents Mortgagees 11<sup>th</sup> Circuit ruling affirmed breached their contract. Breach was never contested. The mortgagees collateralized debt is appreciating.


5. Homeowner must have an attorney to articulate the truly all-important questions in a legally sufficient manner for this Court to accept Writ for Certiorari and rule favorably for all homeowners and courts as in *JESINOSKI v.*

*COUNTRYWIDE*. Attached are the initial drafts of questions to show validity of this Writ for Certiorari. and why an attorney needs to be hired and why needs time to become intimately familiar and prepare national and court all-important Certiorari. An example is the Mortgagee in violation went to a magistrate judge ex parte and misrepresented jurisdiction of federal courts to obtain an order very damaging to homeowner 100% contradicting DCNG order it has jurisdiction. Homeowner on appeal to Superior Court showed conflict, the Superior Court agreed but then acquiesced to Mortgagees she did not have jurisdiction to correct its subordinate court order! Then DCNG rules it has no right to correct Superior!

## CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons the, the Applicant respectfully requests that this Court grant an extension up to and including February 10, 2020, within which to file a Petition for Writ for Certiorari in this case.

Prayertfully Submitted,



Christopher M. Hunt, Sr. Pro Se (Temporarily)  
5456 Peachtree Blvd. #410  
Atlanta, GA 30341-2235  
770-457-3300  
1cor13cmh@gmail.com

## PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

### Petitioner

Christopher M. Hunt, Sr. Homeowner

### Respondents

Deutsche Bank National Trust Companies note holder

Mr. Cooper/Nationstar mortgage company

Albertelli Law Firm debt collectors

Pite & Aldridge debt collectors

Corporate Service Company corporate registered agent