


No. 19A398

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WADE GREELY LAY

Petitioner,

vs.

OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS; SCOTT CROW,
ODOC INTERIM DIRECTOR; OKLAHOMA STATE PENITENTI-
ARY; OKLAHOMA INDIGENT DEFENSE SYSTEM,

Respondents.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT

PETITIONERS' APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF
TIME TO FILE A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

WADE GREELY LAY * 816263

OKLAHOMA STATE PENITENTIARY

P.O. BOX 97

MCALISTER, OKLAHOMA 74502

SEPTEMBER 26, 2019



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WADE LAY,

Petitioner,

vs.


CASE NO.

OKLAHOMA SUP. CT. NO. 118-001

OKLAHOMA DEPT. OF

CORRECTIONS, et. al.,

Respondents.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURTPETITIONER'S APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO FILE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DATE: 9/27/19

PDS-SE

NOTARY: Zakiya Pope
Exp 03/16/22

WADE GREELEY LAY # 516263

OKLAHOMA STATE PENITENTIARY

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Wade Greely Lay", written over the printed name and affiliation.

P.O. BOX 91

MCALISTER, OKLAHOMA 74502

PETITIONER'S APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO FILE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

To the Honorable Sonia Sotomayor*, Associate Justice of the United States
Supreme Court and ~~for the~~ Oklahoma Supreme Court

IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 13.5, 30.2, AND 30.3 OF THE RULES OF THE
Supreme Court of the United States, AND FOR THE REASONS SET FORTH HEREIN,

PETITIONER, WADE GREENE LAY, RESPECTFULLY APPLIES TO THIS COURT FOR

AN ORDER EXTENDING THE TIME IN WHICH TO FILE HIS PETITION FOR A

WRIT OF CERTIORARI FROM OCTOBER 10, 2019, UNTIL DECEMBER 09, 2019,

A PERIOD OF (60) SIXTY DAYS. IN SUPPORT OF THIS APPLICATION, PETITIONER

SHOWS THE COURT AS FOLLOWS:

BACKGROUND

THIS CASE IS FILED IN THE OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT AS A 28 USC

§ 2254 (b)(1)(B)(ii) CIRCUMSTANCES CLAUSE FULFILLMENT AS ANTICIPATED

BY THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS. THE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT EXIST,

F.O.

2) OR TO THE Honorable Chief Justice John Roberts, IN ACCORDANCE TO LAW OR
THE COURT'S RULES.

"THAT RENDER SUCH PROCESS INEFFECTIVE TO PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF
(WADE LAY) THE APPLICANT", TO FILE A FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS PETITION,
EXIST, DUE TO ILLEGAL ACTIONS BY BOTH STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS. THESE
BUREAUCRATIC AGENTS ARE IMPULSED BY A MUTUAL OVERBEARING FORCE THAT
IS RECOGNIZED IN THE FOURTH QUESTION PRESENTED IN *Wade Lay V.*

Oklahoma Department of Corrections, 19-3942, PENDING IN THIS COURT

PRESENTLY, FILED BY THE CLERK SEPTEMBER 13, 2019. IT READS:

"HAS SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS EMPOWERED FEDERAL JUDGES TO A DE-
GREE, INFLUENCING BUREAUCRATIC OFFICERS (STATE AND FEDERAL), IN
A MANNER SIMILAR TO THE LORDS AND NOBLES OF THE EUROPEAN MONARCHS;
DISTORTING THEIR LOYALTY FROM THE RULE OF LAW, TO CARRY OUT THE WI-
LL OF THE JUDICATURE, OR PRINCE ²."

THE FACTS PRESENTED TO THE OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT SHOW, THAT THE
OVERBEARING FORCE MENTIONED ABOVE, IS THE FEDERAL DISTRICT JUDGES
IN THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, *et al.*, THE U.S.D.C. N.D./OK; THE U.S.D.C. W.D./OK;
THE U.S.D.C. E.D./OK; AND MANY CIRCUIT JUDGES OF THE TENTH CIRCUIT.

COURT OF APPEALS. EVEN AS THE CLAIM SEEMS FAR FETTERED; THE PROOF
IS OVERWHELMING. HOWEVER, THE OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT CHIEF
JUSTICE NORMA D. GURICH REFERS TO PETITIONER'S RESPONSE AS
"BURDENSOME AND FRIVOLOUS," YET NOTHING COULD BE FURTHER FROM
THE TRUTH.

PETITIONER DESCRIBES IN DETAIL WITH AN ABUNDANT SUPPLY OF PROOF
REQUIREMENTS, (I.E., DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE, TO INCLUDE A FULL COPY
OF BOTH CERTIORARI PETITIONS PENDING IN THIS UNITED STATE SUP-
REME COURT, I.E., *Lay v. United States*, 18-9417; AND *Lay v. Okla-
homa Dept. of Corrections*, 19-5942.) THE ACTIONS OF FEDERAL DISTRICT
JUDGES, AND CIRCUIT JUDGES, DELIBERATELY VIOLATING CONGRESSIONAL
MANDATES, THIS COURT'S PRECEDENT, THE TENTH CIRCUIT'S PRECEDENT, STATE
LAW, AND THE RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE IN MULTIPLE FORMS AND CASES.

1, 4, 6

SEE ATTACHMENT 913.1

FOR THAT REASON, CITING VARIOUS CONSTITUTIONAL DOCTRINES PROMOTED BY THIS COURT, IN SUCH CASES LIKE *Martin v. Hunter's Lessee*, 14 U.S. 304 (1816), THAT HAVE NOT BEEN OVERRULED, PETITIONER SHOWS TO THE OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT ITS CONSTITUTIONAL OBLIGATION OF AN ARTICLE VI, CLAUSE 2 OVERSIGHT. NOTWITHSTANDING SUCH CONVINCING ARGUMENTS, THE OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT C.J. GURICH PLACES BEFORE A CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, SHOWING PROOF OF SYSTEMIC SUBTERFUGE WITHIN THE COURTS OF THE UNITED STATES IN THIS CIRCUIT, THE OKLAHOMA REGISTRY; WHEREIN, THE VERY COURT OF THE UNITED STATES NAMED IN THE COMPLAINT, IS THE SOURCE OF KNOWLEDGE ESTABLISHING THE POINT IN QUESTION.

ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITIES

PETITIONER WADE LAY SEEKS A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE OKLAHOMA

FILE

1) THE TENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

SUPREME COURT WITH RESPECT TO ITS DECISION RENDERED ON JULY

12, 2019 AS REFERENCED ABOVE.⁺ THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION TO

GRANT THE SAME, AND ACCORDING TO SUPREME COURT RULE 13.3, A

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT

IS DUE ON OR BEFORE OCTOBER 10, 2019. SEE SUPREME COURT RULE

13.3 ("THE TIME TO FILE THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI... RUNS

FROM THE DATE OF ENTRY OF THE JUDGMENT OR ORDER SOUGHT TO

BE REVIEWED..."). HOWEVER, THE TIME GRANTED TO FILE BY THE

SUPREME COURT RULE 13 WILL BE INSUFFICIENT TO ALLOW PETIT-

IONER TO DO WHAT IS NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE JUSTICE TO THE

ISSUES AT HAND, WHICH ARE OF VAST IMPORT.

FOR THAT REASON, PETITIONER SEEKS AN EXTENSION OF (60)

DAYS IN WHICH TO FILE HIS PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

SEE SUPREME COURT RULE 13.5 ("[A] JUSTICE MAY EXTEND THE

F. 0. 1

*) SEE ATTACHMENT 913.1.


"TIME TO FILE A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI FOR A PERIOD NOT EXCEEDING 60 DAYS").

IN ACCORDANCE TO SUPREME COURT RULE 13.5, THIS APPLICATION IS SUBMITTED AT LEAST (10) DAYS PRIOR TO THE PRESENT DUE DATE, ON 09/26/2019, (14) DAYS PRIOR TO THE DUE DATE OF OCTOBER 10, 2019. FURTHER, THE REQUESTED EXTENSION IS MADE IN GOOD FAITH AND NOT FOR THE PURPOSE OF DELAY. THE REQUESTED EXTENSION IS MADE FOR TWO SIGNIFICANT REASONS. FIRST: THE VITAL ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH THE ISSUES AT HAND ARE OF EXTREME IMPORTANCE TO THE PUBLIC, AND SECOND: PETITIONER IS A PRISONER ON DEATH ROW, AND ARE DIRECTLY RELATED TO HIS CAPITAL - HABEAS CORPUS CASE WHERE WADE LAY HAS RECEIVED A TENTATIVE EXECUTION DATE.

THIS COURT HAS REPEATEDLY EMPHASIZED THAT "DEATH IS DIFFERENT",
see *Woodson v. North Carolina*, 428 U.S. 280 (1976); AND IN *Berger*
v. Kemp, 483 U.S. 776, 785 (1987), THAT "OUR DUTY TO SEARCH FOR
CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR WITH PAINSTAKING CARE IS NEVER MORE
EXACTING THAN IT IS IN A CAPITAL CASE." IT IS RESPECTFULLY
SUBMITTED, THAT THIS CASE *Wade Lay v. Oklahoma Dept. of Correc-*
tions, 118,001 WILL BRING FORTH CONSIDERATIONS OF A CONSTITUTIONAL
NATURE THAT WILL GREATLY EFFECT THIS COURT'S DOCTRINES SURROUNDING
THE ANTITERRORISM AND EFFECTIVE DEATH PENALTY ACT (AEDPA), AND
SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS.
IN LIGHT OF THESE CLAIMS, THAT ARE SO CRITICAL TO PETITIONER'S
EXECUTION, LAY SUBMITS THAT A (60) DAY EXTENSION IS NECESSARY,
DUE TO THE CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS OF HIS CONFINEMENT. WHERE-
FORE, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN,

WADE LAY SUBMITS THAT A REASONABLE EXTENSION OF TIME
SHOULD BE GRANTED TO COMPLETE WADE LAY'S PETITION. LAY
RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THIS COURT EXTEND THE CURRENT
OCTOBER 10, 2019 DEADLINE UNTIL DECEMBER 09, 2019.



RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED WADE LAY* 56763

OKLA. STATE PENITENTIARY
P.O. BOX 97

09/24/2019 NOTARY WERE:  MCALISTER, OKLAHOMA 74502
Exp: 03/16/22