

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

APR 26 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

RICHARD ALAN KING,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 18-16566

D.C. Nos. 2:16-cv-00086-SRB
2:08-cr-00045-SRB-1

District of Arizona,
Phoenix

ORDER

Before: O'SCANNLAIN and GOULD, Circuit Judges.

Appellant's petition for en banc determination is construed as a motion for reconsideration en banc (Docket Entry No. 17) and is denied on behalf of the court.

See 9th Cir. R. 27-10; 9th Cir. Gen. Ord. 6.11.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

MAR 15 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

RICHARD ALAN KING,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 18-16566

D.C. Nos. 2:16-cv-00086-SRB
2:08-cr-00045-SRB-1

District of Arizona,
Phoenix

ORDER

Before: CANBY and WARDLAW, Circuit Judges.

The motion to file an oversized application for a certificate of appealability (Docket Entry No. 12) is granted.

The request for a certificate of appealability (Docket Entry Nos. 10, 13 & 15) is denied because appellant has not made a “substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.” 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *see also Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 327 (2003).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.