
Appendix A

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No: 18-2032

Jason Bo-Alan Beckman

Petitioner - Appellant

v.

United States of America

Respondent - Appellee

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota - Minneapolis
(0:16-cv-03344-MJD)

JUDGMENT

Before COLLTON, WOLLMAN, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.

This appeal comes before the court on appellant's application for a certificate of appealability. The court has carefully reviewed the original file of the district court, and the application for a certificate of appealability is denied. The appeal is dismissed.

March 05, 2019

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

/s/ Michael E. Gans

Appendix B

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No: 18-2032

Jason Bo-Alan Beckman

Appellant

v.

United States of America

Appellee

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota - Minneapolis
(0:16-cv-03344-MJD)

ORDER

The petition for rehearing en banc and also for rehearing by panel is denied as overlength.

May 02, 2019

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

/s/ Michael E. Gans

Appendix C

On April 5, 2019, functioning in the capacity of a pro se applicant I submitted a petition for rehearing by panel and enbanc.

In error, I assumed the petition to rehear a COA petition, a petition that is subject to a 20 page maximum argument, was also 20 pages. In my ignorance my submission was less than 20 pages, but more than 15, the requirement applicable to the rehearing petition.

In haste to make the deadline of my submission I was remiss in not confirming the page length standard of 15. I have tried to be diligent and punctual in my efforts as a pro-se applicant so as to not displease the court. In my continued pursuit of justice I explore the honorable court to please accept my modified petition that is attached and with the proper page count. Please take necessary steps to accomplish this or indicate anything else I may need to do in order to accomplish this task.

Sincerely,

Jason B. Beckman
15917-041, K2
P.O. Box 1000
FCI
Sandstone, MN 55072

May 20th, 2019

*Received
5/24/2019*

~~RECEIVED~~

~~MAY 23 2019~~

~~U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
EIGHTH CIRCUIT~~

REQUIRED STATEMENT
(Fed. R. App. P. 35(b)(1))

(A) - Panel Decision Conflicts with Supreme Court

I express a belief, based on my reasoned and studied judgement, that the panel decision, attached as the Appendix of this Petition is contrary to the following decision of the Supreme Court of the United States and that consideration by the full court is necessary to secure and maintain uniformity of decisions in this Court:

Luis v. United States, 136 S. Ct. 1083 (2016)
194 L. Ed. 2d. 256

In addition the panel decision sets up a needless circuit conflict in opposite of every other Circuit Court to rule on this issue.

(B) Question of Exceptional Import

I express a belief, based on my reasoned and study judgement, that this appeal involves a question of exceptional importance:


Can the Government circumvent the protections of Luis by using civil preceedings prior to a criminal trial to freeze untainted assets, thus preventing defendant from exercising his right to choose his criminal defense counsel?

(Fed. R. App. P. 40)

I request the panel rehear this matter because it appears the panel has overlooked or misapprehended Petition's Actual Innocence claims, which is supported by newly discovered evidence in the form of:

- 1) 2018 personal declaration of the criminal enterprise's admitted leader, T. Cook, that provides critical exculpating evidence and cites to supressed sources of evidence, all of which proves Beckman's factual innocence of the charges against him.
- 2) Recovered notes by legal counsel of meetings between Beckman and said counsel which directly contradict trial witness statements used to convict Beckman.

Executed this 20th day of May, 2019.


Bo Beckman

United States Court of Appeals

For The Eighth Circuit

Thomas F. Eagleton U.S. Courthouse
111 South 10th Street, Room 24.329

St. Louis, Missouri 63102

Michael E. Gans
Clerk of Court

VOICE (314) 244-2400
FAX (314) 244-2780
www.ca8.uscourts.gov

May 24, 2019

Mr. Jason Bo-Alan Beckman
FEDERAL CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
15917-041
P.O. Box 1000
Sandstone, MN 55072-1000

RE: 18-2032 Jason Beckman v. United States

Dear Mr. Beckman:

I am returning your petition for rehearing, unfiled. An order denying your previously-filed petition for rehearing was entered on May 2, 2019.

Pursuant to 8th Circuit Local Rule 40A(c), successive petitions for rehearing are not allowed. The clerk will accept only one petition for rehearing from any party to an appeal and will not accept any motion to reconsider the court's ruling on a petition for rehearing or rehearing en banc.

If you wish to proceed with your appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court, you may contact the clerk at the address below.

Scott S. Harris, Clerk
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street N.E.
Washington DC 20543
(202) 479-3009

Michael E. Gans
Clerk of Court

Enclosure(s)

cc: Mr. James Sanderson Alexander
Mr. David J. MacLaughlin

District Court/Agency Case Number(s): 0:16-cv-03344-MJD