

IN THE  
THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Jason Beckman  
Petitioner,  
  
vs  
  
United States of America,  
Respondent.

---

Case No: \_\_\_\_\_

MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION AND/OR  
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE CERTIORARI

Jason Bo Beckman, Petitioner pro se, in diligent pursuit of his rights and obligations, respectfully requests this Court confirm and/or extend the date his Petition for Certiorari is due.

Court's Jurisdiction

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit denied my certificate for appeal was, March 5, 2019. (Appears at Appendix A.)

I filed a timely petition for rehearing which was denied on May 2, 2019, and then I timely asked the Circuit Court to Reconsider their decision, which was returned May 24, 2019. (Appearing at Appendix B & C.)

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. §1254(1).

## Issues to be Raised

I intend to present the following questions, two of which appear to be matters of first impression, in my Writ of Certiorari:

Can the Government circumvent Luis v United States, 578 U.S. \_\_\_, 136 S. Ct. 1083 (2016) protections by using a civil proceeding to freeze untainted assets --namely an errors and omissions policy-- a few months prior to initiating a criminal proceeding for the same acts as the civil case preventing defendant exercising his right to choose criminal counsel.

Can an errors and omissions policy (an untainted asset), secured prior to the commencement of the charged criminal acts, be subject to an asset freeze order or forfeiture when the primary purpose of the policy is to cover the representational expenses incurred and is payable directly to the attorney?

Did the Eighth Circuit fail to adhere to Slack v McDaniel's, 529 U.S. 473 (2000), minimal requirements in denying --without discussion-- Beckman's Luis C.O.A. claim when every other circuit would, and has, disagreed with the district court's interpretation of its obligations under Luis?

As is painfully apparent, I am an incarcerated pro se petitioner learning as I go. I have been diligently working on my Writ with the understanding that it was due on or about August 22, 2019 (90 days from the last denial on my case).

This case is very complex with over 10 terabytes of discovery, thousands of pages of filings, rulings, and decisions.


My issues sound in the extremely murky and complex world of insurance and forfeiture law, none of which I had any prior professional experience. However, all of which intersect with my Fifth and Six Amendment Rights.

As an incarcerated prisoner I have limited access to the law library resources: including legal research materials, typewriters, etc. During the pendency of my research and efforts the law library has been closed or otherwise unavailable with little to no notice.

### Relief Requested

Because I have been denied for procedural reasons in the lower courts, I am respectfully asking this Court to either confirm my Writ is to be filed no later than August 22, 2019, or extend the time I am to file the Writ to August 22, 2019 for the extraordinary factors noted above.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 30<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2019.

  
Jason "Bo" Beckman  
15917-041 Unit K-2  
FCI Sandstone  
PO Box 1000  
Sandstone, MN 55072  
**SPECIAL MAIL: Open in Presence of Inmate ONLY!**