

I.

RECEIVED Cover Sheet

MAR 30 2020 No. _____

DEBORAH S. HUNT, Clerk

In the
Supreme court of the United States

Richard Jackson - Petitioner

VS

U S H at al - Respondent

II Motion For leave in Forma Pauperis

Supreme ct. rule 39(1) in short, if court appointed
counsel for an indigent party - law is 6th am.

Supreme ct. rule 39(2) in short, one copy suffices

III Cover Page - Rule 34

A. Complete

B. Complete

C. 6th cir. U.S. court of appeals

IV. Questions

- 1) Is it ineffective assistance of counsel (I.A.C.), to deny defendant testimony expression within his testimony by objecting to presentation of body cam footage + pictures (already used by prosecution), approved by Judge Murphy at defendant's personal request, which clearly can verify my innocence?
- 2) Is it ineffective assistance of counsel, to openly oppose defendant's path by showing discord + animosity, by twice saying "counsel is willing to hold this trial with or without defendant's presence". This said twice during the course of McCarthy's representation?

V. ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover p
on same pg.
McCoy v Louisiana

VI Refusal of counsel McCarthy to provide transcript of trial.

VII Index of Appendix

- Appendix A 6 circuit court of Appeals
- Appendix B Denial of ^(interlocutory) appeal, never heard

VIII. Table of Authorities

McCoy v Louisiana
Strecklans

6th am.

14th am.

IX. Opinions Below

Not yet published

X. Jurisdiction

2-26-20

XI. Constitutional and Statutory provisions involved

1) 14th am. - equal protection under the law

2) To have counsel for his defense (6th am.)

XII. Statement of the case

On 1-14-20 counsel told Judge I would use video bodycam footage to prove/disprove credibility of witnesses statements. In doing so exposed counsel McCarthy's lack of professionalism by openly opposing defendant's right to due process which would be the expressions stated within defendant's testimony. Which prejudiced me directly by causing Judge to place limits on speech response of defendant, and cancel presentation of body cam videos. "which were already granted

XIII Reason For Granting

U.S. ct. of appeals, and District ct. of southern division Mi. decided an important question. That answer violates decisions of (certiorari supreme ct.); Supreme ct rule (10(c)). Which in violation of attorney/client ethic (rules of professional conduct - defense attorney function), counsel is suppose to aid defendant in expressing defendant's concerns of what to persuade in defense of defendant. Not to destroy defendant chance of exoneration through the lack of confrontation of credibility/reliability exposed in body cam. videos (this case).

In granting certiorari the rational importance of supreme ct. involvement is to show public that wrongs violating constitution + statutes are not tolerated on any level by over-zealous officials. These laws are safeguarded by members of the supreme ct. when necessary to ensure things like equal protection + due process representation, which does not subtract from indication of defendant's goal of innocence.

XIV.

I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true

Executed 3-27-20

S/ Richard Jackson

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

Deborah S. Hunt
Clerk

100 EAST FIFTH STREET, ROOM 540
POTTER STEWART U.S. COURTHOUSE
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202-3988

Tel. (513) 564-7000
www.ca6.uscourts.gov

Filed: April 01, 2020

Mr. Richard Knider Jackson
F.C.I. Milan
P.O. Box 1000
Milan, MI 48160

Re: Case No. 20-1192, *USA v. Richard Jackson*
Originating Case No.: 2:16-cr-20799-1

Dear Mr. Jackson,

Enclosed please find your motion for stay of proceedings and memorandum in support which were received in this Court on March 30, 2020.

On March 19, this Court dismissed the above appeal for lack of appellate jurisdiction and this case is currently disposed. As such, these documents are being returned to you unfiled.

The envelope these items were received in appears to be addressed to Justice Ginsburg in care of the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals. If your intention is to file a petition for a Writ of Certiorari, the address of the U.S. Supreme Court is 1 First Street, NE, Washington DC 20543.

Sincerely yours,

s/Laura A. Jones
Case Management Specialist
Direct Dial No. 513-564-7023

cc: Mr. Michael J. McCarthy
Ms. Sara D. Woodward

RECEIVED

MAR 30 2020

DEBORAH S. HUNT, Clerk

20-1192

Date 3-27-20

Dear Judge

Request stay of proceedings until
writ of Certioraria is settled

3-27-20

57 Richard Jackson

Richard Jackson

NAME

55146-039

REG. NO.

FEDERAL DETENTION CENTER

P.O. BOX 1000

MILAN, MICHIGAN 48160

DETROIT, MI 482

25 MAR 2020 PM 30



RECEIVED

MAR 5 2020

DEBORAH S. HUNT, Clerk

Legal mail

To Ruth Ginsberg/et al

6th Cir Court of Appeals

100 E. 5th St Rm 548

Cann. Ohio

45202-3988

452023988 0023



Legal

RB

Legal Mail

Date 4-9-20

This writ of certiorari was returned to me, instead of being forwarded to you. Also, included is a motion for stay of proceedings at my request. I thought a request for stay could be granted by any judge. If possible please return copy of enclosed.

Date 4-9-20

Richard Jackson

55146-039



Richard Jackson
NAME

55146-039
REG. NO.

FEDERAL DETENTION CENTER

P.O. BOX 1000

MILAN, MICHIGAN 48160



1st class Mail

Legal

Legal

Ruth Ginsberg

1 First St NE,

US Supreme Ct

Washington DC 20543

0184