
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

PATRICK CRICK,
Petitioner


v.

STATE OF WASHINGTON,
Respondent

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari
to the Washington Western District Court

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED AS A VETERAN

PATRICK CRICK
Petitioner, Pro Se
734 Berne LN. SE
Olympia, WA. 98513
(360) 789-6323




Patrick Crick asks leave to file the attached petition for a writ of certiorari on 8½ by 11-Inch Paper Format, and to proceed as a veteran.

Mr. Crick has previously been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis in the Washington Court of Appeals, but has paid all filing fees elsewhere.¹

Mr. Crick's affidavit or declaration in support of this motion, together with his DD-214 "discharge" documents, are attached hereto.

Respectfully submitted this 25 day of June, 2009.



Patrick Crick
Petitioner, Pro Se
734 Berne LN. SE
Olympia, WA. 98513
(360) 789-6323

¹ Mr. Crick was initially represented by appointed counsel Corey Endo on federal habeas petition. However, preceeding this appointment, Mr. Crick has exhausted his entire estate pursuing counsel and paying filing fees.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES


PATRICK CRICK,)
Petitioner,)
v.) DECLARATION IN SUPPORT
STATE OF WASHINGTON,) OF MOTION TO PROCEED
Respondent.) AS A VETERAN
)

Petitioner, Patrick Crick swears or affirms under penalty of perjury that the following is true:

1. I am over the age of 18, and competent to testify as a witness. I am the petitioner to this matter.
2. I honorably served the country in the United States Army, and was medically discharged in December of 1995. My service number is 406825338.
3. At separation, I held the rank of E4, and served at Ft. Campbell, Kentucky. I was assigned to the 101st Airborne, 311th Military Intelligence battalion. A copy of my DD-214 affirms these facts.

I swear or affirm under penalty of perjury under United States laws that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed by my hand this 25 day of June, 2019.



Patrick Crick
Petitioner, Pro Se

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

MAR 29 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

PATRICK S. CRICK,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

JAMES KEY,

Respondent-Appellee.

No. 18-35972

D.C. No. 2:17-cv-01348-JLR
Western District of Washington,
Seattle

ORDER

Before: SILVERMAN and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

The request for a certificate of appealability (Docket Entry No. 2) is denied because the underlying 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition fails to state any federal constitutional claims debatable among jurists of reason. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2)-(3); *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (“When ... the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the petitioner seeking a COA must show both ‘that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling.’”) (quoting *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**