


November 13, 2019

Alireza Vazirabadi  
843 Vaughn Street  
Aurora, CO 80011  
avazirabadi@yahoo.com  
720-218-4769



Supreme Court of the United States  
The Honorable Justice Sonia Sotomayor  
1 First St NE  
Washington, DC 20543

**Re:** Time Extension Request for Writ of Certiorari Petition—*Vazirabadi v. Denver Health & Hosp. Auth.*, No. 18-1411, 2019 WL 3522417 (10th Cir. 2019) (unpublished).

Dear Honorable Justice Sotomayor,

This application is to request grant of 27 days' time extension, to file a Petition for Writ of Certiorari in the above referenced case, in accordance with Supreme Court Rule 30(2).

The Petitioner, *pro se*, timely appealed the district court decision in *Vazirabadi v. Denver Health & Hosp. Auth.*, District Court Case No.: 1 :17-cv-01737-RBJ, which resulted in the Opinion of the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals on August 2, 2019, in Case Number: 18-1411. Under FRAP Rule 35, Request for En Banc Consideration and Rehearing was denied on September 4, 2019. Based upon the

date of entry of the September 4, 2019 Order (See attached copy), the Petition for Writ of Certiorari ("Petition") is due on December 3, 2019.

For 43 days, from September 4, 2019 that Request for En Banc and Rehearing was denied, the Petitioner diligently was able to work on his Petition, until October 17, 2019. Meanwhile, the Petitioner has a separate appeal case pending in the Tenth Circuit, in *Vazirabadi v. Denver Public Schools* ("DPS"), Case No. 19-1245. On October 17, 2019, DPS filed its Answer Brief, which required Petitioner's entire time to diligently work on his Reply that was filed yesterday, November 12, 2019. As a result, Petitioner lost 26 days of his 90 days allowed time to diligently work on his Petition that will be due December 3, 2019. In other word, he has only 20 days for his Petition.

Therefore, Petitioner, respectfully asks granted at least 27 days, until December 30, 2019 to file his Petition. As a *pro se*, the Petitioner has been working diligently every step of the way in this process, and having this extra allowed time greatly helps with better Petition for the Court's understanding and consideration.

For making this time extension request, Petitioner was unable to find any required "conferral" with the opposing party, under Rules of The Supreme Court of The United States. However, Petitioner still sought conferral, if in case required. The

defendants object to this request, stating: "Defendants object to your requested extension for filing your untimely writ." Attached is a copy of defendants' full objection letter.

Petitioner does not find any prejudice for granting this brief extension of time, and greatly appreciate for the extra days needed.

Respectfully Submitted,



---

Alireza Vazirabadi, Petitioner, *pro se*

Dated: November 13, 2019

CC: See attached:

Copy of September 4, 2019 Denial Order for En Banc and Rehearing  
Certificate of Service

Two extra copies of this letter

Copy of defendants' email objection letter