


No. 19-902

ORIGINAL

In The
Supreme Court of the United States of America



MICHAEL RAMON OCHOA,
Petitioner, pro se,
v.
Dr. Arthur Levine, *et al.*,
Respondents.

On Petition for a Writ of *Certiorari*
To The Pa. Supreme Court at 134 WAL 2019;
The Pa. Superior Court at 326 WDA 2019;
and The Allegheny County, Pa. Civil/Commerce and Complex Litigation Center at
GD-13-011757.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF *CERTIORARI*

Michael Ramon Ochoa
Petitioner, *pro se*
58 West Portal Ave #218
San Francisco, CA 94127
(415) 373-2172
michaelochoa@mac.com

November 07, 2019

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. The questions posed in the course of appellate actions at FD-07-000190 were made cognizable by stipulation of all represented parties.¹

Each question should be regarded as if entered here in its entirety.²

2. Does Exhibit F. The Hill School Conversations sufficiently represent the facts supporting *civil* conspiracy and 42 Pa. C.S. §8344 Defamation?³

3. Would those claims of *civil* conspiracy or defamation be irreparably lost *per* Pa.R.A.P. §313(b) Collateral Orders if this appeal is not heard?⁴

4. Would the underlying criminal complaints or data about my beloved *niñas perdidas* be irreparably lost if this appeal is not heard?

5. Was that the intention of the trial court?

6. Would this perverse outcome further erode Pa. Constitution Article 1§11 or U.S. Constitution Article IV§1?

7. Why didn't the Hon. David Wecht participate in *this* decision?

8. Do either 18 U.S.C. §1346 or U.S.C. §1961 provide remedy for the problem of *Thrasymachus*? Does the #NewOrganon?

9. Could all of this damage be mitigated by ordering the trial court to hear 04-25-19 Amended Complaint?⁵

¹ See attached Exhibit G. Items of Judicial Notice or https://www.academia.edu/38126093/Exhibit_G_Items_of_Judicial_Notice

² See attached Exhibit H. Questions or Aporia or https://www.academia.edu/39906428/Exhibit_H_Questions_or_Aporia

³ See attached or https://www.academia.edu/38126090/Exhibit_F_The_Hill_School_Conversations

⁴ For definitions of *civil* conspiracy see: Weaver v. Franklin County, 918 A.2d 194, 202 (Pa.Cmwlth. 2007), and Reading Radio, Inc. v. Fink, 833 A.2d 199, 212 (Pa.Super. 2003).

⁵ See attached Exhibits E-F or download directly at https://www.academia.edu/38953832/04-25-19_Amended_Complaint

LISTS OF PARTIES AND RELATED PROCEEDINGS

Contact information for the petitioner is on the cover. The complete list of respondents is attached as Exhibit A. Defendants at App.37-39, with redacted updates downloadable at: https://www.academia.edu/38126065/Exhibit_A._Defendants

The 01-23-19 trial court order under appeal *here* was limited to issues involving:

- Defendant 23 Chairman of the Board of Trustees Preston G. Athey
- Defendant 24 Headmaster Zachary Lehman
- Defendant 25 The Hill School

Defendants 23, 24, 25 are represented by:

Scott G. Dunlop, Pa. I.D. #41638
Grant H. Hackley, Pa. I.D. #206141
Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin
501 Grant Street, Suite 700
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
412-803-1140

A list of all proceedings in state and federal trial and appellate courts, including proceedings in this Court, that directly arise from the same 250 Root Facts of this case in this Court are now attached to every significant filing as Exhibit G. Items of Judicial Notice, which can be found at App.46, with updated .pdf versions linked to the Root Data at: https://www.academia.edu/38126093/Exhibit_G._Items_of_Judicial_Notice

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	1
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	3
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION	4
CONCLUSION	5



INDEX OF APPENDICES

Appendix A. Decision of State Court of Appeals Quashing the Appeals	
03-25-19 326 Quash <i>Sua Sponte</i>	App.1
Appendix B. Decision of State Court of Appeals Denying Rehearing	
04-09-19 326 Reconsideration DENIED	App.2
Appendix C. Decisions and Opinions of State Trial Court on Appeal	
01-23-19 Defendants 23, 24, 25 DISMISSED	App.3
03-15-19 Reconsideration DENIED	App.4
Appendix D. Decision of State Supreme Court Denying Review	
09-16-19 134 WAL 2019 Petition DENIED	App.5
Exhibits Dashboard	App.6
Root Facts	App.7-36
<u>Exhibits A-I</u>	App.37-48

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES⁶

Pennsylvania Cases

Weaver v. Franklin County, 918 A.2d 194, 202 (Pa.Cmwlth. 2007) i
Reading Radio, Inc. v. Fink, 833 A.2d 199, 212 (Pa.Super. 2003)..... i

Pennsylvania Statutes and Constitution

42 Pa.C.S. §8344 Defamation i
Pa.R.A.P. §313(b) Collateral Orders i
Pa. Constitution Article 1§11 Courts to be open i, 2

U.S. Supreme Court Cases

U.S. Supreme Court 12-10508 *Ochoa v. Rubin* 5

U.S. Statutes and Constitution

18 U.S.C. §1346 Definition of “scheme or artifice to defraud..... i, 2
18 U.S.C. §1961 Racketeering influenced and corrupt organization i, 2
28 U.S.C. §1257(a) State courts; *certiorari*1
U.S. Constitution Article IV. Section I. Full Faith and Credit i, 2

⁶ .pdf versions of this document contain hotlinks to full texts of all citations.

मैत्र्यादिषु बलानि

PETITION FOR WRIT OF *CERTIORARI*

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of *certiorari* issue to review the judgements below.



OPINIONS BELOW

The opinions of the highest state court to review the merits of this case appear at App.1-2 as Appendices A-B. The orders and opinions of the trial court appear at App.3-4 as Appendix C.

All related court actions, as well as state and federal law enforcement complaints and USPS priority mail service records, are included in the Root Data:

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/yvt5jv2dim5fklq/AdENY_UOJt



JURISDICTION

The date on which the highest state court decided this case was 09-16-19. A copy of that decision appears at App.5 as Appendix D. A petition for rehearing was not filed. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. §1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The Constitutional and Statutory provisions previously presented to this Court should be regarded as if entered here in their entirety, especially:¹

Pa. Constitution Article 1§11. Courts to be open

All courts shall be open; and every man for an injury done him in his lands, goods, person or reputation shall have remedy by due course of law, and right and justice administered without sale, denial or delay.

18 U.S.C. §1346. Definition of “scheme or artifice to defraud”

For the purposes of this chapter, the term “scheme or artifice to defraud” includes a scheme or artifice to deprive another of the intangible right of honest services.

18 U.S.C. §1961 Racketeering influenced and corrupt organizations

(1) “racketeering activity” means (A) any act or threat involving murder, kidnapping, gambling, arson, robbery, bribery, extortion, dealing in obscene matter, or dealing in a controlled substance or listed chemical (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act), which is chargeable under State law and punishable by imprisonment for more than one year;

Also:

U.S. Constitution Article IV§1 Full Faith and Credit

Full Faith and Credit shall be given in each State to the public Acts, Records, and judicial Proceedings of every other State. And the Congress may by general Laws prescribe the Manner in which such Acts, Records and Proceedings shall be proved, and the Effect thereof.

¹ https://www.academia.edu/36286355/U.S._Supreme_Court_Conversations

मैत्री

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Having reason to believe that Defendants 1, 10, 11, 12, 13 had lied to the trial court at FD-07-000190 about the whereabouts and well being of my beloved *niñas perdidas*, I included Defendants 23, 24, 25 in service of a *criminal* complaint to state and federal law enforcement.²

After receiving that information, Headmaster Lehman continued to take money from Defendant 1 in Allegheny County, which is traceable to the theft by fraud of title to my home for autistic adults in the Bronx by Defendants 1, 10, 11, 12, 13. He then looked the other way as his own ill considered words were used against me at FD-07-000190 by Defendants 1, 10, 11, 12, 13, while continuing to “gaslight” my beloved *niñas perdidas*.³

And while I genuinely appreciate the care which I am sure that Defendants 23, 24, 25 showed to them, nevertheless, their words and deeds continue to do harm to the souls of יעל and תפארה.⁴ It is my sincere hope that Headmaster Lehman will realize that this course of action is contrary to the governing principles of the Hill School, and that these Defendants will voluntarily join me in *friendship* to pursue remedies which are compatible with the facts and the laws.

² See attached Exhibit I. U.S. Law Enforcement Conversations or https://www.academia.edu/40699190/Exhibit_I_U.S._Law_Enforcement_Conversations and 05-22-14 DHHS OIG at https://www.academia.edu/31152815/05-22-14_DHHS_OIG

³ See attached Exhibit F. The Hill School Conversations or https://www.academia.edu/38126090/Exhibit_F_The_Hill_School_Conversations

⁴ <https://www.academia.edu/27342383/Gemelli>

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Anticipating the dangers that I faced in reporting systemic corruption and abuse within the human liver transplantation program of the Starzl Institute to the Deans of the University of Pittsburgh Medical School, I took the precaution of clearly stating my intentions and calling upon the silent witness of the most universally trustworthy person I knew, Rabbi Yisroel Altein of the Chabad Lubovitch.⁵

On 01-06-08 I made the following sworn statement to Rabbi Altein:⁶

I have approached the Dean of the Medical School to talk about what was done to me and why. I am 2000 miles away, have no legal representation, and have no expectation of getting anything out of the Institution. I just want to be heard and to tell the truth to the best of my ability...

What is at stake is my girls' souls. If I don't speak out, they will grow up believing that evil rules the world, and that the self-interested pursuit of worldly power is the only salvation. I need to leave a testament through my speech[es] and deeds that I believed otherwise and was willing to act on my beliefs for their benefit...

What I need now are silent witnesses to follow my conversation with the powers of the institution as I do my best to do what is right and speak the truth...

I believe that this is the most compassionate and practical way to end this confrontation.

...and don't doubt that though I am weak and ignorant, I love and cherish my children as much as you do yours.

Shalom,
Michael Ochoa

⁵ See Attached [Exhibit C. Dialogue with the Deans](https://www.academia.edu/38126087/Exhibit_C_Dialogue_with_the_Deans) or https://www.academia.edu/38126087/Exhibit_C_Dialogue_with_the_Deans

⁶ See [Exhibit H Questions or Aporia > Evidence > Data to be Authenticated](https://www.academia.edu/22816277/Yamantaka_or_The_Mask_of_Sisera) or https://www.academia.edu/22816277/Yamantaka_or_The_Mask_of_Sisera



CONCLUSION

This petition for a writ of *certiorari* should thus be granted.

Then as I promised the late, Hon Antonin Scalia on 10-24-13:

“Finally, by merely allowing yourselves to be seen looking in my direction, you will effect immediate, transformative good for all, while long being remembered as just and wise and merciful.” - 10-24-13 U.S. Supreme Court 12-10508

Terras Irradiant!

Michael Ramon Ochoa,
Petitioner, *pro se*
58 West Portal Ave #218
San Francisco, CA 94127
(415) 373-2172
michaelochoa@mac.com

Date: November 07, 2019