

NO. 19-_____

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

CALVIN D. WILLIAMS,

Petitioner,

v.

SAMSON RESOURCES CORPORATION,

Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

CALVIN D. WILLIAMS
PETITIONER PRO SE
2614 HOLMES ST.
HAMTRAMCK, MI 48212
(313) 254-8100

JUNE 3, 2020

SUPREME COURT PRESS



(888) 958-5705



BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

QUESTION PRESENTED

1. Are Judges bound by: ABA Rule 2.15:, Judicial Canons 1-5, and FRCP Rule 46 while under color of [F]ederal authority?
2. Can the judge Fail to follow Proper Procedural Due Process while under color of [F]ederal authority?
3. Were there Any of this plaintiff's Constitutionally Protected Rights Violated by the Court on 6/8/2016? AND again on 9/7/2016?
4. Can the Judge violate someones Constitutionally Protected Rights while under color of [F]ederal authority according to the U.S. Supreme Court in *[Bivens] v. 6 Unnamed FNA*?
5. Did the plaintiff make the Court aware that fraudulent material had been passed to the Court by the debtor in that 6/8/2016 Evidentiary Hearing by: (BNC docket #1104), what action was taken?

LIST OF PROCEEDINGS

United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit

Case No. 18-3438

In Re: Samson Resources Corporation Reorganized Debtor, Calvin D. Williams, Appellant.

Opinion Date: October 4, 2019

Rehearing Date: January 14, 2020

United States District Court for the District of Delaware

Case No. 18-84-RGA

Calvin D. Williams, Appellant, v. Samson Resources Corporation, Appellee.

Order Date: September 27, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS	1
QUESTION PRESENTED	i
LIST OF PROCEEDINGS	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
FACTS COMPELLING EXERCISE OF THE COURT'S DISCRETIONARY JURISDICTION	1
OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	2
CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION INVOLVED	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	3
REASON FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	4
I. A JUDGE INAPPROPRIATE POLITICAL ACTIVITY	4
CONCLUSION	6

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Bivens v. 6 Unnamed Federal Narcotics Agents</i> , 40 U.S. 388 (1971).....	i, 1
CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS	
U.S. Const. amend. V.....	2
U.S. Const. amend. XIV, § 1.....	2
STATUTES	
28 U.S.C. § 1257(a)	2
JUDICIAL RULES	
ABA Rule 2.15.....	i, 2
Fed. R. Civ. P. 46	i, 3
Judicial Canons 1-51-5/(3b(6)).....	i



FACTS COMPELLING EXERCISE OF THE COURT'S DISCRETIONARY JURISDICTION

At present there is a *Bivens* complaint pending in the Delaware District Court: Case # 1:19-cv-02306 and includes a total of 20+ judges named in the *Bivens* complaint. (documents attached) where [Judge Richard G. Andrews] is assigned to hear the very case that he is named as a defendant in.

My complaint is that my Constitutionally Protected Rights have been violated (FIRST) by Judge C. Sontchi-BNC while acting under color of [F]ederal authority, and then by each individual judge thereafter that handled my case, but REFUSED to examine if my Constitutionally Protected Rights were indeed violated. I have evidence that they were, and the evidence supports Judicial Misconduct.



OPINIONS BELOW

The opinion of the United States District Court for the District of Delaware dated September 27, 2018 is included in the Appendix at App.7a, and it's corresponding order is included at App.6a. The opinions of the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, October 4, 2019 is included at App.1a.



JURISDICTION

The United States Third Circuit Court of Appeals denied a timely filed petition for rehearing on January 14, 2020. (App.21a). This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).



CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION AND JUDICIAL RULES INVOLVED

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

United States Constitution, Amendment V

... No person shall be deprived of Life, Liberty, or Property without Due Process of Law.

United States Constitution, Amendment XIV, § 1

No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges of citizens of the United States, nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, nor deny any person in its jurisdiction equal protection of the laws.

JUDICIAL RULES

A.B.A. Rule 2.15

(A) A judge having knowledge* that another judge has committed a violation of this Code that raises a substantial question regarding the judge's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a judge in other respects shall inform the appropriate authority.*

(B) A judge having knowledge that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial question regarding the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer in other respects shall inform the appropriate authority.

(C) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a violation of this Code shall take appropriate action.

(D) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct shall take appropriate action.

FRCP Rule 46

A formal exception to a ruling or order is unnecessary. When the ruling or order is requested or made, a party need only state the action that it wants the court to take or objects to, along with the grounds for the request or objection. Failing to object does not prejudice a party who had no opportunity to do so when the ruling or order was made.

Judicial Canons 1-5/(3b(6)) are also pertinent to this petition.



STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The entire case is built upon unjust enrichment in Louisiana from 1929 to PRESENT: WITH EVIDENCE ATTACHED.

The plaintiff presented a case of Breach of Contract, Illegal Professional Conduct of A Notary—which carries strict penalties, Expired Mineral Servitudes, Expired Oil & Gas Lease, CRUDE OIL Containers and OIL PUMPERS on my land, but yet NO RECORD of ANY payments to THIS family until 1978, and even then the 1972 & 1978 Division Orders ALTERS the language written on the FRAUDULENT 1949 lease, and finally FRAUDULENT material passed to the COURT by the debtor in the EVIDENTIARY HEARING on 6/8/2016 (See: BNC doc #1104).

The evidence is quite clear and not ambiguous, after the Breach of Contract and Illegal conduct from the Notary in 1936; TIME ALONE expired the mineral

servitudes and lease and ONLY following the legal prescription could re-erect the two.. So says the LAW.



REASON FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

I. A JUDGE INAPPROPRIATE POLITICAL ACTIVITY.

The reason why this petitioner should be granted writ of Certiorari is because this plaintiff wasn't just denied because he lacked evidence or merit, NO. The petitioner made and established his every allegation and was denied shockingly because of JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT. The petitioner has Constitutionally Protected Rights that were violated by (of ALL people) the Judge(s).

Certiorari MUST be granted here because the (LOWER COURTS) themselves have ALL failed to follow procedural due process when they were LAWFULLY bound to do so. The names of the players have nothing to do with The COURT maintaining its competence to stand and rule without fear or favor. The actions of the (Lower Courts) have caused a great deal of strife and placed undue pain and suffering upon this plaintiff, I have been stripped of my Rights just as if I were my Ancestors in Slavery times. For the indisputable reasons listed herein, it is this plaintiffs humble request that this TRUE FEDERAL COURT right the wrong caused by the lower court without delay, as I have needlessly suffered longer than I should have had to PLEASE?

1. Dear Supreme Court Justices, please don't take the rest of the time I have left. TIME itself, almost 100 years' worth is what has been stolen from my family and unjust enrichment is what it amounts to, AND EVERYTHING done after 6/8/2016 has been done IN VAIN and justice cries out.

2. MY TIME has been stolen by Judge Sontchi on JUNE 8, 2016, it stopped in that hearing when Judge Sontchi put a knife in my heart (figuratively speaking) and the true injustice is that my case was NEVER late. When Judge Sontchi broke the law that day my case wasn't late, but ALL of the other judges have since twisted my case around the crime that judge SONTCHI committed AND because of THEIR KNOWLEDGE in the LAW and my lack thereof, JUSTICE WAS THWARTED, and they would have YOU Supreme Court Justices to cover their backs TODAY by NOT INVESTIGATING THAT 6/8/2016 HEARING FOR JUDICIAL ERROR AND MISCONDUCT. (JUDGE SONTCHI is a LAW PROFESSOR) this was done on purpose!!! What purpose?

3. NOTHING I submitted was untimely if RIGHT can be applied to that hearing. It's not too late, see the truth is that I'm still fighting a case that I've already won. Where is the justice I'm that? I've seen the cover ups by these lower court judges that chose to use TIME against me instead of INVESTIGATING that 6/8/2016 hearing for JUDICIAL ERROR, that is NOT right, my TIME (rights) is being unjustly compromised. To say NOW that too much passed TIME has killed my chance of true justice is equivalent to putting a rope around my neck and kicking the chair. CAN RIGHT BE DONE HERE ONCE AND FOR ALL? PLEASE? The truth is that

EVERYTHING done after that hearing has indeed been done in vain. So unfair on so many levels to this family.



CONCLUSION

For the aforementioned facts and law, Calvin D. Williams would respectfully request this Honorable Court grant the Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

Submitted this the 3rd day of June 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Calvin D. Williams

CALVIN D. WILLIAMS
PETITIONER PRO SE
2614 HOLMES ST.
HAMTRAMCK, MI 48212
(313) 254-8100

JUNE 3, 2020