

No.

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATESJason L. Brown PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

Lisa M. Brown — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Jason L. Brown  
(Your Name)695 State Rd  
(Address)Barnesville, PA 18214  
(City, State, Zip Code)570-573-3201  
(Phone Number)

## QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Violation of Freedom of Speech.

[ Is it fair for the Lower Courts to ]  
[ Deny my child and I rights to the ]  
[ Courts, and refer to us as Judicial ]  
[ Waste, and Judicial Harrassment ]

Does a parent have to be worthy to Raise  
their own Child? Has the Lower Court abused its Power? Etc

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

[ Jason L. Brown ]  
[ Lisa M. Brown ]  
[ ]

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION .....	2 #
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	II #
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	2 #
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	3-11 #
CONCLUSION .....	12 #
Proof of Service	13

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	US Court of Appeals Third Circuit
APPENDIX B	US District Court Middle District of PA
APPENDIX C	Supreme Court of PA
APPENDIX D	Superior Court of PA
APPENDIX E	Schuylkill County Court of Pleas
APPENDIX F	

## SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

## PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

## OPINIONS BELOW

 For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States Court of Appeals appears at appendix A to the petition and is

reported at Nov 7 2019; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States District Court appears at appendix B to the petition and is

reported at Nov 26 2018; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

 For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at appendix C to the petition and is

reported at 23rd October 2018; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the Schuylkill County Courthouse #6 court appears at appendix D to the petition and is

reported at March 21st 2018; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from federal courts:

## JURISDICTION

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was  
Nov 01 2019

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of the U.S. Supreme Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

 For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was Aug 4 2018.  
A copy of that decision appears at appendix C.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of the U.S. Supreme Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. §1257(a).

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Petition for allowance of appeal *Brie Sing* 1

Table of Contents .....	i
Table of Citations .....	ii
14 th Amendment of the United States of America .....	1 of 2
Pre-Briefing for Supreme Court review.	
I. Orders,& other determinations in question .....	2
II. Statement of scope and standard of view .....	2
III. Statement of questions involved .....	3
IV. statement of the case .....	4
V. Summary of Argument .....	9
VI. Argument .....	10
VII. Conclusion - transfer interrupted .....	12
IV. Certificate of Service .....	
IV. Certificate of Service .....	1 of 1
Apendix	
Superior court verdic .....	1 of 4
Opinion of court interm order .....	1 of 2
most recent order of custody .....	1 of

## TABLE OF CITATIONS

---

Citations.

---

### STATUTES

---

210. Pa. Code Rule 1112.

const 14<sup>th</sup> amendment.

### CASES

---

In Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57 (2000)

In Oliver v. Feldner, 776 N.E.2d 499 ( Ohio ct. App.2002)

5 the 14 amendment, , A state has no right too infringe on the fundment right of a parent too make decisions regarding the care, custody, and control of the child, there was no wrong doing as far as harrassment on my end, I just failed too waste the jury's time with the circus they created from my parental obligation too Abigail M Brown who is the third party involved in this whole set of case's being presented before the higher Courts.

In The UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit  
No. 19-1053

Lisa M. Brown

v.

Jason L. Brown,  
Appellant.

---

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

---

I Jason L Brown ( appellant) is requesting The United States Supreme Court to grant a Writ of Certiorari, from a JUDGEMENT Entered on NOV 1<sup>ST</sup> 2019 from the United States Court of appeals of the Third Circuit.

Date

11-24-2019

pro-se appellant  
Jason L. Brown  
695 State Rd  
Barnesville PA 18214  
(570) 573-3201

Jason L Brown

## Statement of Jurisdiction

---

The United States District Court Says Jurisdiction is only held in the United States Supreme Court. I kindly ask for review, and redirection too  
The United States Supreme Court unless you's are able too hear the case.

### [ Pennsylvania Court Jurisdiction ]

This Court has jurisdiction based upon Pa.R.A.P. 341  
Section (C) (2).

Pa.R.A.P. 1114- (a) (7)

Pa.R.A.P. 1115- (a) (5)

---

## Order & other determinations in question.

---

Order of Dismissal of Appeal from Superior court.

Order of court Entered on the 26<sup>th</sup> day of March 2018

Order of court Entered on the 9<sup>th</sup> day of June 2017

Order of court Entered on the 5<sup>th</sup> day of August 2016

Interim Order of court Entered on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of April 2016.

Transcripts where requested, 2 were denied without payment, The most recently hearing on the 26<sup>th</sup> of march 2018 was granted in forma Pauperis, (I am very low income, and it posed a hardship) I couldn't afford too purchase them.

---

## Scope of Review, & the Standard of Review.

---

I the Appellant ask the superemeness of the higher court too concider a full review of the custody case presented before you's. I feel and know there are mistakes made by the lower courts, and the Custody officer (m.a. b. ) that has wrongfully currupted the mind of the lower court judges decisions. The mistakes in law and the abuse of deccertion takin against me and my daughter's civil rights. 2

The Lower court judge mistakingly confused the appellee's house for the maternal home that has been provided for the child to be raised in. The house is made with health hazardous espestic material, and insist's that the appellant has too be wealthy too be a fit parent of the child. I can't find anywhere in the U.S. citizenship where one has to be wealthy too maintain there child. He condems me, & my child from our livelyhoods, and the courts. So does The mother of the child with here lies too the courts, and the games she plays of depervation, and aggervation. This is the only way we can legally go about a fair custody agreement. The Appellee refuse's too give me and my daughter overnights together, and she is in no danger here, yet it is ok for the appellee too drive drunk, & recklessly indanger our child, The lower court judge fails too see any wrong doing by the appellee.

When haveing too forward too the trial courts my daughter, and I where stricken, and punished for doing so, by the lower court, and there workers. The appellee's first lawyer refus us as harassment, and judicial waste, aka( k. r.). the lower court judge seems to agree.

---

#### Statement of Questions Involved.

---

Has the lower court abused it's power, and discretion of applying the law correctly in my and my daughter's coustody case, and have they abused my daughter's and my civil rights as citetizens of the United States of America in there decisions? the judges in the U.S. Supreme Court state in the case of *Troxel v. Granville*, 530 U.S. 57 (2000) the states don't have the rights too interveine in the way I raise my child, as long as it in proper manor, and the child is loved and cared for. Also In the case load of *Oliver v. feldner*, 776 N.E. 2d 499 (ohio ct.app 2002). My daughter was wrongfully taken from her home, and her loving father who was always her caregiver. (Mom only cares about money, and keeping me and my daughter from holding a good relationship.)

Statement Of The Case.

---

Good day your honor's. Allow Me, The Appellant Jason L Brown too Start with (rule 1926 (d) ) correction or modification of the record. I present you's with (exhibit 1) Paternity Analysis report.

(probability of Relationship 99.99%) Too A. M. B., (00-00-13) D.O.B

The Appellant is the husband of the appellee, Appellant Appeals from the dismissal of the Superior courts ruling, and the orders of court of the court of common plea's of Schuylkill County Docket # S-362-16 that began the 29<sup>th</sup> of Febuary 2016, when the appellee filed with the court for child custody/divorce from appellant, where as we continued on to a Summary of conciliation conference ( exhibit 2) w/ Interim Order of court, that was dated 13<sup>th</sup> day of april 2016.

This my first experince in custody hearing, and this was where the Extortion of the child from her home, and livelyhood, and caregiver began through false Information By K. R. Esq, and my wife , ( I assume they knew the hearing wouldn't be recorded.)  
Custody Officer M. A. B., Esq,  
K. E. R. Esq for the Appellee, (plaintiff).  
I could not afford a attorney, Jason L Brown Pro-se ( defendant).

...., ...., ..... ....

The Appellee Moved the child from the maternal home provided for her by us, without my concent in Sept 5<sup>th</sup> 2015. I have always been the child's caregiver cense birth, appellee was in a corpritate job, that provided Insurance for the child, and family. I opperate a small business Brown's Tree & Turf Scapes. The work load has been slow so we agreed it was best I stay with the child in her maternal home and raise her. My mother would realive me from Abigail on her days off if I had a customer, or the appellee's mother would watch her. After Abigail turned 2 1/2 the appellee's mother oppted out of watching her if i had work. She said she don't get up early enough too watch her anymore. So it wasn't a problem for me work is slow for me, I just continued too care for the child. some times I would take (abi) with me too do job estimates, or sometimes if I was working for Good friends, there would be a responcible adult there too watch Abigail for and hour. She enjoyed her days with dad, never sat in a dirty diper, was alway's the boss, and came first, ( and always will!). There was never a problem with it till my wife's attorney kr r" got involved, then the false alligations began, and the deflamation of caractor began, The appellee was fine with me having the child monday through friday's while she went to work, and had a relationship with a co-worker, I refused the custody arrangement she had planned,

cause she would not allow Abigail, and I any overnights together. The only thing I could due to my knowlage was request it too trial, well they all got furrious, Mr B: said he was going too reduce my daughter's, and my time, so that I could make more money, it was the busier time of the year for me, and i explained i could get daycare if there was work available. He didn't care, said I'm requesting 2 day a week for you and your daughter so you can make money. I strongly disagreed with him, and request my daughters civil right too have overnights with me, not too menchion my own. The Schuylkill County courts began punishing my daughter, and I for progressing too a trial with judge C---- P---- D----. He backed the Idea, Mr Barket said I couldn't just go into trial and demand full custody of my child (abi) said I would have too file a modification of custody, so I taught myself, and filed it, Well we made it too trial. Mrs R---- Attorney for the plaintive was blantily lying too the courts, saying I have no repeat customers, too make my business look bad, insinuated I have mental pariona problems, It was a bunch of nonscents, had no evidence too back it. (Yet the last trial i was just in the judge tells me my word holds no merit?) I call that a double standard. That took us up too the first set custody Order of August 9<sup>th</sup> 2016, Where I was granted 1 overnight, and a sunday everyther week, But My Daughter and I still lost 12 days a month too gain that. My mother who the child loves dearly lost her time with the grandchild also. The child was in disarray and affected mentialy and emotionaly! The lower courts have dusrupted the bond of the child too me, and the grandmother. (I just seen the child after not haveing any phisical contact for 4 days, 5-14-2018, and she comes home brusied on her legs, shins. She does play rough, jumps around alot, runs, falls at times.)

Then after the trial We got hit with child support, Mr ba  
it said he was taking the child way so i could get  
cought up on my bills,, only too trough another \$360.00  
bill on the pile. Well this is Extortion/exaction (tiger-nap-  
ping) of the lower courts! After the aug 9<sup>th</sup> 2016 court I  
needed Income for the costs i endoored, going through the  
courts. I got some things in order, Enjoyed the couple  
overnights i had with my daughter, and tried too adjust my  
ways too better suite the childs custody when she was  
with me, I quit smoking cigerettes, Put and auto closing  
door mechinism on it, got pictures too present too the  
courts, that ms Ri \*\*\* /and the plaintiff was lying about  
the child being in dirty conditions. ( abi does love playing in  
the dirt, and creek, and being outdoors. she should have the  
right to get dirty, It is a free country, she not hurting any-  
thing, and it's not human, or animal waste, if she is dirty.) well  
then my wife and ms ri \*\*\* r started with the Harrassment  
alligations, too defame my charactor in hopes of me lossing  
future custody arrangemnets. The appellee, moved the child  
for the second time too an unsafe home, without my notifi-  
cation, or approval. This time it was out the road from my  
house, 933 Barnesville Dr. When I had too use the family  
car we shared, when my truck broke down, it wasn't being  
used by the appellee, It was in deplorable condition, and I  
wouldn,t give it back too the appellee for saftey reasons,  
and maintaince purpose's she became very irratated, that  
was at the same time the paternity test was being ar-  
ranged, and she started saying that I was harrassing, stalk-  
ing her, That also included the 6- 29- 2016 following in a  
public place, retaliation charges later filed by the local po-  
lice, the stalking charge was dismissed, two other charges  
are being appealed at this time (current). Just more factors  
too deprive me and my daughter of our lively hood togeth-  
er. I have endoored numberous hostile moments where she  
had been disgruntal, cause I planed on going back too the  
courts for more custody rights. Well october i got time too

refile modification of custody, I only told her I was going too file it, out of respect of the matter that I our daughter was going to be with me that day, and if something happened, that we would be late for when she came too pick her up, she knew where we where. well she got irrate-barged her way into , drug my daughter crying trying to get her back out of the house, and I prevented her from taking her, ( my daughter had a mind set that she could be with dad, and her cats for the day.) She said she was calling the cops, I said good call them! this was the same officer k--- c--- that filed false charges on me for stalking. the appelle, can only get to me through the child, so she don't think about the child's feeling, she just wants me to get arrested so it benefits her. she told the cop I was us-ing the modification as harassment, well I filed the modification anyhow. That is when K--- r--- R. demanded it be dismissed, and refured too me and my daughter as harassment, and judicial waste. The trial judge Dc---n, agreed, and dismissed the modification. ( Exhibit 3, line 13) So I Re-applied for modification of custody in december of 2016, It was approved again, but the informa paupris was denied for some reason? I also tried too file protection from abuse from, the incident in October, they just said take it up with the judge in the custody hearing, Well nothing seemed to matter, nothing was done. semms the blame got turned around on to me again. That trial seemed too be the same, June 9<sup>th</sup> was the order from it, it only took away from my daughter and I. Our phone rights where denied 21 times through the course of january 2017- april 2017. Appelle had child out in the harsh weather late into the evening, ( abi is normally in bed by 8 pm.) She is a good sleeper. she also don't need bats that can carry rabies in her house. 6 months passed, and that brough us to the current trial, (3-21-2018) that I was able too learn the appeal process, I never agreed with the past verdicts,

I'm new too the law scene, and had too teach myself how to do an appeal. I found it not to be very easy, and it is very intruding on my lifestyle. The transcripts cover the last trial, yet it was still used against me even though the appellee, has dui, reckless endangerment of a child, reckless driving, driving on the wrong side of the road charges against her. yet again the trial court judge reduced my custody rights, rather then placing the child back into my custody, and her maternal home where she loves to be.

---

#### Summary of the Argument.

---

The lower court Seems too think the appellant, only want's the child for the support money. The appellant thinks the court's only concern for the father income is too create tax revenue for there retirement penchions. The lower court fails too understand the facts that I have been the child's care giver from day one, & they so easily overlook the dangerous situation the appellee, puts the child in. The lower court also denies the appellant from working when the child has custody with him. the lower court also turns the subject around saying, i'm only fileing for custody because the child wants to be with her father more, The appellant has been seeking full custody from the beginning, mother was supposed to file for divorce in september of 2015, it took her 6 months too file, she has time for relationship's with her coworker, but not time too tend too the needs of the child. Over and over the lower fails too meet the best interest of the child's well being, they only are concerend about my income, and petty responce's about a child being dirty, or smelling like smoke from the fireplace in the house. The lower court tells me in previous order's i'm "frobiddin" from giving the child candy, now in this

order, He condems me for not makeing enough money too buy the child a candybar, ( I make our own candy if we want candy) If the lower court wasn't extorting us of our funds, maybe I would be in a better financial position. Operating a sloely opperated business in schuylkill isn't easy, the population is strickend with poor quality jobs. and too think the courts would deside a daycare system is more important then a home, well that just goies too show ya the mentality of creating revenue. When I did take time from my schedule too due a parenting awareness of children, the lower court dismissed it, I will reinstate here as (exhibit 4),( under the rule of 1926 (d) correction or modification of the record.) The kids first program the appellee' admittingly admitted she don't follow too, that program cost me estimated \$400.00, time and expence's. when I though it should be followed, the lower court overlooked my opinion.

---

#### Argument for Appellant.

---

The lower court refuse's too place the child in her safe, and stabble loving home with the father being the appellant. They cridasize my way of life, They appellee complains of the court procedding, when the facts of the matter are that she was the that took the child from her home, and went to the courts too start the process, and continues too blame me for harrassment, when all I can legally due is relieve on the courts for help too improve the situation. I believe, me and my daughter have just as much rights too the courts, as the next being. constintly causing friction, and hostile enviroment, only makes me

rely on the courts too provide help in the matter, If she would have allowed us a few overnights, we would not have had to disturb the courts, and as for the twisted determinations, i have no other option then too try too improve the situation for myself, and my daughter, so this is all brought upon by the one's who are making the depritive solution that I will not agree too. the well being of the child is at stake here, a little dirt ain't going too kill her, and it's been inscripted the child was sleeping after playing, and it's not nessarry too wake the child up too get washed up, mom just arrived with no time allance for the situation. My family provides the same loveing enviroment for the child, they condemed me for teaching her how to swim, yet they have not stepped up and taught her too swim, The opinion of the lower court holds a double standard. Every Friday was my and my daughter's lunch day, I did this too teach her how too interact in public, the courts took it away from us, daycare is better they say, now she has constant health altercation, ( she comes home sick alot.) Then it interveins with my work, I need too be able too run, and have physical work style. I also do have back injury's, at times i'm good too go, other times, Well the last time, It paralized my right side, couldn't write with a pen, couldn't drive a car, I couldn't feel my foot, or move it too work the peddles. Then the car Issue's, where in the us citizenship does it require a person too have a car too keep there child, The appellee, has no driver's license now, And 3 day after the trial 3-21-2018 the appellee's work place was on the local new's saying the plant is going too shut down as of June 1 2018, and all positions are going too be terminated, no chance for relocation? So I argue now who is in the better situation, at least I got my retirement business in motion. It provides for us! It could provide more if the situation was better, ( I can't be in a tree when my back is disabled, Or when my ribs

are broken from getting hit by a falling tree, nor can I be there if I'm studying for court trials, appeals, etc. Nor can I invest too grow my business when where being extorted from it by the lower court.

---

Transfer Interrupted.

## Closing

Admittance of the foregoing reason, I the Appellant request this Honorable Court too oversee the entire case, and reverse the decision of the Court of common pleas of Schuylkill County too the least of 50/50 custody, Appellant can set custody arrangement's with the appellee if full sole custody of A. M. Brown is granted too him. I don't wish too damage my Daughter's relationship with her mother, It obviously isn't like that in the appellee's decisions. I would like too seal this moment with a thank you for your time, I understand there is harsher problems you's must deal with.

Respectfully submitted,

Jason L Brown  
Pro-se appellant.

2-3-2020