No. _____

In the Supreme Court of the United States

19-8805

ORIGINAL

Shane Bruce

Petitioner

v.

Lori P. Staudenmaier M.D., UT Family Physicians,
The University of Tennessee Medical Center, Gregory A. Finch P.A.,
Tennova Cardiology, Stephen Teague M.D., Jeffry Nitz,
Lafollette Medical Center of Tennova Healthcare,
Tennova LaFollette Medical Center Clinic, Christian Terzian M.D
University Infectious Disease,
Office of the Secretary of Defense,
The Center of Disease Control,
General James Mattis,
Sir John Sawers, Board member of BP plc.
Great Britain

Respondents

Petition for Writ of Certiorari

Shane Bruce
in propria persona
313 W. Prospect St.
La Follette, TN 37766
(423)377-9155

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

Questions of importance to the Public:

Are victims of industrial poisoning persecuted as a group with grounds for claims a subclass facing Unconstitutional animus?

Is litigation against foreign entities found criminal in harming the populace applicable under JASTA (Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act)?

Does District-wide conspiracy negate medical malpractice pre-trial requirements of 'good-faith' certificates or notification?

Is IFP screening or abuses thereof Unconstitutional?

Questions of further Consideration:

Does the District Court have to follow fair and unbiased expected procedures based on precedent of facts and logical argument?

Does the District Court have to maintain its Jurisdiction as a duty?

Whether factual error and preserved error is immune from plain error review?

Can Orders only cite precedents which conflict with their decisions?

Are Pro Per allowed to amend Complaint in perceived errors of form?

PARTIES

Shane Bruce is the petitioner; he is the plaintiff-appellant below.

The respondents, defendants-appellee below, are categorized.

The medical malpractice are: **Lori P. Staudenmaier M.D., UT Family Physicians,**

The University of Tennessee Medical Center, Gregory A. Finch P.A.,

Tennova Cardiology, Stephen Teague M.D., Jeffry Nitz,

Tennova LaFollette Medical Center Clinic, Christian Terzian M.D.,

Lafollette Medical Center Tennova Healthcare,

The failure to warn respondents are:

Office of the Secretary of Defense, General James Mattis,

The Center of Disease Control,

Sir John Sawers, Chair of the BP geopolitical, environmental safety & security board member of BP plc as of 2015

Britain

The poisoning defendant **BP plc [British Petroleum]** was severed to **MDL 2179 2:18-cv-02626 United States District Court of Louisiana**.

Based on evidence[1] obtained from Respondents the Petitioner withdraws suit against the following parties. Previously joined as the doctors had alleged comparative fault.

No longer parties, with thanks for their efforts:

American Association of Poison Control Centers (AAPCC)

American Medical Association (AMA)

National Institute of Health (NIH)

---

[1] Appendix H

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INDEX OF APPENDICES

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Regulations

Constitutional Provisions

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Shane Bruce respectfully petitions for a writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit and the United States District Court of East Tennessee. 28 U.S.C.

OPINIONS BELOW

The District Court entered Judgement on 9/25/18. The unpublished order from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit Bruce v. Great Britain, et al 18-6149 on 3/19/2020 and Order denying petition for rehearing on 4/13/2020.

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

The instant Petition is filed within 90 days of the Order denying rehearing 13th of April 2020, and within 150 days of the CoVid19 exception from the opinion affirming judgment of the 19th of March 2020. This Court's jurisdiction to grant certiorari is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

42 U.S.C. § 1985. Conspiracy to interfere with civil rights. FRCP 8 v FRCP 9

As a Court with Nationwide Jurisdiction the United State Supreme Court can react to multi-national entities as if they were resident individual by 50 U.S. Code § 23 given the findings of the BP plc being found criminal in its actions harming a populace, apropos if deemed intentional see Appendix H and Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act is actionable in complaint. Failure to warn comes under 43 U.S.C. 1350c in the misrepresentation of why that petroleum microbe was present Appendix H, civil has no limit on expiration and contented provisions used in Orders.

FRCP 8 as Petitioner met a(1,2,3) in his Complaint, but Respondents/ Defendants/ Appellees none met FRCP 8(b)(1)(B) digression from usual adherences as those cases cited support trial by Jury, Circuit disagreement. Any bias against Pro Per becomes again a Constitutional Rights violation if usually abused, Petitioner has stated claims upon which relief can be granted. The 'bad-faith' of Judges falsifying statements in orders and complaint by 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64), Public Law 107-273, § 11042(a).

STATEMENT OF CASE

A. Facts

In 2011 Petitioner Shane Bruce was poisoned by heavy metal rains caused by the series of actions taken by BP plc. Oozing sores covered many campers after that rain. Petitioner suffered various recurring and changing illnesses sought consultation via tele-presence by Dr. Ryan Shelton on the 8th of November 2013 who accurately diagnosed heavy metal poisoning. Lab tests confirmed severe Arsenic and Manganese poisonings.

In on the 8th of August 2016 and until the filing of the Complaint, the Petitioner sought medical treatment for the heavy metal poisonings with Dr. Lori Staudenmaier and those doctors listed as Respondents each seeing those lab tests results refused to treat the already diagnosed heavy metal poisonings the Petitioners only complaint.

Their lies about the condition were so outrageously wrong and identical that it had already become clear conspiracy. Dr. Staudenmaier hid tests from the Petitioner and attempting to confuse reports of the poisoning back to the AAPCC (Appendix H) requiring emergency medical treatments. She intentionally falsely faulted the AAPCC not allowing her to prescribe antidotes. As a result of that intentional malpractice, the Petitioner did not know that he had been subsequently poisoned in 2017.

Emergency response towards poisonings is critical. Chronic Arsenic, if not treated during acute stages, takes years to treat instead of days or weeks. DMSA antidote and associated supplemental treatments costs are in excess of $250,000.00 per year.

EMTALA as the Petitioner checked into respective Emergency rooms with emergency hypertension caused by the neurotoxin of Manganese overdose and chest pains from Carditis from Arsenic poisoning. Those informed Emergency rooms did not stabilize with DMSA nor purge the Manganese with EDTA. Moreover, all those doctors and hospitals said they weren't allowed to treat arsenic.

The Petitioners current doctor does prescribe DMSA now and the Petitioners recovery is slow, but those conditions have stabilized with 500 mg doses six times daily, 20 days per month along with EDTA I.Vs. DMSA is a World Health Organization 'essential medicine' used widely around the world as the only effective antidote.

Untreated there is indescribable constant pain and inflammations, coupled with hypertension from neurotoxins and the moment by moment struggle to live and weeks or months of literally being crippled by searing pain and muscle failure weren't helped by the confusing medical Respondents falsely blaming AAPCC/AMA. Most days of a decade could be labeled as a torturous too tired to scream. From 2012 through 2014, besides not diagnoses doctors local to East Tennessee refused to treat obvious infection which included open sores and red ropes of infections.

During those years malpractice Petitioner was forced to try to get first antibiotics and then after diagnoses DMSA East Tennessee doctors refused to prescribe from unregulated foreign markets which pauperized the Petitioner and creating a large debt after liquidating his two businesses of a West Coast courier service and also a sailboat charter company in the Gulf Coast and curtailing graduate studies in Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering following his B.A. in Physics. Some of those foreign compounds were counterfeit DMSA attempts to pass off titanium dioxide. The Respondents costs years and caused problems with quality of life, organ and tissue damage, service to self is intermittent, canes, CPAP and oxygen sometimes required.

Petitioner also showed anomalous bacteria when treated for Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever on the 5th of June 2014. So resistant to antibiotics means it has double-cell-walls and positive on band 41 IGG has flagella which is <u>not</u> RMSF is the identical description of Oleispira Antarctica which has been genetically modified to pressurize oil wells by converting compounds into gas and stir heavy metals causing geometrically increased contaminations when in contact with the oceans floors' heavy metal ores of manganese and arsenic.[2]

Petitioner asks the Supreme Courts leave to file the hundreds of pages of medical records noting the lack of care also of proper medical care as Petitioner has better doctors starting on 3rd of August 2017 who deal directly with the elemental poisonings and the myriad of concerns they cause under Seal to the Clerk. Petitioner regularly makes 160-mile trips to gain treatment and is blocked from local medical facilities by Respondents.

[2] Appendix H

B. The District Courts Finding

Judge Mattice of the U.S. E. Tennessee District so biased as to rant in two of his Orders not only unexpected and unusual but shocking. The first Order Appendix A, not only denying amendment to the Complaint but barring any further amendments and staying Discovery. The second Order, Appendix B, is the Order which attempts a series of judicial backflips to stray from Constitutional to Statutory Jurisdictions to 'de novo' to espouse his personal/political views at Pro Se.

The Order[3] refusing any possibility of amendment follows four motions to strike. Mattice tells them he's doing the next best thing in denying the Amendment. The Petitioner had asked the District Court for protection for his father Okey Jackson and also partner in familial equity which is being disputed from other family members after the Petitioner and Okey fell and the respondents (the Tennova hospital conspiratorial is also workplace of Carolyn Jackson, wife and mother contending rights to the Petitioners equity who also personally obstructed testing and treatment) to transfer Okey per 42 U.S. Code § 1395dd(b)1(B). Mattice presiding on an EMTALA complaint of the same nature willingly contributing to the crime of holding a witness untreated. The extremely close relationship of Okey Jackson and the Petitioner allowing representation of a third party in that Okey Jackson was held by hostile hospitals [Singleton v Wulff, 428 U.S. 106 (1976), Tileston v. Ullman, 318 U.S.44(1943)] hindered. Petitioner brought Petition signed by Okey Jackson signed requesting transfer to treatment, Mattice unconstitutional orders against those rulings of the Supreme Court. Petitioner has had to file versus Carolyn Jackson in Tennessee Circuit Court for damages.

Their malpractice was lethal as vindictively held there Okey Jackson died on 4th of December. 2017. Mattice and those medical Defendants again knew of the threat to life, preserved in docket, abuse of courts used as a weapon to murder. After that Mattice began to rant in his orders further illustrating bias/prejudice so unusual as to dissolve any legal immunity to litigation having given aid to conspirators against basic

[3] Appendix A

Constitutional, right to life and human rights, low kinetic insurrection at the Constitutional Rule of Law.[4] RO also attempts to violate the 1st Amendment.

The 18th of December Order of the Court a rabid response mislabeled as charitable echoes the Medical Respondents sentiments which could only be expected from the most vicious organized crime. Refusing further amendments "to save Bruce from attempting to refile"[5] in that continued draconic vernacular, Mattice didn't challenge their behavior ranging from witness tampering, false imprisonment to murder of a witness. Instead challenges that the Petitioner should be there, and falsely misstates it the Plaintiff harassing and that Pro Se "clog the judicial machinery"[6]. Not one word of castigation has ever been mentioned towards a single Defendant. Instead Mattice join in making serial misstatements alluding to 'just to being annoying" intentionally confusing facts.

When the opposing lawyers or Judges cite Pro Per cases, those I have seen are all referring to Criminal Law which is an entirely different language than the Tort laws on which I'm suing on. Citations referring to Pro Per can be construed as stricter actions towards professional misconduct. I am no ward. If I had allowed myself to become helpless towards those defendants as so many others, I would not be alive. What has been lacking are precedents being named are some good well-meaning Pro Per whose Civil suits have interests of society as well as himself [myself] being allowed a single moment of grace in a flock of opposing misstatements and bias.

This Petitioner Plaintiff Pro Per is not defending a position, this Petitioner Plaintiff Pro Per is prosecuting a Civil Action of signifant importance to society and such individual suits are the wind the sails influencing this ship of a democratically elected Republic which demands full service. During Public Health concerns the Pro Se will file in masse as those in positions of duty are terrifyingly derelict or worse conditions unremedied. The government cannot function in a self-created vacuum where it decides to ignore the needs of the governed, no population consents to be poisoned no matter how

[4] Amendment XVI Section 3
[5] Appendix A p 1
[6] Appendix A p 5

many derelict rants or misstatements are made. Once composed of mad men ceases to be a governing entity and becomes a dragon.

The Public will also forever decry such staged precedents such as Bradley v. Fisher 80 U.S. 335 (1871) by judges and lawyers where 'immunity' and the American Bar Association are formed. Dysfunction segregationists from those they serve is highlighted when proliferated collusion to threaten the Public Health becomes pronounced. Civil War would serve the United States better than those congregating to foul positions for greed.

No usual person would seek the company of the Courts, it's a trying place where equal hearing must be held, usually sought as a last resort when a large entity harms others, or more specifically when entities have abused the Courts to become tyrannical, so bold to cause harm without a second thought. Pro Per appear for reasons of society as composing it and against those abusing it. Obviously, we are not those trained to craftily abuse courts. Bias against the individual people (Pro Se) is Unconstitutional no matter what office. Imagine each of you were to design a nation where you wanted simply to be Citizens.

Mattice, blinding himself with staying discovery, sarcastically makes fun of medical costs[7] by his subjective version of reality. With no effort to ask what Chemet, generic DMSA, costs; It is at least $1,000,000.00 to treat four plus years due to chronic arsenic previously neglected, purging as slowly leached else arsenic is reabsorbed, a constant supply is then needed. Such obstinate monoscopic introversion and dogged loyalty to campaign funding is a dangerously senile judicial mind by Aggerate Doctrine has led to the United States being crippled by international chemical warfare as the courts dumb themselves down. The safety valve is the voice of real people, not those trying to impress the lawyer network. There needs precedent of a Civil Action Pro Se prevailing over an arbitrary and obviously chronically wrong U.S. Judge for Appeals, ripe for Pro Per.

One doesn't want to have to challenge a Judge. Plaintiff already challenging a half a dozen doctors sure of their conspiracy in malpractice. The case of those medical malpractice network in East Tennessee is well defined by actions. If having to also

[7] Appendix B p 2

provide plausible improper motive to conspiracy, then note professionals are influenced by administrative/collusive edicts. What if not the Public Health and interests of the populace has edict control over the medical respondents?

The University of Tennessee which is also the primary and managing prime contractor to ORNL [Oak Ridge National Labs] which is related to Y-12 and TVA [Tennessee Valley Authority] with a 20 Billion Dollar per year budgets. As a group it bears the most influence on the entire parasitic District far outstripping all other entities, 100-mile wide company town, much owned by the entities.

UT/ORNL/Y-12 are <u>not</u> desirable stations scientists hope to gain. It's where the administrative or security which would aim for WMD facilities would be placed as psychological wash outs. A remote toxin refinery by any other word. Left to its "Lord of the Flies" create its own guidelines, administrative salaries are record highs in the U.S. government to more than a million per year for the CEO of TVA, essentially feudal cults. Occasionally some IT resources being rented out, or place those unplaceable foreign defectors, Multi-Multi-Billion Dollar squalor.

Note Bono that TVA had an arsenic spill into a river in 2008 called the Kingston Coal Ash Spill where hundreds were poisoned, no reports of expected downstream poisons. 'National Security' should be expected to watch for reported contaminations are instead suppressed by doctors. Security instead act like racketeer's chase employees with side-business like lawnmower repair. Their focus neither safety nor reporting but that of 'money'. This is the probable 'influence' behind the conspiracy with high levels of confidence. Certainty is UT Medical Network is a Respondent misbehaving.

The single area of expertise that should be expected from the UT Medical Center reflecting those billions of dollars spent on heavy metal research should be innovations of treatments, or minimally nascent abilities to diagnose heavy metals worked on by thousands of scientists over decades. Instead due to bad risk management, UT falsified and suppressed heavy metal super-toxic overdoses. Note Bono, Tennessee has geo-statistically abnormally low[8] reported rates of septicemia (blood infection symptomatic

[8] Appendix G p 14

of small vessel hemorrhage caused by heavy metals). Thus, UT Medical while misrepresenting is a substantial threat to the Public of our time, misdiagnosing at statistical anomaly level of a State.

If there were only a thousand East Tennesseans chronically poisoned the prescription compensation could be a Billion dollars in medical costs. In responding the 2008 TVA incident of the Kingston Coal Ash Arsenic Spill, if UT/TVA had a competent or intelligent risk management, it could have manufactured the DMSA for fractions of cent on a dollar and offset liability costs into developing pharmaceutical manufacturing infrastructure as part of its vast controlled facilities and the U.S. then further prepared against arsenic disasters such as the BP Oil Explosion.

Instead of being a line of defense, UT becomes misreporting malfeasant managing contractor obfuscating where warnings are required, tyrannical suppressing treatments. Government acting in insurrection to its expected roles.

In trying to please those problematic draconic entities, Mattice struggles to use those Tennessee Statutes in shocking judgments, are misstatements rife with errors. I ask the United States Supreme Court to specifically note the section after Mattice says "*It is unlikely Tennessee's "good faith" statute would defeat a claim pled under that federal statute*"[9] the gross misstatement "*Bruce fails to state in his Complaint an essential predicate for a malpractice claim; that is, he does not state he and the seventeen odd healthcare providers named in this suit had, at relevant times, a patient-physician relationship.*"[10]. Which specifies the previous year of contractual relationship to the **eleven** named medical Defendants offered, accepted and billed.

Judge Mattice makes a Scarecrow Order where Mattice switches from Constitutional Jurisdiction to a Statutory Jurisdiction[11] in what I'm sure he thinks a pirouette, but in their Briefs, those medical Respondents grasp at those straws, stumble into the thresher by citing **Kelly v. Middle Tennessee Emergency Physicians, PC., 133 S.W. 3D 587, 592**[12]

[9] Appendix A P 9
[10] Appendix A p 9
[11] Appendix A p 7
[12] Appendix A p 9

(Tenn). It is **not** a citation supporting summary or 'de novo' judgement, the ruling demands the opposite of Mattice

> *The Medical Malpractice Act [7] does not explicitly require the plaintiff to prove that the defendant owed the plaintiff a duty of care. However, as we previously have stated, the Act "codifies the common law elements of negligence duty, breach of duty, causation, proximate cause, and damages. No claim for negligence can succeed in the absence of any one of these elements." Kilpatrick, 868 S.W.2d at 598 (citations omitted); see also Gunter v. Lab. Corp. of Am., 121 S.W.3d 636, 639-40 (Tenn.2003); Burroughs v. Magee, 118 S.W.3d 323, 327-28 (Tenn.2003), reh'g denied (Tenn. Oct. 28, 2003). The question of whether the plaintiff in a medical malpractice action must prove the existence of a physician-patient relationship relates to the first element of negligence i.e., whether the defendant-physician owed a duty of care to the plaintiff.*
>
> *"[t]he existence of a physician's duty arises out of the professional relationship between the physician and his or her patient." Church v. Perales, 39 S.W.3d 149, 164 (Tenn.Ct.App.2000), see also Darby v. Union Planters Nat. Bank of Memphis, 222 Tenn. 417, 436 S.W.2d 439, 440-441 (1969) (stating, "[t]here is little doubt that the undertaking of a physician to diagnose and treat the ills of a patient is, in part, contractual in nature. The relationship thus arising between a physician and patient creates a duty on the part of the physician to exercise proper care.").*
>
> *... a doctor who "receives a description of a patient's condition and then essentially directs the course of that patient's treatment, has consented to a physician-patient relationship."); Corbet v. McKinney, 980 S.W.2d 166, 169 (Mo.Ct.App.1998)*
>
> *Thus, where the question is whether a physician-patient relationship has arisen between another doctor's patient and a physician consulted on the case, we look for these @indicia of consent as well as other evidence of a consensual relation." (internal citation omitted)); Flynn v. Bausch, 238 Neb. 61, 469 N.W.2d 125, 128 (1991) (stating, "While the relationship is often described as contractual in nature, based upon the express or implied consent of both physician and patient, we have held that absent fraud or misrepresentation, consent to medical treatment is presumed." (internal citations omitted)); Cogswell by Cogswell v. Chapman, 249 A.D.2d 865, 672 N.Y.S.2d 460, 462 (N.Y.App.Div.1998) (stating, "a doctor-patient relationship can be established by a telephone call when such a call `affirmatively advis[es] a prospective patient as to a course of treatment' and it is foreseeable that the patient would rely on the advice." (internal citation omitted)); Lownsbury v. VanBuren, 94 Ohio St. 3d 231, 762 N.E.2d 354, 360 (2002) (stating, "The basic underlying concept in these cases is that a physician-patient relationship, and thus a duty of care, may arise from whatever*

circumstances evince the physician's consent to act for the patient's medical benefit."); St. John v. Pope, 901 S.W.2d 420, 424 (Tex.1995) ("Creation of the physician-patient relationship does not require the formalities of a contract. The fact that a physician does not deal directly with a patient does not necessarily preclude the existence of a physician-patient relationship.")

Then that single citation of Tennessee Statutory Jurisdiction of Kelly v. MTEP, Tennessee Supreme Court, accustomed to correcting chicanery absolutely requires case be to remanded back to fact finding Jury:

[T]he trial court's determination as to whether a duty exists (a question of law) is dependent upon a question of fact that must be decided by the jury ... Smith v. Allendale Mut. Ins. Co., 410 Mich. 685, 303 N.W.2d 702, 710 (1981). In that regard, it is generally held in medical malpractice cases that the question of whether a physician-patient relationship exists is a question of fact to be decided by the jury. See, e.g., Irvin, 31 P.3d at 940-41 (stating that "whether a physician-patient relationship exists is generally a question of fact for the jury."); Bienz v. Central Suffolk Hosp., 163 A.D.2d 269, 557 N.Y.S.2d 139, 139-40 (1990) (stating, "Whether the physician's giving of advice furnishes a sufficient basis upon which to conclude that an implied physician-patient relationship had arisen is ordinarily a question of fact for the jury.

As a result, on remand the trial court shall instruct the jury (in accordance with the principles discussed in this opinion) that the jury must determine from the facts in evidence whether such a relationship arose between ... thereby owed a duty of care to her as a matter of law.

Medical malpractice pre-trial requirements cannot prevail Constitutionally in the face of 100-mile diameter conspiracy to deny heavy metal treatments making it impossible to find medical peer review therein. Some very shady oppression tactics in an area known for Witness Tampering, snake handling cults, abuse of labor, human rights violations, withholding medical treatments and assassins during the labor movement. 80 years of devolving economy / education / medicine and law considered statistically the worst in the U.S. abuse of all types rampant, substance also.

The victims of heavy metal poisoning are a sub-class in Tennessee, laughed at by the network of medical malpractitioners, weakened, suddenly sagging, lethargic and

overweight. Family only gather to divvy; none talk to or invariably condescending. Unconstitutional classism at this sub-class of the poisoned, told "Poisons won't harm you if you have the Holy Spirit", told to work. A political and cult-based oppressing a class of people doctor won't treat, and lawyers flee. Victims marked as if already sacrificed. Law enforcement won't investigate poisonings, not even FBI local to East Tennessee nor TBI, and networks of doctors suppressing reports (Appendix H). East Tennessee is a poisoners playground, murders aren't investigated, hospitals collude with perpetrators, political cult by any word, snake handling TV.

Beyond FRCP 8 it is fully a FRCP 9(a) complaint having conspiracy to injure by depriving medical treatment as duly required by law in acts to further the conspiracy become particular and Federal Jurisdiction ensured under 42 U.S.C. § 1985 "Conspiracy to interfere with civil rights" which confers a private federal right of action against any one or more persons who conspire to deprive a person or class of persons as medical respondents have in blindly lashing out at the poisoned. The Petitioner wasn't claiming fault at the TVA/ORNL group.

NOTE BONO that the Petitioner once travelling outside the District of East Tennessee was finally able to find treatment. Chelation centers mere miles in every direction outside the Districts borders, clamor among the greater medical community is such beneficial practices were driven from that East Tennessee District.

The District Order starts using random citations of a Lebanese Cartel informers' seeking political asylum complications of post-release immigration jurisdiction[13] to spuriously stray from Constitutional Jurisdiction in a civil litigation. I neither immigrant nor alien, I am a son of the American Revolution from royalty which were the first to abdicate, those that came and went native and placed John Blair Jr. in office along the way after we declared Britain the aliens and had the Constitution drafted. This is my nation.

[13] Appendix A p 7

It isn't some mistaken neglect, nor drunken doctor in accident, the complaint is that of intentional harm caused by the willful obstructing of needed emergency and urgent medical care, Constitutional Jurisdiction by Conspiracy.

Mattice falsifies quotes, I the Petitioner have reread the complaint again and again and it does not make such allegations as *"Great Britain conspired with BP to purposefully cause the Deepwater Horizon Incident" p8*, instead the in preserved error of filings Petitioner states that Great Britain is harboring BP plc after members within it made a criminal act harming a population and the subsequent failure to warn, therefore a terroristic act. Never was mentioned Britain nor Sawers, nor the United States being in any way complicit in planning that enterprise to poison the skies.

Mattice's highly exaggerated misquotes however are not physically impossible[14]. Those exaggerated misquotation[15] wouldn't defy political consensus much less defy reality. The Petitioner insists on pointing out the evidence that the BP plc preplanned enterprise as foreseeable and predictable catastrophic with certainty of malice requires expert argument, then if by malice as indicated[16], what must then logically follow?

Mattice rants the impossibility that General Mattis is other than patriotic, where Mattis publicly declared personal and familial fealty as if fostered to Britain's role as global leader, later he resigned rather than following U.S. leadership and warning as sued to do. Judge John Blair Jr. had to execute those exact same types before being allowed to become a Supreme Court Judge.

The U.S. CDC response is usually to mindlessly mimic those responses of other nations, their instrument, there is a variation however, when they lie to the people as some expediency by the Republic. I was masked on the 9th of March, three weeks before Covid19 edict when "masks aren't necessary" the Governments convenient lie when there weren't enough masks. Stores looked at me oddly, but none made fun as underlying Courts have. DMSA OTC sales were blocked by the FDA after the sudden rise in demand

---

[14] Petitioner would have welcomed an argument of facts based on the scientific method rather than the emotional ravings of pseudo-political opinion by cultish 'feelings' so prevalent in East Tennessee. Daubert Standard Judicial Handbook (Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 43 F.3d 1311 (9th Cir. 1995)

[15] Appendix B p 8

[16] Appendix D, D2, G.

after the DeepWater Horizon clouds caused poisoning to the populace, when its availability was needed the most, giving credits to statements that it's a national control problem as the medical respondents stated. If the U.S. says masks aren't need when it's actually a shortage, and DMSA isn't needed to challenge millions who know we've been poisoned, the credibility of United States is impeached. Trails to find out why.

It seems the barrage of official misstatements a tactic as burdening the amount of disabusing retort required, Mattice falsely states in "they merely disagreed with his self-diagnoses"[17] is one of the ad nauseum preserved error arguments as they were "refusing to acknowledge such tissue tests results showing elemental contaminations"[18]. Prejudice loudly failing to perform required duties.

Perhaps it is a UT failing as an educator rather than a senile judicial mind that calls direct references to authoritative journals of medicine and science by title and author[19] as "pseudoscientific jargon"[20] and how "inclusion of such [educational] information will not be permitted or tolerated" and calls it "going forward". Speaking as a former student and senior research at UT, UT doesn't foster understanding but that egotism of a venomous mind. Its counterpart a cultish aggression to profess stupidity to be accepted.

Mattice is a product of his environment, U.S. judicial position hasn't bestowed any mental immunity to that formative sub-cultural influence, reactionary with discovery stayed. Bear in mind how bold the network of medical malpractice must be to profess obvious chicanery in a region where literally thousands of scientific experts on heavy metal toxins reside. That same "disparate treatment [that was] attributable to an improper motive."[21] is the same motive to please a depraved hearted entity, conditioned to serve the dragon since childhood. For lack of a better word a cult established in secrecy as the 1942 Manhattan Project to refine poisons; one of those horrific sites to fringe tourists known for catastrophic heavy metal spills.

---

[17] Appendix B p 11
[18] Appendix F2 p 13
[19] Appendix F2 p 9 and p 16
[20] Appendix A p 4
[21] Appendix B p 11

Respondeat Superior was also the defense to DeepWater Horizon Managers in murder charges to BP plc administrative edicts, but doctors would have to knowingly enter malpractice, coerced or Government behaving badly causing decades of attrition.

C. The Petitioners Contentions on Appeal

The Respondents and Mattice in mimicry profess not to be able to understand the Complaint, but U.S. Attorney has no difficulty as using citations with concise short statements is not a unique language. In Tennessee professing not to understand is a tactic of the guilty, but those Medical Respondents can't possibly claim they weren't given information concerning the heavy metal poisonings.

The U.S. Attorney states the government wanted 30 days "sufficient to enable the agency to investigate"[22] the claim. The Petitioner pled for investigation and that such agencies move to warn the Public of the dangers the suit against the U.S. largely one for injunctive relief to enjoin them to warn and notify so that the Petitioner could gain proper medical. Petitioner has yet to see Public warnings by those agencies responsible in the years since filing. If Mattice had not stayed discovery[23] innumerable lives could have been saved. The Government has wasted years and the pattern supposes they would have wasted the days of pre-notification as well, punitive damages then sought. Such unmitigated reckless disregard has admitted defectors parading in public office.

Against such people who failed to consider or investigate even after suit has been filed or in situations of public health where it is made obvious such defendants will be obstinate. Ruses of pretrial defenses against conspiracies to harm or cover-up which in this case are the same, should be suborned as a necessity of law. Matters such as these require expedited discovery to be compelled.

Judge Mattis claimed defect of Amendment in that Order (App A) but did not list any, having yet to fabricate one. Hearing is usually called for to 'instruct the Pro Se' of local preferences. Requests for hearings denied. An evasive Judge doesn't simplify the case, *"instead of judging the veracity of Bruce's complaint"* or *"myriad of complicated*

[22] Appendix D3 p. 17
[23] Appendix A p 6

legal topics presented by the Defendants".[24] If Judge Mattis had simply compelled answers[25], they would have been sufficiently quieted, instead the Mattice obstructed.

D. The Respondents Contentions on Appeal

The University of Tennessee Medical Center Brief falsely contends any physician/patient relationship repeatedly fraud in affirmation,

> *"Bruce fails to state in his Complaint an essential predicate for a malpractice claim; that is, he does not state he and the seventeen odd healthcare providers named in this suit had, at relevant times, a patient-physician relationship."*[26]

The UT respondent also blatantly intentionally lies by affirming knowledge they know incorrect by, *"healthcare Defendants cannot be said to have had actual knowledge of whatever acute, life-threatening disease Bruce's [sic] believes he was suffering from"* when holding the 252 pages of medical records[27].

The Respondents defense is false as paragraph 7 lists each of their names,

> *"Jeffry Nitz, Christian Terzian M.D., Stephan Teague M.D., Tennova Cardiology, Lafollette Medical Center Tennova Healthcare, Tennova LaFollette Medical Center Clinic, Lori P. Staudenmaier M.D., UT Family Physicians LaFollette, Gregory A. Finch, The University of Tennessee Medical Center, University Infectious Disease"*[28]

and paragraph 8 of the Complaint clearly states that:

> *"That the plaintiff seeking [as] much medical aid as he could [afford of] the Defendants of paragraph 7 represent doctors and medical of the last year... directly contributing to the medical neglect ... being that the Plaintiff hasn't once been treated for chronic infection/carditis nor arsenic poisoning"*[29]

---

[24] Appendix B p 3
[25] FRCP 8(b)(1)(B)
[26] Appendix E-8 p 9
[27] Appendix H
[28] Appendix F-1 p 7
[29] Appendix F-1 p 8

Complaint clearly says *"past and [current] actions as of date of this complaint*[30]*"* of paragraph 2 to set the 'relevant times' in his urging that those agencies investigate and report the dangers to public health harming so many. It's still a failure to warn at the time of filing this Petition before the United States Supreme Court, as it was in appeals.

In laymen's terms that complaint of the 'relevant times' as being one full year of neglect as of the date of filing as in the 3rd of July, 2016 to the 3rd of July, 2017 and is there directly stating paragraph 7 of that same complaint which directly names those very plaintiffs who have filed briefs saying they weren't named.

Respondent Finch misstates, "*In his brief, Bruce asserts for the first time a skeletal argument that state medical malpractice laws violate the United States Constitution. Am. Brief of Appellant, p 18-19. Since this issue was not raised in the district court, it is waived on appeal".*[31] The respondents careless as if long corruption sure in their multitude of frauds exposed ad nauseum as by Doc 33 page 8 of "Plaintiffs' Response to Deny the Defendants' The University of Tennessee Medical Centers' Motion" in direct response to their claims of the , *"the local defendants have some argumentative, nearly abusive conspiracy to violate civil [Constitutional] rights (which I'll ask leave to amend the complaint if complaint didn't indicate such a conspiracy strongly enough)"* to page 18 of the same document *"the Tennessee Health Care Liability Act ... is a under national law is subject to state construct if it hinders Constitutional Rights. With a conspiracy to deny Civil Rights..."*, same suffusing dozens of filings in preserved error.

Thus, Respondents and those of Mattice in misrepresentation of facts before a tribunal, seems habitual within the District. All the effort it required was a doctor's expected prescription for DMSA, but that would mean admitting there was heavy metal poisoning If they've the above or 'better' improper motive, I ask them to admit it in writing.

Appellee Sir John Sawers does bring up a failure of the summons which was assumed by the U.S. Marshals Service who had reported it served. Petitioner had paid filing fees

[30] Appendix F-1 p 3
[31] Appendix E-4 p 18

but then applied IFP to use the Marshals service so no one would question that part of his Pro Per action, oddly that is the one part that did fail. The Marshal only interested in money is a familial slant on my linage. As simple as mailing a form, yet no one questioned the service. However, electronic service has been created personally to Sir John Sawyers and Britain by their Secretary there and the Monarch which represent Britain. Electronic Service in Civil Cases by twitter is legally allowed in the United Kingdom. Sir John Sawers has appeared as an Appellee.

The suit is based on heavy metals poisoning. The Respondents focus on that unknown flagella bacteria surviving type-IV antibiotics. It is infinitesimally possible the Oleispira Antarctica in and of itself isn't harmful after "massive gene-transfer events"[32] to the point an oil-eating-bug from the frozen seas is infecting humans, but who'd chance it? To the point, BP plc lied about why the microbes were present and how they would increase heavy metal contamination by multiple orders of powers in magnitude.

E. The Sixth Circuit Opinion

Petitioner had requested the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals to review in bulk the docket to display the bias and prejudice displayed as well as holding a witness until dead, and to show the repeated serial errors of facts and laws being introduced by the Respondents and Judge. They didn't even review the one document they had wrongfully limited themselves to, the Complaint. Summarized its Order as "failed to allege facts showing the existence of a patient-physician relationship"[33]. Nor was addressed why some fictional flaw as that could never be cured by simple amendment. Petitioner maintains both, no error in Complaint and the expected right to Amend.

The tirade against this Poisoned Pauper Pro Per falls into that conspiracy against a unconstitutional animus at a groups. The outrageously high costs of antidote coinciding with the debilitating effect would pauperize most of the U.S. An oligarchy blinded by the sheer stupidity of feeding their egos of the wealthy while allowing life-threatening conditions at the People of the United States is Treason.

---

[32] Appendix G p 39

[33] Appendix C p. 4

It isn't some mistaken neglect, nor drunken doctor in accident, the complaint is that of intentional harm caused by the willful obstructing of needed emergency and urgent medical care, Constitutional Jurisdiction by Conspiracy.

Mattice falsifies quotes, I the Petitioner have reread the complaint again and again and it does not make such allegations as *"Great Britain conspired with BP to purposefully cause the Deepwater Horizon Incident" p8*, instead the in preserved error of filings Petitioner states that Great Britain is harboring BP plc after members within it made a criminal act harming a population and the subsequent failure to warn, therefore a terroristic act. Never was mentioned Britain nor Sawers, nor the United States being in any way complicit in planning that enterprise to poison the skies.

Mattice's highly exaggerated misquotes however are not physically impossible[14]. Those exaggerated misquotation[15] wouldn't defy political consensus much less defy reality. The Petitioner insists on pointing out the evidence that the BP plc preplanned enterprise as foreseeable and predictable catastrophic with certainty of malice requires expert argument, then if by malice as indicated[16], what must then logically follow?

Mattice rants the impossibility that General Mattis is other than patriotic, where Mattis publicly declared personal and familial fealty as if fostered to Britain's role as global leader, later he resigned rather than following U.S. leadership and warning as sued to do. Judge John Blair Jr. had to execute those exact same types before being allowed to become a Supreme Court Judge.

The U.S. CDC response is usually to mindlessly mimic those responses of other nations, their instrument, there is a variation however, when they lie to the people as some expediency by the Republic. I was masked on the 9th of March, three weeks before Covid19 edict when "masks aren't necessary" the Governments convenient lie when there weren't enough masks. Stores looked at me oddly, but none made fun as underlying Courts have. DMSA OTC sales were blocked by the FDA after the sudden rise in demand

---

[14] Petitioner would have welcomed an argument of facts based on the scientific method rather than the emotional ravings of pseudo-political opinion by cultish 'feelings' so prevalent in East Tennessee. Daubert Standard Judicial Handbook (Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 43 F.3d 1311 (9th Cir. 1995)

[15] Appendix B p 8

[16] Appendix D, D2, G.

after the DeepWater Horizon clouds caused poisoning to the populace, when its availability was needed the most, giving credits to statements that it's a national control problem as the medical respondents stated. If the U.S. says masks aren't need when it's actually a shortage, and DMSA isn't needed to challenge millions who know we've been poisoned, the credibility of United States is impeached. Trails to find out why.

It seems the barrage of official misstatements a tactic as burdening the amount of disabusing retort required, Mattice falsely states in "they merely disagreed with his self-diagnoses"[17] is one of the ad nauseum preserved error arguments as they were "refusing to acknowledge such tissue tests results showing elemental contaminations"[18]. Prejudice loudly failing to perform required duties.

Perhaps it is a UT failing as an educator rather than a senile judicial mind that calls direct references to authoritative journals of medicine and science by title and author[19] as "pseudoscientific jargon"[20] and how "inclusion of such [educational] information will not be permitted or tolerated" and calls it "going forward". Speaking as a former student and senior research at UT, UT doesn't foster understanding but that egotism of a venomous mind. Its counterpart a cultish aggression to profess stupidity to be accepted.

Mattice is a product of his environment, U.S. judicial position hasn't bestowed any mental immunity to that formative sub-cultural influence, reactionary with discovery stayed. Bear in mind how bold the network of medical malpractice must be to profess obvious chicanery in a region where literally thousands of scientific experts on heavy metal toxins reside. That same "disparate treatment [that was] attributable to an improper motive."[21] is the same motive to please a depraved hearted entity, conditioned to serve the dragon since childhood. For lack of a better word a cult established in secrecy as the 1942 Manhattan Project to refine poisons; one of those horrific sites to fringe tourists known for catastrophic heavy metal spills.

---

[17] Appendix B p 11
[18] Appendix F2 p 13
[19] Appendix F2 p 9 and p 16
[20] Appendix A p 4
[21] Appendix B p 11

Respondeat Superior was also the defense to DeepWater Horizon Managers in murder charges to BP plc administrative edicts, but doctors would have to knowingly enter malpractice, coerced or Government behaving badly causing decades of attrition.

C. The Petitioners Contentions on Appeal

The Respondents and Mattice in mimicry profess not to be able to understand the Complaint, but U.S. Attorney has no difficulty as using citations with concise short statements is not a unique language. In Tennessee professing not to understand is a tactic of the guilty, but those Medical Respondents can't possibly claim they weren't given information concerning the heavy metal poisonings.

The U.S. Attorney states the government wanted 30 days "sufficient to enable the agency to investigate"[22] the claim. The Petitioner pled for investigation and that such agencies move to warn the Public of the dangers the suit against the U.S. largely one for injunctive relief to enjoin them to warn and notify so that the Petitioner could gain proper medical. Petitioner has yet to see Public warnings by those agencies responsible in the years since filing. If Mattice had not stayed discovery[23] innumerable lives could have been saved. The Government has wasted years and the pattern supposes they would have wasted the days of pre-notification as well, punitive damages then sought. Such unmitigated reckless disregard has admitted defectors parading in public office.

Against such people who failed to consider or investigate even after suit has been filed or in situations of public health where it is made obvious such defendants will be obstinate. Ruses of pretrial defenses against conspiracies to harm or cover-up which in this case are the same, should be suborned as a necessity of law. Matters such as these require expedited discovery to be compelled.

Judge Mattis claimed defect of Amendment in that Order (App A) but did not list any, having yet to fabricate one. Hearing is usually called for to 'instruct the Pro Se' of local preferences. Requests for hearings denied. An evasive Judge doesn't simplify the case, *"instead of judging the veracity of Bruce's complaint"* or *"myriad of complicated*

[22] Appendix D3 p. 17
[23] Appendix A p 6

legal topics presented by the Defendants".[24] If Judge Mattis had simply compelled answers[25], they would have been sufficiently quieted, instead the Mattice obstructed.

D. The Respondents Contentions on Appeal

The University of Tennessee Medical Center Brief falsely contends any physician/patient relationship repeatedly fraud in affirmation,

> *"Bruce fails to state in his Complaint an essential predicate for a malpractice claim; that is, he does not state he and the seventeen odd healthcare providers named in this suit had, at relevant times, a patient-physician relationship."*[26]

The UT respondent also blatantly intentionally lies by affirming knowledge they know incorrect by, *"healthcare Defendants cannot be said to have had actual knowledge of whatever acute, life-threatening disease Bruce's [sic] believes he was suffering from"* when holding the 252 pages of medical records[27].

The Respondents defense is false as paragraph 7 lists each of their names,

> *"Jeffry Nitz, Christian Terzian M.D., Stephan Teague M.D., Tennova Cardiology, Lafollette Medical Center Tennova Healthcare, Tennova LaFollette Medical Center Clinic, Lori P. Staudenmaier M.D., UT Family Physicians LaFollette, Gregory A. Finch, The University of Tennessee Medical Center, University Infectious Disease"*[28]

and paragraph 8 of the Complaint clearly states that:

> *"That the plaintiff seeking [as] much medical aid as he could [afford of] the Defendants of paragraph 7 represent doctors and medical of the last year... directly contributing to the medical neglect ... being that the Plaintiff hasn't once been treated for chronic infection/carditis nor arsenic poisoning"*[29]

---

[24] Appendix B p 3
[25] FRCP 8(b)(1)(B)
[26] Appendix E-8 p 9
[27] Appendix H
[28] Appendix F-1 p 7
[29] Appendix F-1 p 8

Complaint clearly says *"past and [current] actions as of date of this complaint*[30]*"* of paragraph 2 to set the 'relevant times' in his urging that those agencies investigate and report the dangers to public health harming so many. It's still a failure to warn at the time of filing this Petition before the United States Supreme Court, as it was in appeals.

In laymen's terms that complaint of the 'relevant times' as being one full year of neglect as of the date of filing as in the 3rd of July, 2016 to the 3rd of July, 2017 and is there directly stating paragraph 7 of that same complaint which directly names those very plaintiffs who have filed briefs saying they weren't named.

Respondent Finch misstates, "*In his brief, Bruce asserts for the first time a skeletal argument that state medical malpractice laws violate the United States Constitution. Am. Brief of Appellant, p 18-19. Since this issue was not raised in the district court, it is waived on appeal".*[31] The respondents careless as if long corruption sure in their multitude of frauds exposed ad nauseum as by Doc 33 page 8 of "Plaintiffs' Response to Deny the Defendants' The University of Tennessee Medical Centers' Motion" in direct response to their claims of the , *"the local defendants have some argumentative, nearly abusive conspiracy to violate civil [Constitutional] rights (which I'll ask leave to amend the complaint if complaint didn't indicate such a conspiracy strongly enough)"* to page 18 of the same document *"the Tennessee Health Care Liability Act ... is a under national law is subject to state construct if it hinders Constitutional Rights. With a conspiracy to deny Civil Rights..."*, same suffusing dozens of filings in preserved error.

Thus, Respondents and those of Mattice in misrepresentation of facts before a tribunal, seems habitual within the District. All the effort it required was a doctor's expected prescription for DMSA, but that would mean admitting there was heavy metal poisoning If they've the above or 'better' improper motive, I ask them to admit it in writing.

Appellee Sir John Sawers does bring up a failure of the summons which was assumed by the U.S. Marshals Service who had reported it served. Petitioner had paid filing fees

[30] Appendix F-1 p 3
[31] Appendix E-4 p 18

but then applied IFP to use the Marshals service so no one would question that part of his Pro Per action, oddly that is the one part that did fail. The Marshal only interested in money is a familial slant on my linage. As simple as mailing a form, yet no one questioned the service. However, electronic service has been created personally to Sir John Sawyers and Britain by their Secretary there and the Monarch which represent Britain. Electronic Service in Civil Cases by twitter is legally allowed in the United Kingdom. Sir John Sawers has appeared as an Appellee.

The suit is based on heavy metals poisoning. The Respondents focus on that unknown flagella bacteria surviving type-IV antibiotics. It is infinitesimally possible the Oleispira Antarctica in and of itself isn't harmful after "massive gene-transfer events"[32] to the point an oil-eating-bug from the frozen seas is infecting humans, but who'd chance it? To the point, BP plc lied about why the microbes were present and how they would increase heavy metal contamination by multiple orders of powers in magnitude.

E. The Sixth Circuit Opinion

Petitioner had requested the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals to review in bulk the docket to display the bias and prejudice displayed as well as holding a witness until dead, and to show the repeated serial errors of facts and laws being introduced by the Respondents and Judge. They didn't even review the one document they had wrongfully limited themselves to, the Complaint. Summarized its Order as "failed to allege facts showing the existence of a patient-physician relationship"[33]. Nor was addressed why some fictional flaw as that could never be cured by simple amendment. Petitioner maintains both, no error in Complaint and the expected right to Amend.

The tirade against this Poisoned Pauper Pro Per falls into that conspiracy against a unconstitutional animus at a groups. The outrageously high costs of antidote coinciding with the debilitating effect would pauperize most of the U.S. An oligarchy blinded by the sheer stupidity of feeding their egos of the wealthy while allowing life-threatening conditions at the People of the United States is Treason.

---

[32] Appendix G p 39
[33] Appendix C p. 4

Failure of the nation as simple as key officials being bought by hostile entities of whatever source. The stupid fat sick Americans who let themselves be poisoned the usual international comments, derision patterns towards a nation suborned or subjugated, especially be intrigues, note internal minority treatments. Sovereign immunity and the 11th Amendment are abrogated[34] by the 13th, 14th and 15th Amendments. Where politicians are bought into power by foreign and possibly hostile entities wealth, an open forum for Pro Se litigation those corrupted acting to correct that government is required, the pendulum must swing the back to disallow immunities.

Without such a balance answerable to the each and every individual a deterioration into the Government being a foreign tool or weapon against its own nation is inevitable. Think of the ancient nations who inspired such a device as the Constitution, if a Public Servant somehow retires without a multitude of Citizens makings claims against him or her, consider it the equivalent of acclaim. This is how the U.S. was intended to be liability creating a better class of Public Servants, which is the only way to restore respect. Revocation legal immunity and diminishing defendant substitution would have the better effect the way breaking an abusive monopoly would, better work product, Multi-billion special interests balanced by a population of Citizen litigators. Congress would rush to allow liability funds along with campaign contributions as funds are being used against the common defense and against the general welfare.

Currently there is no acclaim for those actors infesting national scenery, giving away immunity restores and strengthens the U.S. becoming more than an instrument to foreign interests, governors governed by the governed is the only possibly stability. I'm harmed by absorbent costs antidote and malpractice from the Governments continued dramatic failure in it's one proclaimed reason for existence of taxes, the further harmed by IFP codes which extended litigations because I have been pauperized by same while admissions of fault or even facts would alleviate some further costs, the aggregate is innumerable citizens are being harmed by government actions and inactions.

---

[34] Nor is there Sovereign Immunity in bankruptcy or causing pauperization. Tennessee Student Assistance Corp. v. Hood, 541 U.S. 440 (2004) Central Virginia Community College v. Katz, 126 S.Ct. 990 (2006) relevant hardships and those of general welfare considered by aggregate doctrine.

The "Law" as the Courts know it is foundational on a pauperized farmer suing a General for damages, ancient tort law.

Without such a founding precept the Courts are lawless and nation corrupted and occupied. To right, let the Solicitor General and the Petitioner Pauperized Pro Per argue the unconstitutionality of 28 U.S.C.§1915(e)(2) pursuant 28 U.S.C.§ 2403 citing Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S.(Cranch)137(1803) to strike down Unconstitutional codes. As the common defense and general warfare as completely failed the Government has already devolved into an unacceptable and dangerous state as a result of that fictional legal immunity, IFP screening, even though the Plaintiff / Appellee had pre-paid in full in those underlying Courts with a case meeting all aspects of Justiciability.

Though the instant case used the wrong subsection in the underlying Orders falsification to attempt to dismiss the entire claim, Petitioner proactively challenges the constitutionality of the whole of the section 1915e2. The premise that 'immunity' is needed to govern has been shown by all the aspects of this case to be false, the Government and underlying Courts failing dramatically and systemically in the one bailiwick that it's said its existence hinges on. Let's abolish immunity, before solving the Gordian knot of systemic defunding.

Having little money still does not put any Judges opinion over that of facts or law, jury is demanded. IFP can't be used for a Judge to decide to take off the referee uniform and make fools of themselves as adversarial entity. Those underlying Courts against good faith as the District Court purposely misstated facts, and wrongly affirmed in the instant case, but then it is the 28 U.S.C.§1915(e)(2) so blatantly abused. Corporate liability rules sufficient to those entities who've wrested control from the Government should be good enough for the Government, sufficient defense to those not innately liable.

The one area Government would ever be needed to even exist is to organize against harms to a population. That Government has failed at a fundamental level in every way, the one Government without meaningful lives is absurd, it that unwanted element that such would project, victims of psychological warfare. The U.S. must then admit fault and re-engage the issue, allowing the hordes of Pro Se to take such entities by filing is the natural course of a nations survival when officials have become passive as legacy

sovereigns do, though the U.S. separated Government from Crown; else act at those entities that funded their careers and bought their positions which is how real Sovereignty is won. The underlying opinions are Unconstitutional. If it were done it's what we would do to enemy nations or people. The U.S., despite might, is being done to.

Note the disparity between incomes in East Tennessee where Federal Employees are multi-millionaires with 8 million-dollar bonuses who could afford the $23,000.00 per month DMSA antidotes, does the dragon whisper so far as to the 6th Circuit?

The Lopez Rule depends on the capacity of the of the Circuit Court to neatly classify every claim of error as either 'factual' or 'legal', to adduce sufficient evidence on a given point or a legal error of misapplying the correct legal standard, all of which is absent from the Circuit Court, who didn't list a single error the Appellant brought forward.

The underlying Courts attacks on FRCP 8(a)(2) is the series of misciting of precedence when citing Twombly, 550 U.S. at 555 (quoting Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 47 (1957). Where the contractual obligations they know are true from evidence , but then Twombly in Syllabus is a case quoting, "*a complaint should not be dismissed for a failure to state a claim unless it appears beyond a doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claim*". Which this Plaintiff already has proved serial sets.

It is the Petitioner pointing out that Respondents records excuse the AAPCC and AMA the Respondents had blamed, which should be the first statement by the Circuit Court but refused undisputed preserved error evidence (AKA facts).

Circuit Courts respond, "*this panel concludes that it did not misapprehend or overlook any point of law or fact when it issued its order.*"[35] Or that no error had ever occurred at any time is beyond hubris. Years of studies comparative religions, a condition of my full scholarship. There is not a God or Goddess in a multitude of religions that ever declared never to have made an error. Recovery from Divine errors are what Myths are made of. But to be wrong in every instance only happens to spite, failure to admit any

[35] Appendix C-2

error makes the underlying Court draconically unfair if their opinions couldn't stand any review whatsoever. Not Gods, but very bad servants.

Their citation of cases opposite of precedents they claim, the snide bad servants daring to be caught perhaps. The irreverent use citations referring to Pro Se which usually deal in abuse of power/discretion, Erickson v. Pardus, 551U.S 89,94(2007) might be metaphoric analogy made theory as it is about medical treatments in prison. Erickson v. Pardus at the Federal level directs the United States Supreme Court to vacate the Circuit Court and remand for further proceedings. Kelly v. Middle Tennessee Physicians plc, requires same Jury at a State level.

Compare that 'too expensive' of a procedure for inmates, without proper risk management means billions of dollars medical compensations for arsenic antidotes in East Tennessee from their 2008 accident. Rough analogies can be drawn. This medical case instead of 'cruel and unusual' to be relabeled systemic tyrannical (terrorism) abuse of multitude of populations, conspiracy, abuse of trusted positions and so forth calling for protected sub-classes of victims and JASTA.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

Overwhelming evidence supporting facts for prosecution, preserved on the docket. Besides the reestablishing legal recourse based on tradition for the wisdom of established since ancient times, there is the interest of Justice and at least two reasons of "Interest Reipublicae Ut Sit Finis" exist. The common question is, "How many parties must fail in either neglect or malice that there are wide-spread poisoning victims being refused basic, essential and well-known antidotes?"

One reason is the dramatic effect a United State Court Ruling would be opening liability of heavy metal poisonings and awareness of petroleum bugs stirring extremely toxic substances that the population might defend themselves by measures and civil actions. Which is the best reason, in the interests of Public Health. Arguments about Federal liability in failing to warn is better supported in briefs irreverently ignored by the Circuit. It is the small suits claiming liabilities which keep our democratically elected Republic on track, the USSCs' rudder.

Another second valid reason is that East Tennessee might be forced by legal repercussions to finally follow the rest of the World in treating heavy metal poisonings. A changing of the guard might even result in UT/TVA/ORNL being foremost in heavy metal therapies, also "Interest Reipublicae Ut Sit Finis"

With such matters of import, the Petitioner did not expect a whole Supreme Court case to decide on how a date is specified in a complaint. Nor the important Discovery being stayed by a severe abuse of discretion, or the barrage of misquotes, misinformation or miscited cases at least not from the medical respondents and the District Court seemingly wanting to draw all the negative attention.

Plaintiff unrestrainedly challenges the Sixth Circuit Court citing its own rulings to limit itself to ignore all errors and unchallenged and preserved evidence to restrict itself to the only the Complaint. Evidence is not required in any Complaint, **but appropriate when the evidence is to contradict false statements by the Appellee parties.** Circuit saw the letter ordering Staudenmaier to engage emergency and urgency protocols which she refused, despite the 100 times the levels requiring treatment. Overwhelming evidence.

Apparently, it is I Pro Per, who doesn't have a political career nor practice to be taken nor threatened, required to be the catalyst of protecting public health. If complaint meets 9(a) standards it meets 8(a) standards. While maintaining Federal Jurisdiction, it is noted that the Circuit Court on relying on State regulations also didn't review Health Care Liability Act, T.C.A. § 29-12-121 (a)(4)(b) "The court has discretion to excuse compliance with this section only for extraordinary cause shown."

Pro Se who do not know recently created rules, are easily abused by conspiracy, that could occur in any District owned by some entity. The filers only know that doctors refused to prescribe antidote to a life-threatening illness and family and friends are dying and being poisoned are extraordinary in forcing discovery being compelled openly. Time is an essential. Nor did Mattice say 'refile' in the days, weeks months or year that passed. Instead stayed discovery costing lives, siding with killing witnesses wouldn't even suggest that the respondents let be transferred; recorded judicial misconduct.

The 60-days required by the Tennessee Health Care Act only paints the complainant as a target for two months[36], as Mattice echoes the respondent's sentiments in attacking the Pro Se "shield", that shield does not extend to the witnesses being suppressed. The vindictive side actions where the respondents abused to courts, false witness to law enforcement, Machiavellian intrigues, etcetera. I've was a Plaintiff when most of the residences on a witness list were burned down. In a rabid response at an IFP filer, they killed multi-millionaire Okey Jackson, feral even by oligarchy standards refusing allowed representation of a interests of a close party.

The filings ensure something is at least already on record before the death-defying effort to survive. This is the reason the government files Qui Tam sealed, the Pro Per the sober class that can no longer bow to whatever abusive draconic entity able to move without fear for their careers, against the army of defense lawyers of meaningless lives. Pre-Trail notifications requirements must be deemed dangerously un-Constitutional.

The Case and Controversy brings several questions of law is meets all aspects of justiciability with injury-in-fact, causation and redressability, added with the doctrine of aggregation as the similar contamination effects half the United States pertinence is a certainty. The law hasn't' evolved since ancient tort, but we can't let it devolve further. If the environmental concerns and defenders of wildlife were heeded by the Courts it would have been proactive and protective, but the laws have not grown with the technological capabilities within the U.S. Here is Case and Controversy of Justification with real injury and the expected financial damages coupled with potential Aggregation Doctrine with overwhelming evidence, accented by East Tennessee undermining of duty to care and administrative conspiracy to undermine Constitutional Rights where it's certain a multitude of pauperized people have righteous expectations in untenable hardship with vast amounts of records with a right to life due to poisons.

[36] If City of San Antonio v. Tenorio, 543 S.W.3d 772 (Tex. 2018) that "[k]knowledge that a death, injury, or property damage has occurred, standing alone, is not sufficient to put a governmental unit on actual notice for TTCA purposes." Does an active conspiracy to knowingly falsify reports and diagnoses of poisoning and intentionally and unlawfully holding patients without treatment after being sued sufficient notice or is notice overripe or not required?

CONCLUSION

Think of promoting IFP cases against those industries poisoning the United States akin to those allowing prosecution by Drug Dealer Liability Acts. Those too disabled to do anything else filing. The Courts might read a lot of life statements, but if the poisoned live long enough to successfully prosecute are being useful meaningful lives.

But I do welcome the review of three legal concepts needing precedence:

First is victims of poisoning, by invisible life-threatening assault, particularly those organizations where further animus may occur due to perceived mounting financial liabilities of an entity already demonstrating actions creating health-threatening injuries constitute a constitutionally suspect class-based animus as it has in the East Tennessee in generations unchecked negligence and aggressive attempts to coerce control has created a cult in East Tennessee, Federal Private Rights actionable.

Thus, a case before the United States Supreme Court whose bailiwick this is. This premise of clear logic, "A half-a-dozen medical doctors and hospitals intentionally suppressed reports/treatments.", the only perceived motive, that of managing contractors systematically attacking the victims of poisonings. Whether localized to a District or effecting a nation, for a government to function it must have accurate reports and testing of all of those. Create the decision that the Federal Private Rights are appropriate in aiding the poisoned as a directive measure beyond limiting statutes or regulations-based on either immunity or poverty.

Secondly a decision that conspiracy negates those requirements of an expert medical witness and other pretrial requirements that would limit torts since violating Constitutional Rights as have been shown. Similarly, IFP regulation promoting Courts to rabid responses creating a class-based animus are dangerously un-Constitutional, as immediately abusive in any situation of an entity monopolizing any area.

Thirdly affirmation that Failure to Warn of a threat to a population constitutes potentially harboring or aiding a terrorist and brings to question the Sovereignty of any nation, even our own by JASTA as a just motivator within the Government.

I therefore ask the august nine to apply any and all laws, statutes and precedents on my, the Petitioners, behalf in this matter to redirect the Courts in the substantiated matters and conduct and to create what precedence are deemed necessity. Contaminated rain is beyond the set limited scope of the MDL v BP plc Deepwater Horizon.

I ask that the matter of the underlying Courts Orders and Opinions be overturned and by Writ be remanded to trial by Jury or review and that upon Remand instructions concerning that potential abuse of Healthcare Liability Statutes moot by Federal Right of Action when the network curtailing treatment is heinous conspiracy and not eligible to any type of Defense in their requirements that would limit tort litigation.[37]

The two cases, one of the UT medical malpractices is now severable from that of the U.S. failure to warn and the misconduct of BP plc, Britain et al. should be severed to prevent any further confusion and BP plc and Britain added back to the case in being remanded with any instructions the United State Supreme Court finds appropriate.

This and what compensation might apply such as costs to the Respondents is said as a prophylactic measure, which does bring up a point, "What compensation might a Pro Per expect in a three yearlong battling a mass of misstatements?" Commensurate to paid representation to alleviate costs in proceeding trial? Cases made Qui Tam? Waiving requirements of representation or appoint co-counsel?

For these reasons and questions, the Petitioner asks this grant a writ of certiorari.

Shane Bruce

Shane Bruce
in propria persona
313 W. Prospect St.
La Follette, TN 37766
(423)377-9155

[37] Judge Mattice did include the Tennessee Case which opposes his Order as the basis in his avoidance 'de novo' decision, no independent grounds, conspiracy versus Unconstitutional limiting by abuse of discretion/malpractice.