

No: 19-8793

in the

Supreme Court of the United States

in the interest of: (SSW; ammor)

Your name: Ron Walcott
(Petitioner)

RECEIVED

NOV 25 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

verses

(Respondents): Dauphin County Children

and Youth Services Agency

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari

to the United States Court of Appeals

for the

RECEIVED

NOV - 4 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Circuit

The Supreme Court of the United States

Petition For Rehearing

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 44

Ron Walcott respectfully petition; for rehearing

of the courts per curiam decision issued

on October 5; 2020 Ron Walcott

No. 19-8793; verses; Dauphin County

Children and Youth Services Agency

(October 5; 2020).

Mr. Ron Walcott moves this court

to grant this petition for rehearing

and consider his case with merits

(Petition For Rehearing continued)

briefing and oral argument and

Ron Walcott's filed and entered into

case records' documental evidence;

to use in Ron Walcott's defense in

the courtroom.

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 44

this petition is filed within twenty

five (25) days of this court's decision

in this case. Major law; facts that

were left out; and an important

(Petition for Rehearing continued)

argument that were not included

was that when Ron Walcott's child (SSW; a minor)

was removed from both parents

twenty four (24) hours after birth with

a verbal pick-up order which is totally

clear this is a violation because there

is no issue that would have triggered

a verbal pick-up order that was in

violation of Rules of Evidence VIII,

Hearsay. Rule 803 Exceptions to the rule

(Petition for Rehearing continued)

Against Hearsay - Regardless of whether the testimony of Declarant Necessary; all aggravated circumstances must be met with clear and convincing physical evidence; must be a crime committed and must be witnessed; and must be investigated; in order for it to be liable admissible evidence; such as the psychological evaluation stating that Ron Walcott is allegedly schizophrenic was

(Petition for Rehearing continued)

not officially or professionally

conducted to Ron Walcott; as there

was no evidence as to what was done

physically to have gained such a

conclusion; and are examples of

"Fruits of the poisonous tree" doctrine

illegally obtained evidence is inadmissible

at trial and all "Fruits of the poisonous

tree evidence obtained from the exploitation

of the illegally obtained evidence - must

(Petition for Rehearing continued)

also be excluded; and violated

Ron Walcott and family Constitutional

rights; as well as endangered

infant children lives; of the

Equal Protection Clause by removing

the children illegally from both parents;

and deprived Ron Walcott of a

full and fair trial and proceedings

and violates the due process Clause

Forcing Ron Walcott to completely defend

(Petition for Rehearing continued)

himself in court which is
unconstitutional as everyone has
the right to adequate council and
there is no evidence in this case
Records that would show any of
these allegations were being
questioned or argued in
Ron Walcotts defense.

Reasons for granting the Petition

Rehearing is appropriate for this
courts to consider the following

substantial questions:

1. This court should not resolve
the substantial and important factual
issues in this case without full
briefing and argument.

In the inconsistent application of
the law, independent review is therefore
necessary if appellate court are to

(Reasons for granting the Petition continued)

maintain control of; and to clarify;

the legal principals and because it

increases arbitrariness and the

likelihood of error; rehearing is

appropriate for this court to review

decisions to insulate an arguably

unconstitutional lower court decision

results. Court has never issued a

per curiam opinion without briefing

or argument, and attorneys withdraw

(Reasons for granting the Petition Continued)

themselves from this case and this

has deprived Ron Walcott's right to

appellate council where no state

appellate court reviewed and this

claim, case, and trial court decision

was never reviewed. It was illegal and

unconstitutional by the lower court to

impose a verbal pick-up order to remove

parents children where there were

no aggravated circumstances discovered or

reasons for granting the Petition (Continued)

events that was alleged by the

Dauphin County Children and Youth Services

Agency Referral as it will indicate

in the three (3) month permanency plan

filed and entered into the case

records as evidence by Ron Walcott

in Ron Walcott defense of the

writ of the certiorari. This court

has repeatedly recognized that state

appellate review is necessary to

(reasons for granting the Petition Continued)

protect against arbitrariness, capriciousness,

and error; or not imposed, arbitrarily

or irrationally. There are few if any

situations in our system of justice in which

a single judge is given unreviewable

discretion over matters concerning a persons

liberty or property; holding that the one

level of review by trial court judges only

can be an adequate substitute for the

right to full adequate review to all

(Reasons for granting the Petition Continued)

defendants: (Ron Walcott), recognizing the importance of appellate review to a correct adjudication of guilt or innocence, and must conduct a review of the entire record. This court and other courts have recognized the importance of an independent review of the "record" by a state appellate court and discouraged "one tier" review. These are precisely the type

(reasons for granting the Petition Continued)

OF factual issues that need to be resolved
in full briefing and arguments.

I, Ron Walcott do hereby request all
of Ron Walcott's parental rights from this
point on going respectfully request all
of Ron Walcott's parental rights to be fully
and consensually reinstated because this
argument of Ron Walcott was excluded
from Case Records; during these proceedings
Ron Walcott requests that this court

Conclusion

Mr. Ron Walcott respectfully requests that this court grant the petition for rehearing and order full briefing and argument on the merits of this case, and no state appellate court reviewed this claim.

The petition for a rehearing should be granted, and more time to commend this petition.

Respectfully Submitted;

Print: Ron Walcott
Sign: Ron Walcott
Date: October 27, 2020

Certificate of Council

I: Ron Walcott hereby certify that
this: Petition for rehearing; is
presented in good faith and
not for delay; I: Ron Walcott to
proceed in forma pauperis; I:
; pro se; self represented;
being forced to represent myself.

sign: Ron Walcott

print: Ron Walcott

Date: October 27; 2020

Certificate of Service (Proof of Service)

I, Ron Walcott certify that on:

October 30, 2020, I, Ron Walcott, served a

complete copy of this: Petition For Rehearing

on all parties, addressed as shown;

first class mail.

Respectfully submitted;

Print: Ron Walcott

Date: October 30, 2020

Sign: Ron Walcott

Council of Record: being forced to represent myself

1

100

[illegible][illegible]

At 1000

100

10

10

10

(Certificate of Seizure Continued)

Name: Owen Hooper, Esquire, Solicitor

for Dauphin County Social Services for

Children and Youth: Address: 1001 North Sixth Street
Harrisburg PA 17102

Phone: (717) 780-7200


Name: Sarah Hoffman, Guardian Ad

Litem, Esquire

Address: P.O. Box 4468

(City, State, Zipcode): Harrisburg Pennsylvania 17111

Phone:



(Certificate of Service Continued)

Name: Dauphin County Children
and youth services agency

Address: 1001 North Sixth Street

(city; state; zip code): Harrisburg

Pennsylvania 17102

phone: (717) 780.7200

1. The first part of the report is a general introduction to the subject of the study. It discusses the importance of the study and the objectives of the research.

2. The second part of the report is a detailed description of the methodology used in the study. It includes information about the sample size, the data collection methods, and the statistical analysis techniques.

3. The third part of the report is a presentation of the results of the study. It includes tables, figures, and text describing the findings of the research.

4. The fourth part of the report is a discussion of the results and their implications. It includes a comparison of the findings with previous research and a discussion of the limitations of the study.

5. The fifth part of the report is a conclusion and a list of references. The conclusion summarizes the main findings of the study, and the references list the sources of information used in the research.

6. The sixth part of the report is an appendix containing additional information related to the study. This may include raw data, detailed calculations, or other supporting materials.

7. The seventh part of the report is a bibliography listing all the sources of information used in the study. This includes books, articles, and other published works.

8. The eighth part of the report is a list of figures and tables. This provides a visual representation of the data and results of the study.

9. The ninth part of the report is a glossary of terms. This defines the key terms and concepts used in the study, ensuring that the reader understands the terminology.

10. The tenth part of the report is a list of abbreviations. This provides a shorthand way of referring to specific terms and concepts throughout the document.

Pa Rap 127. Compliance Certificate

for word count.

I Bon Walcott : certify that this

filing complies with the provisions of

the Public access policy of the Unified

Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case


Records of the appellate and trial

courts that require filing confidential

information and documents differently

than non-confidential information

and documents



(Pa Rap 127 Compliance Certificate

for word count; continued)

Print: Ron Wakoff

Sign: Ron Wakoff

date: October 27, 2020

Word count: _____

1900-1901

1901-1902

1902-1903

1903-1904

1904-1905

1905-1906

Certificate

I

on this day

certify the petition

must briefly and distinctly state its


grounds and must be accompanied by

a certificate stating that the grounds

are limited to intervening circumstances

of substantial or controlling effect or to

other substantial grounds not previously presented




Certificate (Continued)

name: Ron Walcott

Signature Ron Walcott

Date: November 19, 2020



(Petition for rehearing : Cover Page: Continued)

Petition for Rehearing

print: Ron Walcott

sign: Ron Walcott

Date: October 27, 2020

Address: 224 North Second Street

city; State; zip code: Harrisburg, PA, 17101

Phone: (717) 421-2125

Counsel of record: Force to represent My Self

1. The first section of the report is a general introduction to the subject.

The second section is a detailed description of the methods used.

The third section contains the results of the experiments.

The fourth section is a discussion of the results.

The fifth section is a conclusion and summary of the work.

The sixth section is a list of references.

The seventh section is a list of figures and tables.

The eighth section is a list of appendices.

The ninth section is a list of footnotes.

List of Parties:

X : All parties appear in the
caption of the case; on the cover

Related Cases:





Table of Contents:

Table of Contents	iii
Table of authorities	iv
Rule 44 Rehearing	v
Petition for Rehearing	1
Reasons for granting the petition	8
1. This court should not resolve the substantial and important factual issues in this case without full briefing and argument.	
Conclusion	15



(Table of Contents Continued)

Certificate of Counsel ... ~~18~~

Proof of Service ... ~~20~~

Statutes ... ~~21~~

Word Count Statement ... ~~23~~

Compliance Statement ... ~~24~~




Table of Authorities

10/10/10 10/10/10


Statues

10/10/10

Rule 44. rehearing

Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States

1. Any petition for the rehearing of any judgment or decision of the court on the merits shall be filed within twenty five (25) days after entry of the judgment or decision, unless the court or a justice shortens or extends the time. A copy of the certificate shall follow and be attached to



(Rule 44 Rehearing continued)

each copy of the petition.

print: Ron Walcott

sign: Ron Walcott

Date: October 27, 2020

