

19-8793

No. _____

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

JUN 05 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Ron Walcott — PETITIONER
(Your Name) and Amende Moyer — Petitioner

Dauphin County Children and
Youth Services Agency (Etc) — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Ron Walcott
(Your Name)

4931 Todd Avenue, Apartment H.
(Address)

Baltimore Maryland 21206-4602
(City, State, Zip Code)

(717) 421-2125
(Phone Number)

iii iii

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Is it unconstitutional to impose a verbal pick-up order (to remove Simone Shawdee Walcott from her parents) and also; an involuntary termination of Parental Rights of Amanda Moyer and Ron Walcott (Father) Order; (Court of Common Pleas) that are absent a finding that parents committed crimes against their child and no; dauphin county children and Youth Services Agency Scrutinize the Dauphin county children and Youth Services Agency referral of Ron Walcott (father);

Ron Walcott (father) suggested answer;

Yes; this is unconstitutional,

(twenty-four (24) hours after child's birth without a finding).

|||||
|||||

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	page: 8
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	page: 9
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	page: 22
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	page: 39
CONCLUSION.....	page: 50.

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C Decision of State Supreme Court Denying Review

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
In the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Middle District	
In the interest of: (SSW; ammar)	
Petitioner of: RW (Ron Walcott)	
Per curiam	
Stay of removal; exited: January 21, 2020	
Elizabeth E. Zisk (chief clerk)	
Docket No. 578 MAL 2019	
verses: Dauphin County Children and Youth Services	

STATUTES AND RULES

Decree: Involuntary Termination of Parental Rights of
Ron Walcott (father) on November, 19, 2019
(court of common pleas; trial court)

- OTHER Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Exited Order...
- County of Lehigh Children and Youth
Employee Witness Statement (Housing)...
 - Three (3) Month Permanency Review Hearing
Juvenile Court Statement (History) ...
 - U.S. Postal Service Certified Mail Receipt ...

Table of authorization

(Continued)

Page number

listed for Argument:

Oral Argument: Pro Walcott

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Pennsylvania Supreme court appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was January 21, 2020.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix C.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: December 20, 2019, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Four Constitutional Rights were violated by the trial courts; The Right of Equal Protection; the right of equal protection is a concept that was introduced into the Constitution of the U.S. during the American Civil War. It is instead to protect the rights provided by the U.S. Constitution for all individuals regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, etc., The Right to Due Process; (Declaratory); The Fifth Amendment says to the Federal government that no one shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law. The Fourteenth Amendment ratified in 1868, use the same eleven words called the due process clause; to describe a legal obligation of all states, Declaratory The Right of Equal Time; Laws that mandate equal parenting time are not always in children's best interest "the parental rights initiative" requires courts to award "equal parenting time" to both parents after divorce or separation. Declaratory Procedural Rights; Even when the court meets Substantive Rights bar to infringe your parental rights, the court is duty bound to provide fundamentally fair proceedings. Your judge is simply not allowed to say that legislature preformed this function in establishing the law because this is a judicial function where the outcome depends on the specific facts of your case. These rules absolutely apply in family court. Declaratory Substantive Rights; Substantive due process not only protects certain legal

9.

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved

(continued);

procedures but also protects certain rights unrelated

to procedure. Substantive due process has been

interpreted to include things such as the

right to work in an ordinary kind of job;

marry; and raise one's child; as a parent,

Right to adequate Representation / Right to

Council; The right to an attorney protects people

from an unfair trial. The success of a persons

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions

Involved (continued);

trial largely depends on the ability of

the attorney; to provide an adequate defense,

The supreme Court of the U.S. affirmed that

the right to counsel promises an effective

lawyer. Hearsay Evidence Rule; Hearsay is

an out of court statement offered into

evidence to prove the truth of the matter

asserted. Hearsay evidence is often inadmissible
-17-

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved

(continued);

at trial; generally speaking, hearsay can not

be used as evidence at trial.

Supremacy Clause: Clause two provides that

the Constitutional, federal laws made pursuant

to it, and treatise made under its authority

Constitute the Supreme law of the land.

It provides that the State Courts are bound by

the Supreme law of the land.

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved (continued)

Fifth Amendment: The Fifth Amendment is an

amendment to the constitution that

guarantees U.S. citizen specific rights

including not having to testify against

yourself if you are accused of committing

a crime, these were added to the

constitution because the people who

wrote it wanted to protect the citizens

Freedom

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions

Involved; (continued);

and rights. Fourteenth Amendment; It says

that anyone born in the U.S. is a

citizen and that all States must give

citizens the same right guaranteed

by federal government in the bill of

rights. The Fourteenth Amendment also says

that all citizens have the right to due

process and equal protection under the law

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved

(continued);

in the United States the Due Process

Clause declared that states may not

deny any person "life, liberty, or property

without due process of law."

Twenty eighth Amendment: It describes

a proposed amendment that will forever

enshrine the protection of parent-child

bonds in our U.S. Constitution. This amendment

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved (continued);

spells out for all family courts that our

childrens best interests are best

protected by fit parents; with strongly

protected and equal parental rights;

210 Pa Code 2572, Rule 2572(c); Time

for Remand of Record: Upon application;

The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

may stay remand of the record

Pending review in the

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved

(continued);

Supreme Court of the United States.

The Supreme Court prothonotary shall notify

the court having possession of the record

of the application and of disposition

of the application. The stay shall not

exceed (90) ninety days; unless the period

is extended for cause shown. If a stay is

granted the clerk of the Supreme Court of the

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved

(continued);

U.S. notifies the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania;

that the party that obtained the stay has

filed a jurisdictional statement or a petition

for a writ of certiorari; the stay shall

continue until final disposition by the

Supreme Court of the U.S. upon filing in

the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

of a copy of a

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved

(continued);

order of the Supreme Court of the

U.S. dismissing the appeal or denying

the petition for a writ of certiorari

the record shall be removed; immediately;

Injunction Relief: An injunction relief

is an order by a court commanding or

prohibiting a specific action. The court may

also consider the harm being done by the

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions

Involved (continued);

action or (inaction); of one of the

parties and balance the rights and

actions of both parties in making its decision.

Ron Walcott is requesting the United States

Supreme Court apply Ron Walcott's rights

and constitutional rights due to trial

courts and each council avoiding applying

Ron Walcott's rights during
'20'

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved (continued);

Custody trials and this act is a

violation of: (Article 6; oath in office);

and is a violation of Ron Walcott's

Constitutional Rights and rights;

and is a conflict of interest.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Daphin County Children and Youth Services Agency and the trial courts (Judge John F. Cherry), court of common pleas have produced absolutely no clear and convincing physical evidence against Ron Walcott or Amanda Mayer and Ron Walcott custody court case is unconstitutional fixed case and the proceedings follow (for: Ron Walcott);

Trial court docket number: 111.40.2018

Trial court docket number: 111802

Trial court Journal Docket number: JA.20002.19

Trial court docket number (FID);
22.FN.000137.2017

Trial court docket number: CP.22.DP.234.2017

Trial court docket number: CP.22.DP.0000234.2017

Intermediate Appellate Courts;

Superior Court Docket number: 2047 MDA 2018

Superior Court Docket number: 2048 MDA 2018

Supreme Court Docket number: 411 MT 2019

Supreme Court Docket number: 578 MAL 2019

Supreme Court Case Category: Civil

Case type: Involuntary Termination of Parental Rights

Case type: Childrens Fast Track Appeal

In the interest of (SSW; ammer)

Dependency: (this information is unknown to parents and absent a finding)

Statement of the Case

(continued);

On November 30, 2017 a child was born

to Ron Walcott and Amanda Mayer. On December 1, 2017

Dauphin County Children and Youth Services Agency

approach Amanda Mayer and stated that

they have concerns; due to hearsay. And the

hearsay referral stated parents were going

to raise their newborn under the bridge and

that parents possible schizophrenic with

mental illnesses. Parents had no history with the

Statement of the Case

(Continued);

agency prior to (SSW; a minor) birth. There was a

decree for a verbal pick up order to remove

(SSW; a minor) from her parents at birth based upon

a hearsay narrative. The agency along with the

trial courts continue on to proceed with this

process even though there are no grounds for

our case to be started; then enter into a barrage

of court proceedings wear placed with a burden

that is totally illegal. No attorney was present because

Statement of the Case

(Continued);

the process does not reflect that parents

were being represented. For one reason; there

are no objections; on the record by

any attorney; except for motions (Filed and

stamped); that both parents; had objected the

trial court case event Outcome Orders.

The judge assigned was Court of Common

Plaus; Judge John F. Cherry; Dist. Justice : 12; Court

departments; 101 Market Street Harrisburg Pennsylvania 17101;

Ron Walcott

Statement of the Case

(Continued);

Ron Walcott filed with the Superior Court of

Pennsylvania; the following motions; Petition for Allowance

of Appeal; Petition for Allowance of Appeal Brief;

Informa Pauperis Continued forms; 1925 Complaint of

Errors that Ron Walcott was not in support of the

trial court order; Request for Council; Reconsideration

of Allowance of Appeal; and Ron Walcott filed

with the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania the

following motions; Petition for Allowance of Appeal;

Statement of Case

(Continued);

Informa Pauperis Continued Forms; Request for

Appointment of Council / Supreme Court lawyers;

Application for Reconsideration from denial of

Petition for leave of court to Supplement/Amend;

and 210 Pa Code. Rule 2572(c); Time for Remand

of Record; and was exited Per Curiam; on

January; 21; 2020 pending review of United

States Supreme Court, Ron Walcott objected

to all Trial Court Case Event Outcome Orders.

Statement of the Case

(Continued);

The trial courts throughout the history of this

process continued to abuse it's discretion and

commit crimes; according to the rule of law;

by deliberately manipulating both parents and

took a second newborn child (3) three

hours after birth. The trial courts have violated

Ron Walcott's Constitutional Rights and Parental

Rights; and took his newborn children without

clear and convincing physical evidence and this

Ron Walcott

Statement of the Case

(Continued)

violates Ron Walcott and Amanda Mayer

and (JSW jammer) Constitutional Rights

and rights.

Statement of Claiborne

(continued);

Claims being brought against family of aforementioned child by

Dauphin County Children and Youth Services Agency of alleged misconducts by

aforementioned parents of minor children. All submissions of proof

never entered into any files or databases. To garner

favours with justice system by and aforementioned family of

said minor children. Judicial meanings of said change in detail

no admissions; no blackouts; no white outs; all pertinent

information must be presented; in full; including verbal;

written and all proceedings with parents in; and

during proceedings. Judges opinion has contradictions in

itself and conflicts of allegations and is manufactured and

Statement of the Case (Continued);

produces no grounds or evidence of any alleged allegation and no offense proven.

I, Ron Walcott hereby this day

June 5th, 2020, am filing criminal charges against

Judge John F. Cherry, hearsay shall not be a subject

brought forth from this point forward in all proceedings

and removed from bench; and from bar revocations

of judicial licenses at time of removal from bench

barring any and all forms of communication with

Judicial System after removal from bench and bar

and confinement to a correctional facility not

Statement of the Case

(Continued);

being in the community and a perjury charge;

per charge; per each person; involvement of

removal orders; you need exact wording for any and

all pertinent information or wording of any and

an oral and or written orders for children removal;

and demand to know exactly how these orders

clarify the grounds of the order and where on

the record it is specified. It is conclusory; does

not meet the "good cause" requirements and is

insufficient. The burden needs to be placed on the

accuser and not the accused. The verbal order was

Statement of the Case

(Continued);

with held from parents and there is not any alleged wrong doings to the minor child from the aforementioned parents. At no point was no allegation direct misconducts and/or wrong doings to the child from the parents. Charges of adoption forgeries; Literary Fraud; Fraud; is perjury with no possibilities of parole. Any innocent occurring; Why is there not any medical records; police records; or police reports. Production of said evidence must also be presented with proof of any and all law enforcement or police records;

Statement of case

(Continued);

pertaining to such evidence and/or proof by

law enforcement and/or police intervention

on said family against children. No actual

evidence pertaining to said parents against said

children, and Dauphin County Children and Youth Services

Agency and trial courts kidnap and entrap

family; entrapment. Charges of coercion;

Literary fraud; fraud; entrapment; perjury

falsification; falsifications; perjury; inadmissible

evidence; of no actual evidence pertaining to said

parents against said children.

Statement of the Case

(Continued);

Aforementioned children should not be placed

in outside custody all children of said family shall

be reunited with parents all Dauphin County

Children and Youth Services and Social Services must

turn over all records pertaining to aforementioned

children of said family with Federally produced

instructions that ALL records whether

considered as confidential or not if such records

are marked as confidential All pertinent information

pertaining to aforementioned family to be released

immediately to said family, per violating the rights

Statement of the Case

(continued);

to be Confidential must be unfiled and opened

and must be made available to defendants

in any and all open state, county, federal;

local; Courts, under the Freedom of Information

Acts, and is a miscarriage of justice,

Burdens placed on parents without grounds

and the act of conflicts of allegations

is coercion by judicial systems; and child

custody battles with mitigating circumstances,

Insufficient and/or in efficient representation

preparation for any and all proceedings, falls

Statement of the Case

(continued);

of children and their parents; by blowing

past the very laws that limit their discretion,

Judge opinion is based on falsified information

and allegations brought by Dauphin County Children

and Youth Services against aforementioned

defendants; any and all evidence of any and all

misconduct pertaining to aforementioned family,

against minor children and charged with

falsified information; falsifications, All records

pertaining to aforementioned child custody

battles; that are considered by the court

Statement of the Case

(continued);

under category of misrepresentation

could also be considered insufficient and

inefficient and/or inadequate

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

This is an unusual case in where we are experiencing the judge abusing his discretion; and an abuse of power and an abuse of federal and state resources; by using the bench to commit deliberate crimes and used the system in a meticulous way by bullying and forcing us to represent ourselves and in so doing this is cruel and unusual punishment to a child; because the child was taken (24) twenty-four after birth with a verbal pick-up order that was in error and not according to procedural rule. A verbal pick-up order has a definition and we did not commit any crime; there were no clear and convincing physical evidence to the hearsay alleged allegations. We never had; had; any interaction with this court or the children and youth services agency prior to the child (S.S.W; a minor) being born. So there could be no way that they would be able to show a history about the multiple allegations they formulated after they took the child. When the child were taken; she were taken on the grounds of a referral; and the referral further stated; that they assured Ron Walcott and Amanda Meyer were going to raise a child under a bridge and that parents were homeless; but for a child

Reasons for granting the petition (continues);

to be taken under these grounds the law

stipulates that it must be a crime

and it must be witnessed. So for a

child to be taken (24) twenty-four hours

after birth; and there is no clear and

convincing physical evidence and no witness.

A verbal pick-up order; in law; has a definition;

and there is nothing stated by the

alleged referral in specific to do with any

actual crime or any event that would

trigger this verbal pick-up order which

Reasons for granting the Petition (continued);

tells us that this is an unusual case.

The trial court judge never applied the laws; and never upheld the law; as it is

clear judge went outside of law;

specifically the verbal pick-up-order.

Throughout the whole proceedings parents

kept emphasizing about lack of counseling

or the lack of adequate counseling; in spite

of all of this the lower court continued with

misconduct; such as not giving parents

an opportunity to be represented in court

Reasons for granting Petition (continued);

so as to gain clarity as to what is

specific that the parents did that would

remove their child (24) twenty-four hours

after birth, and the fact that when the

agency started this process; they had a

personal interest because there were no

grounds for removal. The trial court (Judge

John F. Cherry; Court of common pleas; dauphin

county); violated his oath of office;

Article 6: oath in office; by adjudicating;

based upon hearsay that were

Reasons for granting petition (continued);

concerns. The trial court judge further

allowed for the Dauphin County Children and

Youth Services Agency to make filings of

allegations that was never met with

clear and convincing physical evidence; there

were multiple filings; such as Cease and

Desist orders; the order to suspend visitation

with parents and child; these are some of

the orders granted by trial court judge

although these allegations have no proof

to validate; so the judge was being

Ron Walcott

Reasons for granting petition

(continued);

unprecedented. The trial court judge violated

oath in office (Article: 6; oath in office); the judge

knowingly went outside of the guidelines

to involuntarily terminate parental rights of

both parents; as the guidelines state; for

a child to become adopted this child; must

be in foster fifteen (15) to twenty-two (22)

months and parents rights were terminated

in eleven (11) months. There is a conflict

of interest with the trial court judge

and the agency as these proceedings

Ron Walcott

Reasons for Granting Petition

(Continued)

are unprecedented with no regard for human

life; these are not minor irregularities they

deliberately exclude any counseling for Ron

Walcott which is a major violation under

the Right to know act, and attempted to

provide Amanda Mayer with council, only to

premeditate and to rail road; entrap.

The judge violated his (oath in office: Article 6)

by allowing testimony to stand that

is false and testimony that are false;

under oath is contempt of court; and

Reasons For granting Petition

(Continued);

Skipped all of the due process of parents,

The trial court judge violated his Oath

in office: Article 1, by misconduct; or an

act of breaking the law; when the trial

court were given directions to send over

the records for the Notice Of Appeal

proceedings; the trial court deliberately diverted

from the original organic Records that

parents were being carried by in trial courts

up until the day parental rights were

involuntarily + terminated; the judge violated

For Wellcut

Reason for granting petition (continued):

the Supremacy Clause; oath in office;

Article: 6. The trial court judge created a

new format in regards to do with the

records that were sent over; and its

not the original organic records of the

case; what the trial court judge did in

this regard; is unprecedented. The judge

conspired with the agency to impose

psychological evaluation and parents were

compelled by trial court judge to take

psychological evaluations with no option of choice;

as parents were ordered to go to

Hempfield Behavioral Health Office; were

Ron Only spoke with Nicholas Pappas;

and upon dialogues Nicholas Pappas insisted

that Ron should sign papers; and that Ron

were not allowed to read these papers

and at this point Ron found that to be

very rare and unusual. And this led Ron

to decline this interview that was never

completed, as Ron departed and did not sign

those papers. The trial court judge abused

Ron Walcott

Reasons for granting Petition (continued);

it's discretion; misconduct; and commit

an error; or crime; by compelling a

psychological evaluation results when

there were no completed psychological

evaluation; for either Ron Walcott and

Amanda Moyer. Parents have yet to

have their day in court; as parents side

were never heard and there is nothing

in the record that of an ideal process,

The aggravated circumstances that had

been significant in the Involuntary

Ron Walcott

Reasons for granting petition (continued):

termination of the parental rights;

The allegation by a reason of a
doubt; the allegation can never be true.

The allegation stated that there was a
point in time; in the alleged involvement
with the agency that they: Dauphin County
Children and Youth Services Agency; were

an incident were someone said

Ron Walcott and Amanda Moyere were
seen giving their child a boogie

down by the Susquehanna River

Ron Walcott

Reasons For granting Petition (continued);

in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. Ron Walcott

and Amanda Moyer very first child were

taken (24) twenty-four hours after birth and

at no point had any contact; physical

contact with parents; so at no time

parents could not have been giving

child a bath at Susquehanna River

because their child never lived with

the parents. For Involuntary Termination

of Parental rights on these grounds

is unprecedented; and shows that the

Reasons for granting the Petition (continued);

trial court judge is involved in fabrication

to implement allegations that are totally

false; and knowingly do so. This is a

blatant act and disregard for the rule

of law; this is committing crimes against

children and crimes against humanity.

We have exhausted every necessary

step to prove this whole process is untrue;

forgery; fraud; as the trial court judge

continue to exercise his sovereign power

outside of the jurisdiction of his given power;

Ken Walcott

Reasons for granting the Petition (continued);

and is dealing with his personal feelings

of prejudice; nor regards for humanity;

total disregard for human life and this

is crimes against children and this

process reflects a hate crime. This case

continued to show fast irregularities in

regards to do with Dauphin County Children

and Youth Services Agency is involved with

forging an adoption having the foster

employee (foster parent) Lisa Snyder impersonating

a relative of either parent; such as a sister;

Ron Wallcott

Reasons for granting the Petition (continued);

and this was to gain access to medical privilege; this was one of the many anomalies that occur throughout this process.

Parents have anomalies such as multiple docket numbers; conflict in allegations;

contradictions; violations such as forced to represent themselves; and in that regard was denied to speak or to give testimony;

this was a silent process as parents side was never entered into trial court records

so that the record would reflect were

Don Walcott

Reasons for granting the Petition (continued);

there were objection made to clarify

none of these things can be true; and

there were no clear and convincing physical

evidence found that would have triggered

a process with the court; and there were

no aggravated circumstances found to

Involuntary Terminate parents rights based

on an allegation that could never be

truth; or facts, Parents; human rights;

were violated and Constitutional Rights

were violated; and the child's life

Ken Walcott

Reasons for granting the Petition (continued);

was placed in danger as this was

cruel and unusual punishment

for the child and parents, Seeing all

the circumstances and the evidence

the parents do have to present given

the opportunity for an attorney to assist

us would make it much easier for

the United States Supreme Court to

examine and to review these irregularities

and blatant act in defiance of the law.

Parents have exhausted all the Necessary

Ron Walcott

Reasons for granting the Petition (continued);

Channels available and have found
no remedy, so parents are pleading
with United States Supreme Court wherever
to take jurisdiction; and have jurisdiction
and where it is found evidence by this
court to take jurisdiction necessary
to grant this review of the writ of
Certiorari for this case so parents will
have an opportunity to have our side
be heard; and have the United States
Supreme Court review and resolve

Reasons For Granting the Petition (continued):

this conflict of interest; as the process has been riddled with discrepancies;

a fixed case; an unusual case;

violations of human rights; violation

of denying the due process, violations

that are very clear that they are

not in the best interest of the child;

parents was never given the opportunity;

and was robbed to raise their own child;

and parents need United States Supreme

Court to resolve this conflict

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Ms Abbott

Date: June 5th 2020