


ORIGINAL

19-879

No.



In the Supreme Court of the United States

HEON SEOK LEE, PETITIONER


v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

HeonSeok Lee, pro se
hslee@kturbo.com
(630) 406-1473
1183 Pierson Dr, Unit 118, Batavia, IL 60510



QUESTION PRESENTED

whether federal court has jurisdiction to determine that (1) a municipality is a customer of its sub-vendor, and (2) a municipality sub-awards federal funds to its sub-vendor through its payment for the goods to its contractor.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Question Presented	I
Table of Contents.....	I
Opinions Below	1
Jurisdiction	1
Statutory Provisions Involved	1
Statement	1
Reasons for Granting the Petition	1
Importance	1
Conclusion	2

Appendix

A. Denial of Recall the Mandate	App.1
B. Denial of Rehearing of 7 th Circuit.....	App.1
C. The opinion of 7 th Circuit	App. 2
D. The decision of District court	App.45

OPINIONS BELOW

United States v. Lee, No. 18-1687, at *9 (7th Cir.)

JURISDICTION

The petition for rehearing en banc was denied on September 11, 2019.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

2 CFR 200.501 (f) ... The payments received for goods or services ... are not Federal awards.

16 CFR 240.4 - Definition of customer. A customer is any person who buys for resale directly from the seller, or the seller's agent or broker.

STATEMENT

The grand jury heard that vendor of the municipalities violated ARRA and the indictment alleges ARRA funds fraud and ARRA violation, which is read against law and does not invoke jurisdiction.

However, the government abandoned all subjects of the indictment, Alter Ego, Contract with municipality, ARRA funds fraud. Surprisingly, the district court granted the government motion to strike paragraph #10 of the indictment, ARRA funds fraud.

More surprisingly, Seventh Circuit returned to the frivolous indictment and affirmed the sentence. Specifically, Seventh Circuit ruled that (1) a municipality is a customer of its sub-vendor, and (2) a sub-vendor of municipalities was sub-awarded Recovery Act funds from the municipalities, which is frivolous ruling rooted from the frivolous indictment.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

All legal proceedings including the indictment are frivolous and violate Extradition Treaty. All actions of the courts and the prosecutors are crime.

IMPORTANCE

The **frivolous criminal prosecution** is a treason against the United States.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted.



HeonSeok Lee, pro se
hslee@kturbo.com, (630) 406-1473
1183 Pierson Dr, Unit 118, Batavia, IL 60510