

Carl Womack

Case USCA4

Vs

NUMBER 19-1723

Johanna Finkelstein

Petition for Rehearing
US SUPREME COURT

After filing the appeal to the Supreme Court, which included an appeal for a Stay, the Clerk of court, Johanna Finkelstein, by way of Victor Garlock, defrauded the US GOVERNMENT by opening a new hearing regarding the same thing under a different file name. The case involving selling my mother's property was originally filed under 19 E 63, but when I appealed to you, they had a new hearing about it under a new file number 20 SP 189. Again, Medicaid has jurisdiction for my mother's property. Defrauding the US GOVERNMENT is under 18 USC. 371. And includes quotes from Hass vs Henkel, Hammerschmidt vs United States. Also Tanner Vs US, as well as Dennis vs United States.

This shows how a group of people in government, use their authority, to violate the rules of civil procedure. And I have met other people who have told me they have had the same thing happen to them in that court. Buncombe County has had numerous officials convicted in Federal Court for corruption.

As a Pro Se, I'm discriminated against, and this IS of national concern, because these same officials, use their authority, to come up with ways to delay justice. Its' funny how, during the course of my cases, I reported people for corruption and was met with "not enough evidence" or not our jurisdiction" then later in the news, see those same people, charged with EXACTLY the same thing I had accused them of, and they were convicted.

There needs to be a Supreme Court ruling on Pro Se litigates, that we are given the same treatment as a licensed lawyer.

There needs to be a ruling, a law that ALL cases in courts be reviewed for corruption by court officials.

Signed



RECEIVED

NOV 10 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

CERTIFICATE

I Carl Womack, do certify that I am representing myself Pro Se, and will do so should I appear in court.

Signed,

Carl Daniel Womack

Self-imposed 80th

Carl Womack

Case USCA4

Vs

NUMBER 19-1723

Johanna Finkelstein

PETITION FOR REHEARING

US SUPREME COURT

Reverse discrimination by your court. Yes, by YOUR court. I thought surely after the death of Ruth Ginsburg, you people would be more willing to help with the rights of women, but I was wrong, or was it perhaps because my mom is not gay, nor a minority, nor Jewish.

Oh, a Supreme court decision about whether or not someone can refuse service to someone gay is such a threat to national security, while a woman, who again has no criminal record, is denied her rights by your court.

MS/Miss Finkelstein, is Jewish, my mom is not, so of course she is met with discrimination, My mom is not gay, so of course it is something that won't make the headlines and so she gets denied justice on that level as well, and she is not a minority, so of course she gets denied justice there as well.



Over and over again, when I tried to get my mom help, ALL organizations asked "Is she a minority? Is she an illegal immigrant? Is she lgbt? And of course, when I said no, I was told we do not qualify. Yet you people wonder why there is so much racism in America,

It would be different if my mom had a mile and a half long criminal record but she does not, she is a defenseless, 94-year-old disabled woman, whose son, me, keeps trying to get her out of a nursing home she does not want to be in.

My mother is patriotic, never a rebel.

I SUPPOSEDLY have a right to petition the government, and Johanna Finkelstein denied that right, I plead for a continuance so that key witnesses could appear and shed light on the case, they were denied as well, My petition to have my mom free to come home and get community based health care under provisions of the Olmstead Act was denied. I guess all 2000 of those people back in 2012, whom the USDOJ freed under the Olmstead Act were minorities, or gay or Jewish.

I would quote The Olmstead Act, O'Connor vs Donaldson, American's With Disabilities Act, Jackson vs Indiana if it would do any good.



Yes, only a couple of pages, of a petition, if you're going to act, you're going to act on the tiny amount of pages I've written here, instead of miles of clear evidence, which you didn't act on anyway.

My LAST HOPE, you WERE my LAST HOPE. I will continue to fight for my mom.


Oh and by the way, she was also put in the nursing home because of her age. And uh, how old was Ginsburg? How old was Strom Thurmond? How old was Jesse Helms? . Would you love being told you need to go in a nursing home BECAUSE you are over 60???

How old is President Trump? How old is Joe Biden? How old was Ronald Reagan? How old is Jimmy Carter???

I tried to petition the federal court to free my mom under provision of the Olmstead Act and Community Based Health care, of course denied by Martin Reiddinger in Asheville NC. Yeah, my mom is WHITE so she doesn't count,


I'M CHARGING THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA WITH REVERSE RACISM, REVERSE DISCRIMINATION. AGE DISCRIMINATION, AND VIOLATING IT'S OWN SUPREME COURT RULINGS.

Signed

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Wm", with a vertical line extending from the top of the 'W' and a wavy line extending from the bottom of the 'm'.

CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL

I Carl Daniel Womack do certify that I represent myself as a Pro Se litigant in the matters presented to the US Supreme Court.

Signed

Carl Daniel Womack

I also certify that the petition is requested in good faith and not to delay. I'm trying desperately to get my mother out of that nursing home.

Signed
