

Case No. 19-8665

In The
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Anthony Jackson, *Petitioner*,

v.

Supreme Court of Illinois, *Respondent*,
M.R. 030370

**On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to
Issue to the Illinois Supreme Court**

Motion To Withdraw Petitioner's Motion to Expedite

Pending before this Honorable Supreme Court is Petitioner Anthony Jackson's Supreme Court Rule 21, Motion to Expedite Consideration of Petition for a Writ of Certiorari and related matters. Petitioner moves to withdraw his Motion to Expedite. Petitioner offers the following in support of his Motion.

There is no debate that the Illinois Court violated the United States Constitution in multiple material respects (among other laws) by entering blanket orders purporting to toll the speedy trial terms in Petitioner's case and all other pretrial criminal cases in Illinois. That court lacked jurisdiction because neither Petitioner's case nor other criminal cases were before the Illinois Court on that issue. *Arguendo*, even if it had jurisdiction, which it did not, the court violated procedural and substantive due process by not allowing a single defendant to be heard before entering the substantive orders purporting to toll the speedy trial clocks.

Petitioner's challenge is this Supreme Court granting *Certiorari*. The Court should grant Certiorari for the reasons already stated, namely the widespread misuse of blanket tolling orders,

the unconstitutionality of ends-of-justice verbiage purporting to justify the blanket tolling orders, and the wrongful impact those tolling orders have had on Petitioner's constitutional right to a speedy trial and guarantee of due process. To be clear, Petitioner is not seeking to have this Supreme Court address his ancillary jail battles (not to be confused with "*prosecution battles*" as he endures punishment, not defending against prosecution). He does not seek a get-out-of-jail-free card. He seeks to enjoy his right to trial, knowing full well that his Sixth Amendment mandate that he "shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial," has long since expired.

If granted *Certiorari*, we submit the profound issues of debate would become one of retroactivity and the ability of courts to enter tolling orders *nun pro tunc*.

As to the pending Motion to Expedite, despite awaiting 1400 days for trial and being in jail for 2064 days as of September 9, 2020, Petitioner's birthday, Petitioner graciously withdraws his request to expedite. As plainly stated in his Motion to Expedite, Anthony Jackson has done all within his power to commence trial. Petitioner repeatedly demanded trial and requested bond, to no avail. He has served and is serving penal time for a debt he does not owe.

FOR THE ABOVE REASONS, Petitioner moves to withdraw his Motion to Expedite.

Respectfully submitted,

September 8, 2020

DATE

BY:

GEORGE JACKSON III #313137

Petitioner's Counsel of Record

DRED SCOTT LLP

55 West Monroe Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 833-0896

GJackson@DredScottLLP.Com