

19-8590

No.

ORIGINAL

FILED

MAY 11 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

TIMOTHY ALAN MARR, PETITIONER,

VS.

JOSHUA E. DOYLE, RESPONDENT(S).

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO  
SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Timothy Alan Marr  
Everglades Correctional Institution  
1599 SW 187th Avenue  
Miami, Florida, 33194 - 2801

## LIST OF PARTIES

[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[ √ ] All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:  
See Appendix A-1:

Joshua E. Doyle, Exec. Dir. The Florida Bar

Maura Canter, Florida Bar Staff Counsel

## RELATED CASES

Florida Bar Rules 3-7.3(c) Under the Penalty of Perjury

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

I.

WHETHER FLORIDA'S CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT CAN PROVIDE AND EXCEPTION TO PERMIT FRAUD ON OR BY JUDGES AND OFFICERS OF A STATE'S COURTS.

II.

WHETHER THE FLORIDA BAR OF FLORIDA VIOLATES ITS CONSTITUTIONAL OATH OF OFFICE TO SUPPORT, PROTECT, AND DEFEND THE PETITIONER'S RIGHT TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES, THAT IDENTIFIES UNDER THE PENALTY ON PERJURY, AND TO CULTURAL TRADITIONS OF HONESTY AND INTEGRITY BY WITHHOLDING RELIEF FROM FRAUD, PERPETRATED ON AND BY THE STATE'S COURTS.

III.

WHETHER THE FLORIDA BAR VIOLATES ITS CONSTITUTIONAL OATH OF OFFICE BY DISMISSING THE PETITIONER'S COMPLAINT THAT SHOWED FLORIDA BAR STAFF COUNSEL, ACCEPTED THE RESPONSE TO A COMPLAINT, AS A STATEMENT OF FACTS, IS UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY. IN CLEAR VIOLATION OF THE PETITIONER'S RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....
JURISDICTION .....
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION.....
CONCLUSION .....

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A,	Petition for Writ of Mandamus
APPENDIX B,	Affidavit of Complaint
APPENDIX C,	Florida Bar Inquiry / Complaint Form
APPENDIX D,	Supreme Court of Florida
APPENDIX E,	Florida Bar Complaint / Inquiry
APPENDIX F,	Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis
APPENDIX G,	Motion for Appointment of Counsel
APPENDIX H,	Introduction
APPENDIX I,	Notice for Rehearing

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The United States Constitution's First, Fifth, Ninth, and Fourteenth Amendments are involved because the Petitioner's rights to petition the government for a redress of grievances, to due process of the laws where there is deprivation of liberty, to expect and receive honesty and integrity from the courts, and to equal protection of the laws, were all violated by the FLORIDA BAR STAFF COUNSEL, accepting a response to a complaint that is under the penalty of perjury for a refusal to act on those violations. The Fourteenth Amendment and Clause 2, of Article VI of the U.S. Constitution were further violated by the FLORIDA BAR refusal to discipline the Staff Counsel for failing to fulfill their oath of office to support, protect, and defend the Petitioners rights to the equal protection, due process, and access to Courts as provided by Sections 2, 9, and 21 of Article J of the Constitution of Florida.

The collaboration to deny the Petitioner's civil rights also involves Title 18 United States Code § 241, § 1341, and/or § 2071.

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

<u>CASES</u>	<u>PAGE NUMBER</u>
See Writ of Mandamus; and Rehearing.....	
<u>STATUTES AND RULES</u>	
Title 18 United States Code § 24, § 1341, § 207.....	3
<u>CONSTITUTION</u>	
Florida Code of Judicial Conduct Canons.....	

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[ ] For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[√] For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A thru I to the petition and is

[ ] reported at FLORIDA SUPREME; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[√] is unpublished.

The opinion of the Florida Supreme Court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[ ] reported at FLORIDA SUPREME; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[√] is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

[√] For cases from state courts: Florida Bar

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix H \_\_\_\_\_.

[√] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: February 19, 2020, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix I \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

The Petitioner filed a complaint against Ms. Lydia D. Wardell, in which Ms. Maura Canter, was the Florida Bar, Counsel assigned to this complaint. Case # 2012-11, 156(13E). Where Petitioner argues inside his complaint Ms. Lydia D. Wardell, was the Asst. State Attorney assigned to my criminal case #522006CF009212XXXXNO. Where the State has suppressed my actual innocence. Mr. Wardell's Attorney responded to Petitioners complaint on July 18, 2012, is in direct violation of Fla. Bar Rules 3-7.3(c) is under the penalty of perjury. Ms. Maura Canter received Mr. Wardell's responses to complaint and, denying Petitioners Complaint, using Mr. Wardell's response as a statement of fact, is False. Petitioner filed a Writ of Mandamus to the Florida Supreme Court. the Florida Supreme Court Justices determined the Florida Supreme Court is without jurisdiction using *Tyson v. Florida Bar*, 826 So.2d 265 (Fla. 2002) dismissing Petitioner's writ of mandamus. Where Ms. Maura deliberately covered up for Ms. Lydia D. Wardell this is a fraud for her malfeasance/misfeasance. Petitioner filed a Writ of Mandamus asking the Florida Supreme Court for a constitutional performance protected duty. Art. V, Sec 15. Where the Florida Supreme Court is depriving Petitioner access to courts, and due process of law. This conduct done by a Government agency. Florida Bar, Staff is in complete violation Florida Bar Rules 3-7.3(c) is under the Penalty of Perjury 4-8.4(c), 4-3.3(a)(1). Florida Bar failed in his duty to recommend sanctions under standards 6.11(a) and 6.31(a). Other attorneys have been disciplined for less misconduct. Ms. Canter's ruling to Florida Bar Case 2012-11, 156(13(f) must be reversed. Ms. Wardell's response to Petitioner's complaint must be stricken from the record. Petitioner shall be granted and awarded relief sought. Florida Supreme would not serve notarized Affidavit of Complaint against Joshua E. Doyle, has deprived Petitioner access to court, due process of laws and equal protection. 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment.

As Executive Director of the Florida Bar, Respondent has a duty under authority of Article V, Section 15 of the Florida Constitution to administer the admission, investigation and discipline of persons admitted to the practice of law. As an officer of the court and executive director, the Florida Bar, Respondent has the sworn duty to support, protect and defend the Petitioner's rights to equal protection and due process of the laws as guaranteed by Article I, Section 2 and 9 of the Florida Constitution and the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.

## REASON FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

This petition should be granted to improve the legal system and uphold the integrity of the judiciary by requiring a State's Florida Bar not to be in violation of Rule 3-7.3(c) under the penalty of perjury. Where petitioner is arguing the State suppressed petitioner's actual innocence, in his complaint. Where the Florida Supreme Court Justices should not remain silent when shown a fraud that has been perpetrated on the Court by an attorney representing the State. Then a Florida Bar Counsel Maura Canter misadvising the Florida Bar to the facts, and covered up for Asst. State Attorney Ms. Lydia D. Wardell, has been terminated from the State Attorney's Office due to her own personal criminal activities, is a constitution violation Fla. Constitution Article 11, Section 5(b), Fraud on a Court should be treated as a greater threat to the administration of Justice. This Court's decision in *U.S. v. Giglio*, 92 S.Ct. 793 (1972) its for bears and progeny have repeatedly used the phrase "know or should have known" of the false testimony in regard to analyzing prosecutorial violations the Petitioner is a victim of government fraud having become and indigent inmate because Florida Supreme Court Justices were allowed to treat his constitutional rights as worthless, and he is kept to poor to afford justice. Over zealous prosecutors are rewarded with Judgeships and reward other unscrupulous prosecutors to perpetuate the injustices.


CONCLUSION

The Petitioner respectfully prays that this Honorable Court grants his petition for a writ of certiorari.

The Petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Date: May 8, 2020

Respectfully submitted,



Timothy A. Marr 252162  
Everglades Correctional Institution  
1599 S.W. 187th Avenue  
Miami, Florida 33194  
305-228-2000  
(Phone Number) Warden