


19-8516

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

APRIL TERM 2020

NO. _____



CRAIG MRAZEK *M20689

PETITIONER,

{AGAINST}

PEOPLE OF STATE OF ILLINOIS


RESPONDENTS,

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT

CRAIG MRAZEK *M20689

1144 RT. #29

TAYLORVILLE, IL; 62568



QUESTIONS PRESENTED:

A. > WHETHER COURT'S DISREGARD OF PETITIONER'S ASSERTED R.A.C. CLAIM, & SPECIFICALLY PER SE CONFLICT AS ATTORNEY, C.S.H., WAS & NOW CURRENTLY IS A STATE PROSECUTOR VIOLATES SIXTH AMEND. GUARENTEE TO REASONABLE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL, IS SUFFICIENT TO WARRANT AN AUTOMATIC REVERSAL W/ PREJUDICE AS ESTABLISHED AT EXH. *PERSE, 1+2?

B. > WHETHER PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE & STATE COURTS VIOLATED PETITIONER'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AS 4TH AMEND.'S PROBABLE CAUSE WAS JURISDICTIONALLY BARRED &/OR UNAVAILABLE BECAUSE ALLEGATIONS FILED BY A FAMILY MEMBER REGARDING SEXUAL CONDUCT WERE BROUGHT BEYOND LIMITATIONS PERIOD PURSUANT 720 I.L.C.S. 5/3-6(C), THUSLY, SUFFICIENT FOR HIM TO ENJOY CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS AND/OR PROCEDURAL BAR AGAINST ANY DETENTION OF HIS PERSON OR PROSECUTION?

C. > WHETHER DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF AN ABUSE OF PROCESS, ABUSE OF POWER, OR AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION IS WARRANTED AGAINST CIRCUIT COURT'S DISREGARD OF ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT'S ORDER [AT EXH. *IM] NINE MONTHS EARLIER TO FIX COURT RECORDS, AS ALSO PRESENTED DEFICIENT AT EXH. *A, 1+2?

D. > WHETHER THIS HONORABLE HIGH COURT'S FAILURE TO PROMPTLY REVIEW INSTANT ACTION WILL CAUSE A FUNDAMENTAL MISARRANGE OF JUSTICE FOR PETITIONER?

I TABLE OF CONTENTS : - PAGES -

II - QUESTIONS PRESENTED • 3

III - CONSTITUTIONAL / STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED (PARTIAL) • 4

III - PERTINENT KEY ISSUES • 5

IV - STATEMENT OF CASE • 6-12

V - ARGUMENT • 12 + 13

VI - CONCLUSION • 14

- INFORMA PASPERIS APPLICATION / CERTIFICATION

- NOTARIZED PROOF OF SERVICE

P.S. -- UPON DISPARITY OF FAIRNESS, HON. CLERK BARNES - N - STAFF HAS REMAINED (LIKELY) AT HELM OF NEEDFUL DUTIES WHILE HON. JUSTICE TEAM PROACTIVELY PROTECTS THEIR HEALTH (ELSEWHERE), DURING THIS HEALTH CRISIS.

SO UPON FUTURE PRECAUTIONARY MEASURES, I PRAY Y'ALL STRENGTHEN YOUR IMMUNE SYSTEM W/ LAUGHTER, FAITH IN HOLY SPIRIT + PLEASE CONSIDER USING PURITY PRODUCT'S "VIR-U-SURE"; W/ A PRIMARY INGREDIENT OF POWDERED GARLIC OIL + OTHER PROPRIETY BLENDS. IN 2 MONTHS OF SPORATIC USE, FOUR OF (MY) PLAINTAR'S WARTS DISAPPEARED (LIES); + P.P. TOUTS THIS AS EFFECTIVE AGAINST H. P. V. ☺.

[1-800-727-2001]

BLESSINGS;

- CM -

CONSTITUTIONAL / STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED :

720 ELCS 5/3-6(c) -- S.O.L. PROVISION AT EXH. # C.2

720 ELCS 5/109-1

725 ELCS 5/114-1 -- EXH. # 114

725 ELCS 5/115-7.3 -- PRESENTS ABUSE OF DISCRETION STANDARD

725 ELCS 5/2-619(a)(5) -- MOTION TO DISMISS REQUISITES

725 ELCS 5/13-215

735 ELCS 5/3-217

11 USCA § 727 (a)(4)(A) -- CT. RECORDS GUARANTEED VERBATIM

25 USCA § 305 (a)

28 U.S.C. § 753 -- CT. RECORDS GUARANTEED ACCURATE; EXH. # 753

28 U.S.C. § 121 -- VESTS JURISDICTION ONTO APL-CT.

28 U.S.C. § 1519 -- MANDATES FINE & IMPRISONMENT FOR ANY
ACTOR IMPEDING OR CONCEALING RECORDS;

EXH. # 1519

31 USCA § 3721 (a)(1)(B)

R.P.C. R. # 3.4

ILL. APL. CT. DIST. R. # 31 -- ISOLATES PETITIONER'S 'VOICE' FROM CT.'S

F.R.E. 26 (a)(1)(B) BECOMES -- R. # 30 (a)

F.R.E. R. # 37 (B)(4)

R.P.C. R. # 111 -- PER SE CONFLICT VIOLATES CONSTITUTION

F.R.E. R. # 201 (b)

ILL. S. CT. R. # 323 (c) -- BYSTANDER'S REPORT

" " # 341 (h)(7) -- PERMITS AMEND. OF CT. RECORD

" " # 366 (a)(3) -- " "

" " # 753 -- GUARANTEES VERBATIM COURT RECORD

" " # 615 (a) -- PLAIN ERROR VIOLATING FUNDAMENTAL
FAIRNESS

BRADY V. MARYLAND, 373 U.S. 83 (1963)

PERTINENT ISSUES PRESENTED IN PRIOR MOTIONS:

COMMONLY CITED WORDS-N-PHRASES OF MERITS PRESENTED
" < > " = UNCITED

ABUSE OF DISCRETION	JUDICIAL CONTEMPT/MISCONDUCT
< ABUSE OF PROCESS >	LEGAL PROCESS
ACTUAL/FACTUAL INNOCENCE	LACK OF JURISDICTION--
ACCESS TO COURTS VIOLATION	[PERSONAL/SUBJECT-MATTER]
ACCURATE RECORDS	MANIFEST ERROR OF LAW
ACQUITTAL	<u>MIRANDA</u> VIOLATION
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	MISADVISEMENT
AFFIRMATIVE SUPPRESSION OF EVIDENCE	M. J. O. A.
AMENDMENTS # < 1 >, < 4 >, 5, 6, 8, 14	NUNC PRO TUNC
AUTOMATIC REVERSAL	NEGATIVE/POSITIVE MISPRISON
BREACH OF DUTY	OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE
<u>BRADY</u> VIOLATION	OPEN-FILE POLICY
BYSTANDER'S REPORT	PER SE CONFLICT OF INTEREST
COURT REPORTER'S ACT	RES IPSA LOQUITUR
COVER-UP	STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS
DE NOVO STAN. OF REVIEW	STRUCTURAL ERROR
DISCLOSURE OBLIGATION	SPEEDY TRIAL ACT/PERIOD
FALSE ARREST	< STERILIZATION OF RECORD >
" MEMORY SYNDROME	<u>STRICKLAND</u> INQUIRY HURDLE
" " " AMICUS CURIAE	VERBATIM
" OATH	WRONGFUL CONVICTION
" PRETENSE	" INCARCERATION
FRAUD-ON-THE-COURT	PLAIN ERROR
FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT	FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS
" INJUNCTION	
< GAG ORDER >	
HYPNOSIS HALLUCINATION	
I. A. C. CLAIM	
< INTERNAL INVESTIGATION >	
INVOLUNTARY GUILTY PLEA	

STATEMENT OF CASE:

1. PETITIONER (I) PRESENTS A CUSTOM OF ABUSE OF:
 - PROCESS,
 - POWER, &
 - DISCRETION THRUOUT ALL PHASES OF LITIGATION BOTH PRE- & POST- SENTENCING; ESPECIALLY EVIL AS SAID ALLEGATIONS BETWEEN (MY) DEFENSE COUNSEL, PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE, & THE 'COURTS'.
2. (I) HAVE PRESENTED (MY) ACTUAL/FACTUAL INNOCENCE PRIOR TO SENTENCING UPON SERVING (MY) JUDGE HYLAND A 5-PAGE LETTER, APART FROM (MY) ATTORNEYS FORE-KNOWLEDGE. ALSO PRE-SENTENCING (I) INTERRUPTED COURT PROCEEDING, FEB. 2011 ASKING HON. JUDGE HYLAND TO REVISIT "DETERMINATION" [MOCK] HEARING 2 MONTHS PRIOR BY HER PREDECESSOR, HON. C. MOORE'S 12-7-10 DENIAL OF APPLICATION OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS PROVISION OF 720 ILLCS. 5/3-6(C).
3. SECT. 3-6(C) CARRIED LEGISLATIVE INTENT UPON (MY) (FALSE) ARREST, JULY '09, BECAUSE FIVE MONTHS LATER, 1-1-10, SAID STATUTE WAS "REMOVED BY DELETION"; A LEGISLATIVE ACTION RARE INDEED. AGAINST CLEARLY RECOGNIZED PRINCIPLES OF LAW & STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION, HON. JUDGE MOORE IN CLEAR, PLAIN, & OBVIOUS ERROR PERMITTED THE GENERAL STATUTES OF SECT. 3-6(A) TO PREVAIL/CONTROL OVER THE SPECIFICITY OF "FAMILY MEMBERS" FILING A COMPLAINT [VERUS A GENERAL MEMBER OF SOCIETY.] THE COURT IN PLAIN-ERROR DID NOT ENFORCE THE MANDATORY AUTHORITY PRESENTED IN (MY) MOTION TO DISMISS FILED 1-4-10; ATTACHED AS EXH. *C, 1-4, APPLYING SECT. 3-6(C) TO INDICTMENT; THIS PLAIN ERROR IS ONE OF MANY POINTS ON RECORD OF COURT'S ABUSE IN VIOLATING RULES OF FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS [ie: I.S.C.R. *615(C)].

STATEMENT - CONT'D :

4,

THIS MOTION TO DISMISS WAS (MY) OFFICIAL "OBJECTION" TO UNLAWFUL DETAINMENT AS 4TH AMEND. REQUISITE, FOR PROBABLE CAUSE, WAS OUTSIDE REACH OF LEGAL PROCESS BEING TIME-BARRIED. DUE PROCESS MANDATES PERSONAL, SUBJECT MATTER, &/OR ANCILLARY JURISDICTION BE APPLIED WITHIN ACCORDANCE OF CONSTITUTIONAL ORDER & RIGHTS. ONLY HERE, THE VERY POWER OF THE STATE (OF ILLINOIS) TO PROSECUTE WAS LAWFULLY UNAVAILABLE, AS ACTION BY COMPLAINANT WAS FILED OUTSIDE LIMITATIONS PERIOD, 2 1/2 YEARS TOO LATE, PRESENTING ACTION APART FROM ORIGINAL JURISDICTION.

5,

THE U.S. SENTENCING COMMISSION POLICY OF INSTANT ACTION WOULD DECLARE EXPRESSLY THAT A STRUCTURAL ERROR HAS CROSSED A THRESHOLD AGAINST BOTH PROCEDURAL & SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS PROTECTIONS OF 5TH & 14TH AMEND.S. (MY) FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS HAVE BEEN VIOLATED UPON PROSECUTION'S ILLEGAL ARREST, CONVICTION, & INCARCERATION AS (MY) LIBERTY RIGHTS WERE DEFRAUDED, PURSUANT 725 ILCS 5/114-1(a)(2): EXH. #114.

6.

EVEN THO (MY) \$32K DEFENSE COUNSEL COMPOSED & FILED AN ADEQUATE OBJECTION TO FATALLY/FACIALLY DEFECTIVE INDICTMENT, ALLEGED REPRESENTATION DID NOT POLICE ITS ADMISSION OF MOTION TO DISMISS NOR OBJECT TO HON. JUDGE MOORE'S "PICTUM" 12-7-10 APART FROM ANY LAW OF THE CASE NOR PRECEDENT; INSTEAD, THE RECORD PRESERVES 'HER' / COURTS' ABUSE OF DISCRETION AS BASIS FOR NOT ENFORCING UNAMBIGUOUS LIMITATIONS PROTECTION STATING [NOT AFFIXED] AT EXH. #B.3, LINES #15-18:

"TO ME, THAT LANGUAGE IS CRYSTAL CLEAR THAT ONE SUBSECTION DOES NOT LIMIT THE S.O.L. PERIOD FOR ANOTHER. & ADDITIONALLY, TO FURTHER BOLSTER MY RULING, IT SIMPLY DOESN'T MAKE ANY COMMON SENSE..."

STATEMENT - CONT'D :

7, WHILE UPON DAY OF SENTENCING, 4-19-11, (MY) DEFENSE COUNSEL FRAUDULENTLY INDUCED ME TO PLEAD GUILTY; (MY) VESTED AFFIRMATIVE RIGHTS TO DEFENSE OF SECT. 3-6 (C) REMAIN WHOLLY AVAILABLE / PRESERVED AS IT WAS NOT (I) WHO WAIVED MY (THIS) RIGHT TO ^{NOT} BE PROSECUTED. EXH. *WV. 1+2 PRESENT THE COURT ASKING (ME) TO WAIVE RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL; BUT, IN CONTRAVENTION OF CLEARLY RECOGNIZED PRINCIPLE OF LAW, SENTENCING JUDGE, HON. C. KYLAND, AT LINES *14 + 15 OF EXH. *WV. 1 ASKED (MY) ATTORNEY :

" + YOU WAIVE ANY STATUTE OF LIM. ... IS THAT CORRECT? "

8. UPON CONCLUSION OF THIS POINT OF LAW + FACT THAT THIS ACTION WAS [+ IS !!!] TIME BARRED, 12-7-10 HON. JUDGE MOORE HELD -- HER INTERPRETATION OF 720 ILCS. 5/3-6 (C) AS NULLITY; THIS STATUTE AS "BEING WITHOUT OPERATIVE LEGAL EFFECT." THE GOVERNMENT, 11 YEARS LATER, REMAINS IN WRONGFUL POSSESSION OF (MY) PERSON, EXERCISING JURISDICTION UPON ABUSE OF PROCESS. AND FOR SIX YEARS (I) HAVE GIVEN THE COURTS OPPORTUNITY TO REVERSE (MY) CONVICTION ON TWO OF THE SIMPLEST, MOST COMMON CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS ABUSES OF LIMITATIONS + JURISDICTIONAL BARS, AMPLY SET ON RECORD; YET, (MY) ARGUMENTS IN P.C.P. + JUNE PROTONC OF PREJUDICE + PARTICULARIZED CONCRETE INJURY REMAIN PRODUCTS OF JUDICIAL ABUSE + OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE BY GOVERNMENT APPELLATE DEFENDERS' OFFICE, AND 'THE COURTS.'

9. A THIRD INESCAPABLE MATERIAL FACT MANDATING COURTS TO ORDER "AUTOMATIC REVERSAL" REMAINS (MY) ATTORNEYS' PER SE CONFLICT OF INTEREST UPON GROUND THAT SHE / CHERYL SCHROEDER HAGEDORN SERVED AS PROSECUTOR FOR 17 YEARS BEFORE BEING (MY) 'ADVOCATE'; THEN UPON CLOSE OF PROFESSIONAL RELATIONSHIP, CHERYL RETURNED TO STATE'S ATTORNEYS OFFICE; REVIEW THIS FACT ^{AS} AT AUTHENTIC EXH. *TO. 3.

STATEMENT - CONT'D :

10. (I) HAVE PRESENTED ALL 3] ILLINOIS COURTS W/ ADEQUATE & INDEPENDANT STATE GROUND DOCTRINE FOR THE VAST ARRAY OF CONSTITUTIONAL & STATUTORY RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, (I) AM ALSO DIRECTED TO PRESUME THAT EACH OF THE COURTS HAVE "REACHED THE MERITS" EXPRESSLY PRESENTED & ESTABLISHED; HOWEVER, UNDER THE "UNREASONABLE DETERMINATION OF FACTS" STANDARD, (I) AM LEFT WITH MERE SAID CONCLUSORY ALLEGATIONS BY COURTS FROM WHICH TO REFUTE.
11. (I) NOW SHALL JUST DISCLOSE A DOUBLE EXAMPLE OF ABOVE ASSERTION THAT [ALL] COURT RULINGS WERE SO LACKING IN THEIR JUSTIFICATIONS, THAT (MY) PRIMA FACIE CLAIM OF FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT (FOR INSTANCE) PRESENTS AS CUSTOM, COURTS MALICIOUS DISREGARD FOR REASONABLE APPLICATION FOR CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. CONSIDER BOTH A CONSTITUTIONAL SPEEDY TRIAL ACT VIOLATION & A BRADY VIOLATION.
12. A.] EXH. *E, 1+2 PRESENT DOCKET OF :
1.) 49 WEEK LAG FROM FILING MOTION TO DISMISS 1-4-70 UNTIL ITS CLEAR ERROR DISMISSAL 12-7-70 APART FROM APPLICATION OF RECOGNIZED PRINCIPLES OF LAW; W/ NO DELAY CAUSED BY (ME) ;
2.) A SIX MONTH (TO THE DAY) ABSTENTIA OF PROSECUTOR, KATHY RYAN, FROM ALL, CONSECUTIVE COURT PROCEEDINGS, 7-9-70 → 10-7-70; IS OF CONSTITUTIONAL DIMENSION AS IT IS THE STATE'S DUTY TO PROMPTLY PROSECUTE THE ACCUSED.
ESPECIALLY & EVIL WAS THE COURT'S COMPLICITY TO STATE'S VINDICTIVE PROSECUTION & UNIQUE DELAY AGAINST CONSTITUTIONAL MANDATE TO ^{THE} PROMPT ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.
13. B.] EXH. *A, 1+2 PRESENT EVASIVE COURT RECORD STATING :
" (WHEREUPON, THE COURT GAVE ATTENTION TO OTHER MATTERS ON THE CALENDAR, AFTER WHICH THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD:)"

STATEMENT - CONT'D:

14. THE PROCEDURAL GAMESMANSHIP IS BOTH FLAGRANT AND PRESENTS COURT'S CULPABILITY BETWEEN ALL 3] ILLINOIS COURTS. EXH. [#]5, 1+2 IS THE BYSTANDER'S REPORT OF HON. JUDGE MOORE'S AVAILABLE & EXPLICIT PRONOUNCEMENT OF AN AVAILABLE MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL. AT LINE [#]6, HON. JUDGE MOORE VOWED TO:

"... I'M GOING TO DROP THIS CASE!"

15. THE AMOUNT OF CONTROVERSY AT THIS MANIFEST WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE IS A PROVERBIAL STRAW TO TIP THE SCALES AND CAUSE THE HONORABLE JUSTICE TEAM'S NAMES TO GET CITED IN LOCAL PAPERS FOR THEIR ABUSE OF JUDICIAL PROCESSES. THE MATERIAL ELEMENT AT STAKE IN THIS COVER-UP IS AN IRREFUTABLE GROUND TO INVOKER STRICKLAND'S PREJUDICIAL INQUIRY HURDLE. IF A DEFENSE COUNSEL TURNS A BLIND EYE TO AN AVAILABLE M.T.O.A., NO AMOUNT OF LENITY NOR ARGUMENT COULD SUGGEST SAID DENIAL TO BE A PART OF (MY) ATTORNEYS' "TRIAL STRATEGY."

16. THIS EVASIVE TRANSCRIPT WAS PUT BEFORE NUMEROUS PUBLIC SERVANTS AS PRESENTED AT EXH. [#]WV, 3 UNDER A WHISTLEBLOWER FORMAT. (I) FILED (MY) P.C.P. APRIL 2014. FIVE YEARS OF FRUITLESS SUPERFICIAL LITIGATION W/ THIS INSTRUMENT ENDED MARCH 2019 PRESENTING EFFECTIVELY A FOUR-SENTENCE RULING AFFIRMING JUE PROCESS VIOLATION OF FAILURE TO REVIEW AT LAST FOUR SENTENCES OF THE NINE PARAGRAPH ORDER.

17. (MY) INSTANT ACTION TOWARD (MY) ACTUAL/FACTUAL INNOCENCE UPON THIS WRIT OR CERT. WAS FROM COURT'S MALICIOUS DISREGARD OF DUTIES VESTED UPON EACH OF ILLINOIS' COURTS. CIRCUIT COURT AT APPENDIX [#]C 8-18-17 FALSELY ALLEGED "WANT OF JURISDICTION."

STATEMENT - CONT'D :

18.

PROMPTLY UPON CIR. CT.'S UNLAWFUL DENIAL, (I) FILED A TIMELY APPEAL W/ APPELLATE COURT 1ST DISTRICT, SPECIFICALLY REQUESTING TO REMAIN "CAPTAIN OF MY OWN DEFENSE"; YET UPON GAG ORDER, BY APPELLATE COURT, (I) WAS WHOLLY [R.#31] SILENCED, AGAINST (MY) SIXTH AMEND. RIGHT; + COULD NOT RESUME MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT BECAUSE (I) WAS 'GIVEN' A GOVERNMENT ATTORNEY WHO, AFTER A ⁺YEAR OF INACTION FILED A FINLEY MOTION, REFUSING TO ORDER A NOTE CHECK OF EVASIVE TRANSCRIPT AT EXH. #A.1+2, NOR OF DEFICIENT RECORDS OF 12-7-10.

19.

APPELLATE COURT 1ST DIST. ACQUIESCED W/ GOVERNMENT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE'S AGENDA TO MAINTAIN (MY) FALSE IMPRISONMENT + 6-18-19 DENIED (MY) NUNC PRO TUNC MOTION TO CORRECT ERRONEOUS COURT RECORD, ALLOWING THE EVASIVE RECORD OF APPEAL TO REMAIN UNDER "JUDICIAL SEAL" OF HON. C. HYLAND, GRANTING BASELESS FINLEY MOTION. APX. #B.1-4 MANIFESTS DEPARTURE FROM CONSTITUTIONAL ERRORS EXPOSED.

20.

(I) RECEIVED GRANT FROM ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT, FOR EXTENSION TO TIMELY FILE (MY) PLA. BEFORE ITS DENIAL AT APX. #A, 11-26-19, THIS SUPERIOR COURT ISSUED MANDATE AS EVIDENCED AT EXH. #IM 8-7-19. ALL 3] ILLINOIS COURTS MAINTAINED ABUSE OF JUDICIAL PROCESS, PROMULGATING DISCLOSED BRADY VIOLATION. CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING MATERIAL FACTS :

EXH. #9-11 IS FALSE OATH BY COURT REPORTER SUPERVISOR ALLEGING TRANSCRIPTS TO BE "TRUE + ACCURATE";
EXH. #KM IS CHIEF JUDGE EVAN'S OFFICE ENTERING INCORRECT FILING # TO EVADE ACCOUNTABILITY;
EXH. #SCT.2 PRESENTS ILL SUP. CT.'S LADY JUSTICE AS APART FROM NEUTRAL ARBITOR [W/ BLINDFOLD];

STATEMENT OF CASE - CONT'D:

EXH. # MY2 IS SUP. CT.'S INSTRUCTION TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PLA.'S DENIAL; + IMPORTANTLY NOTIFYING LOWER COURT'S REFUSAL TO ADMIT, EVEN UPON 8-7-19 ISSUANCE ORDER OR MANDATE.

21. UPON FILING OF THIS WRIT OF CERT., 4-30-20, NEARLY NINE [!!!] MONTHS HAVE LAPSED + CIRCUIT COURT JUSTICE, HON. C. HYLAND CONTINUES TO ABUSE HER POWER + ACTIVELY FRAUDULENTLY CONCEALS EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE OF THIS AVAILABLE M.T.O.A. PREVENTING (ME) ACCESS TO (MY) I.A.C. CLAIM; A BRADY VIOLATION UPON STATE'S COMPLICITY.
22. (MY) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION [REHEARING] TO Ill. SUP. CT. WAS DENIED W/O JUSTIFICATION ON 2-28-20, PROVIDING THIS WRIT OF CERT. AS TIMELY FILED, WELL WITHIN 90 DAY WINDOW, AS PRESENTED AT APX. # AA.

ARGUMENT:

23. PETITIONER/(S) HAVE PRESENTED A PLETHORA OF CONSTITUTIONAL ERRORS FROM JUDICIARY AGAINST (MY) RIGHTS OF LIBERTY. EACH ARE OF SUCH MAGNITUDE THAT PLAIN ERROR THRESHOLD/ STANDARD MANDATES JUDICIAL ACTION. THE PRIMARY ARGUMENTS BEYOND SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATION, PER SE CONFLICT OF INTEREST, + THE COURTS/ STATE LACKING JURISDICTION TO EVEN INITIATE PROSECUTION, IS THE PLAIN ERROR OF BOTH PRONGS OF STRICKLAND STANDARD, AS HEREIN MET + ESTABLISHED, WILL + MUST FUNCTION AS A LEGAL TRIGGER/ MECHANISM TO RETURN ALL AVAILABLE ALLEGEDLY WAIVED +/OR FORFEITED ISSUES BACK TO CONSTITUTIONAL STANDING BEFORE (MY) DEFICIENT COUNSEL FRAUDULENTLY INDUCED (ME) TO PLEAD GUILTY; + DURING WHICH COUNSEL UNLAWFULLY WAIVED (MY) VESTED RIGHTS AGAINST DEFECTIVE, TIME-BARRED INDICTMENT.

ARGUMENT CONT'D :

24,

UPON THE SIMPLEST OBSERVATION OF EVIDENCE, (I)
PRESENT THE OBVIOUS ELEPHANT IN THE COURTROOM AS:

WHAT TRULY ZEALOUS + LOYAL ATTORNEY WOULD COMPOSE A
PRESTINE MOTION TO DISMISS, DEMONSTRATING CAUSE OF
ACTION AS TIME BARRED PURSUANT UNAMBIGUOUS +
CURRENT LIMITATIONS PROVISION AT 720 I.L.C.S. 5/3-6(K),
TO THEN FRAUDULENTLY INDUCE CLIENT (ME) TO PLEAD
GUILTY, + NOT GIVE (ME) A CHANCE TO ASSERT (MY) VESTED
RIGHT AS AN AVAILABLE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, BY "THEM"
WAIVING THIS RIGHT? (ABUSE AGAINST FOND. FAIRNESS, E.S. OR. 615a)

ANSWER: ONLY AN ATTORNEY WITH A CONFLICT OF INTEREST
AWAY FROM CONCERN OF CLIENT (ME).

25.

10-7-10 NEWLY ASSIGNED PROSECUTOR, C.G., OPENED
COURT PROCEEDING PRESENTING THIS MATERIAL FACT OF
(MY) ATTORNEYS' DIVIDED INTEREST IMPACTING LOYALTY, AS
2 MONTHS BEFORE 12-7-10 MOCK - "DETERMINATION" HEARING
DISCLOSED (MY) ATTORNEY [AFTER 6-MONTH ABSTENTIA OF
PROSECUTOR] PROACTIVELY REACHED OUT ASKING HOW MUCH
TIME SHE'D LIKE (ME) TO SERVE; WHO NOT AFFIXED EXH. B, 2
STATES:

"COUNSEL HAS CALLED ME + TALKED ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF
MAKING AN OFFER..." ;

DOES NOT NEED TO RESORT TO INTERPRETIVE AIDS. (MY)
ATTORNEYS' ADVOCACY IS TOWARD THE EMPLOYER SHE'D
SOON RETURN TO AS A PROSECUTOR.

26.

LASTLY, REGARDING FRAUDULENTLY CONCEALED
TRANSCRIPTS, THE COURTS HAVE A DUTY TO DISCLOSE;
IT'S THE LAW! TO MAINTAIN COVER-UP + PREVENT (ME)
FROM ACCESSING EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE PRESENTS A
BAD-FAITH AWAY FROM ALLEGED OPEN-FILE POLICY, ALL IN
FURTHERANCE OF ABUSE OF POWER, RIGHTS, + DISCRETION.

CONCLUSION :

27. PETITIONER TIMELY FILES THIS WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS WITHIN 90 DAY WINDOW OF ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT'S DENIAL OF 1-31-20 APPELLATE COURT'S ISSUANCE OF MANDATE ; + DENIAL AS FINAL JUDGMENT 2-28-20 OF INVITED PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION [REHEARING] AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO PLA.'S DENIAL.
28. WHEREFORE, PETITIONER (I) PRAY IN LORD JESUS' NAME THAT THIS HONORABLE HIGH COURT REVIEW SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES PRESENTED + IN FURTHERANCE OF MY ACTUAL/FACTUAL INNOCENCE ORDER RELIEF DUE, COMMENSURATE TO THE VIOLATIONS OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNLAWFULLY MAINTAINED AGAINST (MY) LIBERTY. ALSO UPON GUARANTEE OF ACCURATE TRANSCRIPTS AT EXH. #753 + #366, LEVY FINES + IMPRISONMENT PURSUANT EXH. #1519 AGAINST STATE ACTORS INVOLVED IN PROSECUTING (ME) + VIOLATING [THEIR] DISCLOSURE AS THE CONSTITUTION IS OBLIGATORY UPON ALL.
29. UPON PENALTY OF PERJURY, PURSUANT 28 USC § 1746, (I) DECLARE FACTS ASSERTED IN THIS WRIT OF CERT. AS TRUE + CORRECT UPON (MY) BELIEF + SHALL BE MAILED FIRST CLASS POSTAGE BEING PREPAID TOMORROW 4-31-20, ENJOYING PRIVILEGES OF PRISON MAILBOX RULE PURSUANT S.C.T.R. #29.

RESPECTFULLY GRATEFUL;


CRAIG MRAZEK #A20689
1144 RT. 29
TAYLORVILLE, IL 62568