# APPENDIX A

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
The Supreme Court of the State of Nevada Order of Affirmance, September 13, 2019	Pet. App. 6
The Supreme Court of the State of Nevada Order Denying Petition for Rehearing, December 6, 2019	Pet. App. 8

### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RODNEY LYN EMIL, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 73461

FILED

SEP 1 3 2019

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

#### ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a district court order denying a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Douglas W. Herndon, Judge.

Appellant filed his petition on January 11, 2017, more than one year after the remittitur issued on appeal from the judgment of conviction. *Emil v. State*, 105 Nev. 858, 784 P.2d 956 (1989). The petition was therefore untimely filed. *See* NRS 34.726(1). Moreover, because appellant previously sought postconviction relief, the petition was successive to the extent it raised claims that were previously litigated and resolved on their merits, and it constituted an abuse of the writ to the extent it raised new claims. *See* NRS 34.810(2). Finally, because the State pleaded laches, appellant had to overcome the presumption of prejudice to the State. *See* NRS 34.800(2). Accordingly, the petition was procedurally barred absent a demonstration of good cause and actual prejudice, NRS 34.726(1); NRS 34.810(3), or a showing that the procedural bars should be excused to prevent a fundamental miscarriage of justice, *Pellegrini v. State*, 117 Nev. 860, 887, 34 P.3d 519, 537 (2001).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See, e.g., Emil v. State, Docket No. 21663 (Order of Affirmance, June 27, 1991).

Appellant argues that he demonstrated good cause and prejudice sufficient to excuse the procedural bars because *Hurst v. Florida*, 136 S. Ct. 616 (2016), set forth a new retroactive rule that requires trial courts to instruct jurors that the State must prove that the aggravating circumstances are not outweighed by the mitigating circumstances beyond a reasonable doubt. We disagree. *See Castillo v. State*, 135 Nev., Adv. Op. 16, 442 P.3d 558 (2019) (discussing death-eligibility in Nevada and rejecting the argument that *Hurst* announced new law relevant to the weighing component of Nevada's death penalty procedures); *Jeremias v. State*, 134 Nev. 46, 57-59, 412 P.3d 43, 53-54 (same), *cert. denied*, 139 S. Ct. 415 (2018). Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Pickering

Pickering

Jarlett, J.

Hardesty

Stiglich

Stiglich

July 1.

All Silver J.

cc: Hon. Douglas W. Herndon, District Judge Federal Public Defender/Central Dist. of CA. Joel M. Mann, Chtd. Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

Cadish

Silver

### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RODNEY LYN EMIL, Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 73461

DEC 0 6 2019

ORDER DENYING REHEARING

Rehearing denied. NRAP 40(c).

It is so ORDERED.

Gibbons

cc:

Hardesty

Hon. Douglas W. Herndon, District Judge

Federal Public Defender/Central Dist. of CA.

Joel M. Mann, Chtd.

Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

Eighth District Court Clerk

Pet. App. 8

19-49515

SUPREME COURT