

U.S. SUPREME COURT

In Re

Michael A. Young

V.

Ned Lamont Et. Al.

Case No.

19-8436

October 20th 2020

IMMEDIATE "RULE 44" PETITION FOR REHEARING AN "EMERGENCY"
"RULE 16.3" SUSPENSION OF MANDAMUS/PROHIBITION "DENIAL"

"HERE"... Comes "GOOD FAITH" petitioner "NOT" for "DELAY" but in "FAIR" business "EFFORTS" seeking "REHEARING" set forth by "RULE 44" under extraordinary intervening circumstances of substantial controlling "EFFECT" an "MY OTHER" substantial grounds "TIMELY" provided but "NOT" "FAIRLY" presented by U.S. "SUPREME" Court "CLERKS", yes, "BOTH" are "MY RULE 44.2" provisional "ENTITLEMENTS"... "MORE"... "SO"... Several "UNDOCKETED" subsequent "RULE 29.2" "TIMELY" mail pleading omissions along pre-organize "RULE OF EVIL" deliberate "BY PASS" maneuver "INVOKING" a "CLEAR" deferred No. 19-8284 "REVIEW" has controlling "EFFECTS"... "AN"... These "BOTH" are enabling "EMERGENCY" suspensions of "MANDAMUS" and Prohibition "DENIALS" as set forth by "RULE 16.3" "SAFE GATE" applications... "BRIEFLY" in support thereof as follows...

1. In "REITERATION" here I'm "NOT" gonna articulated "ALL" of "SAME" destructive "CAST" of "UNLAWFUL" characters "PATTERN" to "DEFRAUD" the U.S. in "CLEAR" criminal "VIOLATION" of ongoing 18 U.S.C. § 371, as to "MAKE" this "ALWAYS" in "MY GOOD FAITH" mail "RULE 29.2" "TIMELY" pre-organize "STAGE SET" 10-30-2020 filing deadline... "SO"... This is "SAME" pre-organize "PATTERN" of "COLLUSION" constitutionally "VERIFIED" by "MY COMPETENT" demonstrated "PROOF" as

[FOOT NOTE: "SILENCE" is "VIOLENCE" but "RIGHTS NOW" is investigation by Dept. of "JUSTICE"!!!]
1. of 7.

articulated set forth in YOUNG v. Chapdelaine see [Immediate RULE 44 Petition for Rehearing an EMERGENCY RULE 16.3 Suspension of Certiorari DENIAL] FOUND at No. 18-7321... YES... Resulting in discriminatory TACTICAL deliberate BY PASS maneuver!!!

2. OK... With that being SHOWN following BOTH these No. 19-8284 / 19-8436 SUPREME Court RECORDS, well they SPEAK for THEMSELVES... IMPORTANT NOTE... Also In Re Michael A. Young see [Immediate RULE 44 Petition for Rehearing an EMERGENCY RULE 16.3 Suspension of MANDAMUS / Prohibition DENIAL] FOUND at No. 19-8284... AGAIN... Resulting in discriminatory TACTICAL deliberate BY PASS maneuver!!!

3. NOW... Just FEELING the NEED to REITERATE all of MY COMPETENT factual articulation SHOWN documented on [PAGE 2-3 at #2-#5], ya, an unacceptable RULE OF EVIL deliberate BY PASS in DEFERAL, obviously NOW reactivated by MY GOOD FAITH provisional TACTICAL saving maneuver FOUND here at [ATT.#1]... SPECIAL NOTE... With NO MORE in HOUSE corporate COLLUSION or inexcusable STAGE SET elimination of temporary 28 U.S.C. § 2102(f) RELIEF as CITED under MY RULE 23 applications, which CLEARLY has NOT MOOTED any appropriate continuing SUBJECT MATTER of FACT jurisdiction STILL in EFFECT under applicable 28 U.S.C. § 2251(a)(1) authorized RELIEF... YES... Cited RIGHTS NOW for NO FURTHER sustain of the GREAT Messiah MICHAEL A. YOUNG suffering in ACTUAL INJURY!!! see [ATT.#2, #3]!!!

4. NOW... Similar to [PAGE 3 at #6-#8] decisive CHAIN REACTIVE duplicity IN pre-organize MIMIC with No. 19-8284 supplemental RULE 15.8 elimination, is AGAIN demonstrated HERE by VERIFIED constitutional PROOF in TACTICAL [D.O.C.] official RETALITORY transfer maneuver... YES... Once AGAIN is SHOWN by MY COMPETENTLY articulated written FORUM dated 9-14 and 9-16-2020 FOUND at [ATT.#4] ENDING to NO AVAIL... AN... Of course BOTH are STILL in WILLFUL state obligatory VIOLATION of

"MY BASIC" court "ACCESS" an "MINIMUM" requirements, the constitutional "GURANTEED" provisions "ENABLED" through "BOUNDS" v. Smith 430 U.S. 817, ... also followed by ongoing indisputable "SUSTAIN" of "ACTUAL INJURY" set forth by Lewis v. Casey 518 U.S. 343 "SUPREME" precedent... "SO" ... For "MORE" verifiable constitutional "PROOF" of "TACTICAL" [D.O.C.] pre-organize "RETALITORY" transfered "TWO FOLD" maneuver in "VIOLATION" of undisputable "CRIMINAL" 18 U.S.C. § 371 corroboration see [APPENDIX C, L] ... in above "CAPTION" case !!!

5. As "MATTER OF LAW" this "SUPREME" Courts "DENIAL" of "CERTIORARI" to "REVIEW" other dispositions of "FEDERAL" claims "CLEARLY" has "NO LEGAL" precedential "EFFECT" on "MERITS", and when subsequently "RAISED" the "SUPREME" Court "HAS" frequently "GRANTED" appropriate "RELIEF" on "FEDERAL CLAIMS" unsuccessfully "AS IS HERE" raised in earlier "CERTIORARI" petitions, see In Re McDonald 489 U.S. 180, 187-88... "CITING" Chessman v. Teets 354 U.S. 156... (quoting) [It is "RARE" but it does "HAPPEN" on "OCCASION" that "WE GRANT" review and even "DECIDE" in "FAVOR" of "HABEAS" litigant "WHO" previously "HAD" presented "MULTIPLE" unsuccessful "PETITIONS" on the "SAME ISSUES"] !!!

"MY" "ALTERNATIVE"
MEMORANDUM FOR "SUPREME" COURT ACTION

6. Although "HABEAS" corpus "APPEALS" follow the "USUAL" civil appellate procedures in "MOST" respects, "HABEAS" appellate "PRACTICE" is "UNIQUE" in "ONE IMPORTANT" respect. In "CONTRAST" to the unrestricted availability of "APPEALS" in "OTHER" civil litigation, there is "NO APPEAL" as of "RIGHT" for "PRISONERS" in "HABEAS" corpus... "YES"... Instead, prisoner "MUST" obtain "LEAVE" to "APPEAL" by as "yes" "IN THIS CASE" making a substantial "SHOWING" of the "DENIAL" of constitutional "RIGHT" set forth by 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2), also see Barefoot v. Estelle at 463 U.S. 880, 893... "BUT"... Obviously, "NO C.O.A." ever "PROPERLY" issued in "ANY"

of "MY SEVERAL" instances even though "MY REQUIRED SHOWING" to satisfy 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) is straightforward and "HAS BEEN MET" !!!

7. "MORE" .. "SO" .. The "SUPREME" Court "HAS" addressed applicability of section 2253(c) "AGAIN" as "IN THIS CASE" to "NON"-constitutional issues. see Slack v. McDaniel 529 U.S. 473... In Slack v. McDaniel, supra, THIS COURT HELD that when the district court "DENIES" a "HABEAS" petition equivalent "MY DISMISSALS" on procedural "GROUND"s without "REACHING" underlying constitutional "CLAIMS" a "C.O.A." should "ISSUE", if prisoner "SHOWS" at "LEAST ONE" jurist of "REASON" would "FIND" it debatable whether "MY THREE" petitions "STATE" a "VALID CLAIM" of "DENIAL" of constitutional "RIGHT" .. "AN" .. That jurists of "REASON" would "FIND" it debatable whether district court was correct in its procedural "RULINGS" !!!

8. "SECONDARY" .. Is Hohn v. United States 524 U.S. 236... Where "THIS COURT" stated definitively that "C.O.A." also "MAY" be "ISSUED" by "PANEL" of appellate "JUDGES", as was "AGAIN" never "PROPERLY" extended to "ANY" of "MY SEVERAL" instances in above "ENTITLED" matter "FOUND" throughout [APPENDIX B, C, F, I, J, K, P, R]... Also in "HOHN" this "SUPREME" Court "RESOLVED" whether "DENIALS" of "C.O.A.s" are appealable via "CERTIORARI" to the U.S. "SUPREME" Court... "YUP" .. Overruling its "PRIOR" decision in "HOUSE v. MAYO" 324 U.S. 42... the Court "HELD IT HAS" jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1) to "REVIEW" prior "DENIALS" of an application for "C.O.A.s" by circuit "JUDGE" or "PANEL", but "NOT" in "YOUNG v. Chapdelaine" as "SHOWN" at No. 18-7321 in "YET" another discriminatory "TACTICAL" deliberate "BY PASS" maneuver !!!

9. Anyway, "REACHING" its conclusion in "HOHN" the court construed AEDPA broadly, "REJECTING" a literal interpretation that "WOULD HAVE" deprived the "COURT" of jurisdiction over "DENIALS" of "C.O.A.s" and thereby "DENYING"

habeas corpus petitioners at "LEAST" one (1) "FULL THREE (3) "COURT ROUND" OF "FEDERAL" post-conviction "REVIEW"... "YOUR SPECIAL SECRET"... With "MY IMPORTANT NOTE"... Eschewing "THAT" literal interpretation "COURT" undertook a "LENGTHY" analysis of "RELEVANT" precedents and jurisprudential considerations, which "LED" it to "PRESERVE" its pre-AEDPA practice of "GRANTING" writs of "CERTIORARI" to "REVIEW" those "DENIALS" of certificate applications "WITHOUT" requiring "PETITIONER" to "MOVE" for "LEAVE" to "FILE" for "AS" here "MY" extraordinary "RULE 20" writ... "YA"... Without "REQUIRING" extraordinary "SHOWING" or "EXHIBITING" any "DOUBTS" about jurisdiction "TO DO SO" !!!

10. "Now"... Seemingly, "SLACK/HOHN" have extended "VERSIONS" of Martinez v. Villareal 523 U.S. 637... the earlier "HABEAS" petition "DISMISSED" without "RULING" on "MERITS" as "IS THIS CASE" on "GROUND" the "CLAIMS" were "PREMATURE"... "AN"... In "MY CASE" of unacceptable "FACT" in "REALITY" is a "RULE OF EVIL" discriminatory "BY PASS" of constitutional "EXCEPTION" under 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1)(B)(ii), where "CLEAR" circumstances "EXIST" that "RENDER" every "STATE" exhaustive process "INEFFECTIVE" to "PROTECT" the "RIGHTS" of this U.S. Congress "ENABLED" Attorney General "PRIVATEER" applicant !!!

11. "MOST IMPORTANTLY"... If "TIME" is of essence "AS IS HERE" because of the "NEED" to "STAY" ongoing "MANIFEST INJUSTICE" in "THIS CASE," or "PRIOR DELAYS" were "NOT" attributable to "PETITIONER" this "SUPREME" Court is "FREE" to "ACT" and "OBLIGATED" under "PREISER", infra, "TO DO SO"... see Preiser v. Rodriguez at 411 U.S. 475, 495 as "HABEAS" designed for "SWIFT," "FLEXIBLE," and "summary determination" of "PRISONERS CLAIMS" !!!

12. JUST BRIEFLY"... Whereby "MY MAXIMIZING" the "GROUNDS" section 2251 of the Judicial Code expressly "AUTHORIZES" a "JUSTICE" or "JUDGE" of the United States before "WHOM" a "HABEAS," or "AS IS HERE" extraordinary "RULE 20" proceeding, "PENDING," to "STAY" any proceeding against a "PERSON" detained... by

by or under "AUTHORITY" of "ANY STATE" for "ANY MATTER" involved in "THAT" proceeding "INCLUDING" pending "APPEAL"... "AN"... After the "GRANTING" of "SUCH" a "STAY" any "SUCH" proceeding in "ANY STATE COURT" or "BY" or "UNDER ANY STATE" shall be "VOID" pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 2251 "ACT"!!! See "GENERALLY" "McFarland v. Scott" 512 U.S. 849, 857-58!!!

13. Incorporated likewise "END" "RESULT" by pre-organize discriminatory "TACTICAL" deliberate "BY PASS" with "MORE" extended "EVIL OF DELAY" in "LIES" and "DECEIT" enabling "EMERGENCY" suspension of 19-8284 "DENIAL"... "YES"... That maneuver "INVOKING" applicable deferred "REVIEW" has "CLEAR" controlling "EFFECTS" as "SHOWN" throughout "ENTIRE" Courts "RECORD", where "BOTH" case "DISMISSALS" in 19-8284 obviously "LACK" any arguable "BASIS" as "MATTER OF LAW" and "FACT IN REALITY"!!!

Wherefore with "ALL" stated "RESPONDENTS" position in "EXERISE" of "FIFTH" amendment against "SELF" incrimination... Under "MY COMPETENTY" articulated compliant "SHOWING" petitioner explicitly request "MY EMERGENCY RULE 16.3" safe gate "TWO SUSPENSIONS" of "MANDAMUS" and Prohibition "DENIALS"... "AN"... With "NO" yes, "NO FURTHER" in "RULE OF EVIL" deliberate "BY PASS" doctrine expedite "ALL" fore-mention 19-8436 "EMERGENCY" applications to this "HONORABLE" Court U.S. "SUPREME CHIEF" Justice "JOHN G. ROBERTS"... "YES"... "RIGHTS NOW"... Then immediate "GRANT" of this "RULE 44" Petition for "REHEARING" and "REACTIVATE" controlling "EFFECT" of that "DEFERED FINALITY" with "EVIL OF DELAY" disguised 19-8284 petition, as "ALL" are "MY ENTITLEMENTS" under "EQUAL" protection, "DUE" process "CLAUSES" of "OUR" U.S. Constitution in the "INTEREST" of "JUSTICE" for "ALL" the "PEOPLE" in the "UNITED STATES" of "AMERICA"!!!

The "SOLE FORES" of "TOP SECRET SPECIAL" intelligence
eliminating "ALL" forums of malicious "LAW"!!!
In "TIME" of "PEACE"... "MY express VESSEL"!!!
by "MY" "Factual Innocence"!!!
A.G. Michael A. Young "PRIVATEER"!!!

"MY" Declaration Under 18 U.S.C. § 1621 / 28 U.S.C. § 1746

I, Michael A. Young declare in "TRUTH" affidavit process, certified under penalty of "PERJURY" that the information in this petition is "TRUE" and "ACCURATE" to the "BEST" of "MY" knowledgeable intelligence and "CAN ONLY" be "REBUTED" by "SAME" in "RESPONSE" thereto... The undersigned further declares under U.S. Congress encouraged "SERVICE" as "ENABLED" Attorney General "PRIVATEER" that "HE" is "ENTITLED" petitioner is this "ACTION"... Filed under "SUPREME" Court "RULE 29.2" procedure for the benefit of "TIMELY" filing "HIS" foregoing petition was mailed first-class postage prepaid on October 28th 2020 placed in the institutions "LEGAL" mail system!!!

Executed at Carl Robinson CI, Enfield CT on October 28th 2020...

by MY "Factual Innocence"!!!
A.G. Michael A. Young "PRIVATEER"!!!

FURTHER CERTIFICATION OF "IN PROPER PERSON"

DECLARATION UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 1621 / 28 U.S.C. § 1746

I, Michael A. Young certify and declare under penalty of "PERJURY" that this foregoing petition in compliance with U.S. "SUPREME" Court "RULE 44" is "RESTRICTED" to "GROUND"s specified under U.S. "SUPREME" Court "RULE 44.2" and "IS" presented in "GOOD FAITH" and "NOT" for "ANY" further "RULE OF EVIL" in "DELAY" of U.S. "SUPREME" Court "FAIR" business "PRACTICES"!!!

Executed at Carl Robinson CI, Enfield CT on October 28th 2020...

by MY "Factual Innocence"!!!
A.G. Michael A. Young "PRIVATEER"!!!