

U.S. SUPREME COURT

In Re
Michael A. Young
v.
Ned Lamont Et. Al.

Case No.
19-8436
October 20th 2020

IMMEDIATE "RULE 44" PETITION FOR REHEARING AN "EMERGENCY"
"RULE 16.3" SUSPENSION OF MANDAMUS / PROHIBITION "DENIAL"

"HERE" ... Comes "GOOD FAITH" petitioner "NOT" for "DELAY" but in "FAIR" business "EFFORTS" seeking "REHEARING" set forth by "RULE 44" under extraordinary intervening circumstances of substantial controlling "EFFECT", an "MY OTHER" substantial grounds "TIMELY" provided but "NOT" "FAIRLY" presented by U.S. "SUPREME" Court "CLERKS", yes, "BOTH" are "MY RULE 44.2" provisional "ENTITLEMENTS" ... "MORE" ... "SO" ... Several "UNDOCKETED" subsequent "RULE 29.2" "TIMELY" mail pleading omissions along pre-organize "RULE OF EVIL" deliberate "BY PASS" maneuver "INVOKING" a "CLEAR" deferred No. 19-8284 "REVIEW" has controlling "EFFECTS" ... "AN" ... These "BOTH" are enabling "EMERGENCY" suspensions of "MANDAMUS" and Prohibition "DENIALS" as set forth by "RULE 16.3" "SAFE GATE" applications ... "BRIEFLY" in support thereof as follows...

1. In "REITERATION" here I'm "NOT" gonna articulated "ALL" of "SAME" destructive "CAST" of "UNLAWFUL" characters "PATTERN" to "DEFRAUD" the U.S. in "CLEAR" criminal "VIOLATION" of ongoing 18 U.S.C. § 371, as to "MAKE" this "ALWAYS" in "MY GOOD FAITH" mail "RULE 29.2" "TIMELY" pre-organize "STAGE SET" 10-30-2020 filing deadline ... "SO" ... This is "SAME" pre-organize "PATTERN" of "COLLUSION" constitutionally "VERIFIED" by "MY COMPETENT" demonstrated "PROOF" as

[FOOT NOTE: "SILENCE" IS "VIOLENCE" but "RIGHTS NOW" is investigation by Dept. of "JUSTICE"!!!]
1. of 7.

articulated set forth in "YOUNG" v. Chapdelaine see [Immediate RULE 44 "Petition for Rehearing an EMERGENCY" RULE 16.3 "Suspension of Certiorari" DENIAL] "FOUND" at No. 18-7321... "YES"... Resulting in discriminatory "TACTICAL" deliberate "BYPASS" maneuver !!!

2. "OK"... With that being "SHOWN" following "BOTH" these No. 19-8284 / 19-8436 "SUPREME" Court "RECORDS", well they "SPEEK" for "THEMSELVES"... "IMPORTANT NOTE"... Also In Re Michael A. Young see [Immediate RULE 44 "Petition for Rehearing an EMERGENCY" RULE 16.3 "Suspension of MANDAMUS" / Prohibition DENIAL] "FOUND" at No. 19-8284... "AGAIN"... Resulting in discriminatory "TACTICAL" deliberate "BYPASS" maneuver !!!

3. "NOW"... Just "FEELING" the "NEED" to "REITERATE" all of "MY COMPETENT" factual articulation "SHOWN" documented on [PAGE 2-3 at #2-#5], ya, an unacceptable "RULE OF EVIL" deliberate "BYPASS" in "DEFERAL", obviously "NOW" reactivated by "MY GOOD FAITH" provisional "TACTICAL" saving maneuver "FOUND" here at [ATT.#1]... "SPECIAL NOTE"... With "NO MORE" in "HOUSE" corporate "COLLUSION" or inexcusable "STAGE SET" elimination of temporary 28 U.S.C. § 2102(f) "RELIEF" as "CITED" under "MY RULE 23" applications, which "CLEARLY" has "NOT MOOTED" any appropriate continuing "SUBJECT MATTER" of "FACT" jurisdiction "STILL" in "EFFECT" under applicable 28 U.S.C. § 2251(a)(1) authorized "RELIEF"... "YES"... Cited "RIGHTS NOW" for "NO FURTHER" sustain of the "GREAT" Messiah "MICHAEL A. YOUNG" suffering in "ACTUAL INJURY" !!! see [ATT.#2, #3] !!!

4. "NOW"... Similar to [PAGE 3 at #6-#8] decisive "CHAIN REACTIVE" duplicity "IN" pre-organize "MIMIC" with No. 19-8284 supplemental "RULE 15.8" elimination, is "AGAIN" demonstrated "HERE" by "VERIFIED" constitutional "PROOF" in "TACTICAL" [D.O.C.] official "RETALIATORY" transfer maneuver... "YES"... Once "AGAIN" is "SHOWN" by "MY COMPETENTLY" articulated written "FORUM" dated 9-14 and 9-16-2020 "FOUND" at [ATT.#4] "ENDING to NO AVAIL"... "AN"... Of course "BOTH" are "STILL" in "WILLFUL" state obligatory "VIOLATION" of

"MY BASIC" court "ACCESS" an "MINIMUM" requirements, the constitutional "GURANTEED" provisions "ENABLED" through "BOUNDS" v. Smith 430 U.S. 817, ... also followed by ongoing indisputable "SUSTAIN" of "ACTUAL INJURY" set forth by Lewis v. Casey 518 U.S. 343 "SUPREME" precedent... "SO"... For "MORE" verifiable constitutional "PROOF" of "TACTICAL" [D.O.C.] pre-organize "RETALITORY" transfered "Two FOLD" maneuver in "VIOLATION" of undisputable "CRIMINAL" 18 U.S.C. § 371 corroboration see [APPENDIX C, L]... in above "CAPTION" case !!!

5. As "MATTER OF LAW" this "SUPREME" Courts "DENIAL" of "CERTIORARI" to "REVIEW" other dispositions of "FEDERAL" claims "CLEARLY" has "NO LEGAL" precedential "EFFECT" on "MERITS", an when subsequently "RAISED" the "SUPREME" Court "HAS" frequently "GRANTED" appropriate "RELIEF" on "FEDERAL CLAIMS" unsuccessfully "AS IS HERE" raised in earlier "CERTIORARI" petitions, see In Re McDonald 489 U.S. 180, 187-88... "CITING" Chessman v. Teets 354 U.S. 156... (quoting) [It is "RARE" but it does "HAPPEN" on "OCCASION" that "WE GRANT" review and even "DECIDE" in "FAVOR" of "HABEAS" litigant "WHO" previously "HAD" presented "MULTIPLE" unsuccessful "PETITIONS" on the "SAME ISSUES"] !!!

"MY" "ALTERNATIVE"
MEMORANDUM FOR "SUPREME" COURT ACTION

6. Although "HABEAS" corpus "APPEALS" follow the "USUAL" civil appellate procedures in "MOST" respects, "HABEAS" appellate "PRACTICE" is "UNIQUE" in "ONE IMPORTANT" respect. In "CONTRAST" to the unrestricted availability of "APPEALS" in "OTHER" civil litigation, there is "NO APPEAL" as of "RIGHT" for "PRISONERS" in "HABEAS" corpus... "YES"... Instead, prisoner "MUST" obtain "LEAVE" to "APPEAL" by as "yes" "IN THIS CASE" making a substantial "SHOWING" of the "DENIAL" of constitutional "RIGHT" set forth by 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2), also see Barefoot v. Estelle at 463 U.S. 880, 893... "BUT"... Obviously, "NO COA" ever "PROPERLY" issued in "ANY"

of "MY SEVERAL" instances even though "MY REQUIRED SHOWING" to satisfy 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) is straightforward and "HAS BEEN MET" !!!

7. "MORE"... "SO"... The "SUPREME" Court "HAS" addressed applicability of section 2253(c) "AGAIN" as "IN THIS CASE" to "NON" constitutional issues. See Slack v. McDaniel 529 U.S. 473... In Slack v. McDaniel, supra, THIS COURT HELD that when the district court "DENIES" a "HABEAS" petition equivalent "MY DISMISSALS" on procedural "GROUND"s without "REACHING" underlying constitutional "CLAIMS" a "C.O.A." should "ISSUE", if prisoner "SHOWS" at "LEAST ONE" jurist of "REASON" would "FIND" it debatable whether "MY THREE" petitions "STATE" a "VALID CLAIM" of "DENIAL" of constitutional "RIGHT"... "AN"... That jurists of "REASON" would "FIND" it debatable whether district court was correct in its procedural "RULINGS" !!!

8. "SECONDARY"... Is Hohn v. United States 524 U.S. 236... Where "THIS COURT" stated definitively that "C.O.A." also "MAY" be "ISSUED" by "PANEL" of appellate "JUDGES", as was "AGAIN" never "PROPERLY" extended to "ANY" of "MY SEVERAL" instances in above "ENTITLED" matter "FOUND" throughout [APPENDIX B, C, F, I, J, K, P, R]... Also in "HOHN" this "SUPREME" Court "RESOLVED" whether "DENIALS" of "C.O.A.s" are appealable via "CERTIORARI" to the U.S. "SUPREME" Court... "YUP"... Overruling its "PRIOR" decision in "HOUSE v. MAYO" 324 U.S. 42... the Court "HELD IT HAS" jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1) to "REVIEW" prior "DENIALS" of an application for "C.O.A.s" by circuit "JUDGE" or "PANEL", but "NOT" in "YOUNG v. Chadelaine" as "SHOWN" at No. 18-7321 in "YET" another discriminatory "TACTICAL" deliberate "BY PASS" maneuver !!!

9. Anyway, "REACHING" its conclusion in "HOHN" the court construed AEDPA broadly, "REJECTING" a literal interpretation that "WOULD HAVE" deprived the "COURT" of jurisdiction over "DENIALS" of "C.O.A.s" and thereby "DENYING"

habeas corpus petitioners at "LEAST" one (1) "FULL THREE (3) "COURT ROUND" of "FEDERAL" post-conviction "REVIEW"... "YOUR SPECIAL SECRET"... With "MY IMPORTANT NOTE"... Eschewing "THAT" literal interpretation "COURT" undertook a "LENGTHY" analysis of "RELEVANT" precedents and jurisprudential considerations, which "LED" it to "PRESERVE" its pre-AEDPA practice of "GRANTING" writs of "CERTIORARI" to "REVIEW" those "DENIALS" of certificate applications "WITHOUT" requiring "PETITIONER" to "MOVE" for "LEAVE" to "FILE" for "AS" here "MY" extraordinary "RULE 20" writ... "YA"... Without "REQUIRING" extraordinary "SHOWING" or "EXHIBITING" any "DOUBTS" about jurisdiction "TO DO SO" !!!

10. "Now"... Seemingly, "SLACK/HOHN" have extended "VERSIONS" of Martinez v. Villareal 523 U.S. 637... the earlier "HABEAS" petition "DISMISSED" without "RULING" on "MERITS" as "IS THIS CASE" on "GROUND" the "CLAIMS" were "PREMATURE"... "AN"... In "MY CASE" of unacceptable "FACT" in "REALITY" is a "RULE OF EVIL" discriminatory "BY PASS" of constitutional "EXCEPTION" under 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1)(B)(ii), where "CLEAR" circumstances "EXIST" that "RENDER" every "STATE" exhaustive process "INEFFECTIVE" to "PROTECT" the "RIGHTS" of this U.S. Congress "ENABLED" Attorney General "PRIVATEER" applicant!!!

11. "MOST IMPORTANTLY"... If "TIME" is of essence "AS IS HERE" because of the "NEED" to "STAY" ongoing "MANIFEST INJUSTICE" in "THIS CASE" or "PRIOR DELAYS" were "NOT" attributable to "PETITIONER" this "SUPREME" Court is "FREE" to "ACT" and "OBLIGATED" under "PREISER", infra, "TO DO SO"... see Preiser v. Rodriguez at 411 U.S. 475, 495 as "HABEAS" designed for "SWIFT", "FLEXIBLE", and "summary determination" of "PRISONERS CLAIMS" !!!

12. JUST BRIEFLY"... Whereby "MY MAXIMIZING" the "GROUNDS" section 2251 of the Judicial Code expressly "AUTHORIZES" a "JUSTICE" or "JUDGE" of the United States before "WHOM" a "HABEAS", or "AS IS HERE" extraordinary "RULE 20" proceeding, "PENDING", to "STAY" any proceeding against a "PERSON" detained... by

by or under "AUTHORITY" of "ANY STATE" for "ANY MATTER" involved in "THAT" proceeding "INCLUDING" pending "APPEAL"... "AN"... After the "GRANTING" of "SUCH" a "STAY" any "SUCH" proceeding in "ANY STATE COURT" or "BY" or "UNDER ANY STATE" shall be "VOID" pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 2251 "ACT"!!! see "GENERALLY" "McFarland v. Scott" 512 U.S. 849, 857-58!!!

13. Incorporated likewise "END" "RESULT" by pre-organize discriminatory "TACTICAL" deliberate "BY PASS" with "MORE" extended "EVIL OF DELAY" in "LIES" an "DECEIT" enabling "EMERGENCY" suspension of 19-8284 "DENIAL"... "YES"... That maneuver "INVOKING" applicable deferred "REVIEW" has "CLEAR" controlling "EFFECTS" as "SHOWN" throughout "ENTIRE" Courts "RECORD", where "BOTH" case "DISMISSALS" in 19-8284 obviously "LACK" any arguable "BASIS" as "MATTER OF LAW" and "FACT IN REALITY"!!!

Wherefore with "ALL" stated "RESPONDENTS" position in "EXERISE" of "FIFTH" amendment against "SELF" incrimination... Under "MY COMPETENT" articulated compliant "SHOWING" petitioner explicitly request "MY EMERGENCY RULE 16.3" safe gate "TWO SUSPENSIONS" of "MANDAMUS" and Prohibition "DENIALS"... "AN"... With "NO" yes, "NO FURTHER" in "RULE OF EVIL" deliberate "BY PASS" doctrine expedite "ALL" fore-mention 19-8436 "EMERGENCY" applications to this "HONORABLE" Court U.S. "SUPREME CHIEF" Justice "JOHN G. ROBERTS"... "YES"... "RIGHTS NOW"... Then immediate "GRANT" of this "RULE 44" Petition for "REHEARING" and "REACTIVATE" controlling "EFFECT" of that "DEFERRED FINALITY" with "EVIL OF DELAY" disguised 19-8284 petition, as "ALL" are "MY ENTITLEMENTS" under "EQUAL" protection, "DUE" process "CLAUSES" of "OUR" U.S. Constitution in the "INTEREST" of "JUSTICE" for "ALL" the "PEOPLE" in the "UNITED STATES" of "AMERICA"!!!

The "SOLE FORES" of "TOP SECRET SPECIAL" intelligence
eliminating "ALL" forums of malicious "LAW"!!!
In "TIME" of "PEACE"... "MY express VESSEL"!!!
by "MIA" "Factual Innocence"!!!
A.G. Michael A. Young "PRIVATEER"!!!

"MY" Declaration Under 18 U.S.C. § 1621 / 28 U.S.C. § 1746

I, Michael A. Young declare in "TRUTH" affidavit process, certified under penalty of "PERJURY" that the information in this petition is "TRUE" and "ACCURATE" to the "BEST" of "MY" knowledgeable intelligence and "CAN ONLY" be "REBUTED" by "SAME" in "RESPONSE" thereto. The undersigned further declares under U.S. Congress encouraged "SERVICE" as "ENABLED" Attorney General "PRIVATEER" that "HE" is "ENTITLED" petitioner is this "ACTION". Filed under "SUPREME" Court "RULE 29.2" procedure for the benefit of "TIMELY" filing "HIS" foregoing petition was mailed first-class postage prepaid on October 28th 2020 placed in the institutions "LEGAL" mail system!!!

Executed at Carl Robinson CI, Enfield CT on October 28th 2020...

by MM/ "Factual Innocence"!!!
A.G. Michael A. Young "PRIVATEER"!!!

FURTHER CERTIFICATION OF "IN PROPER PERSON"
DECLARATION UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 1621 / 28 U.S.C. § 1746

I, Michael A. Young certify and declare under penalty of "PERJURY" that this foregoing petition in compliance with U.S. "SUPREME" Court "RULE 44" is "RESTRICTED" to "GROUND"s specified under U.S. "SUPREME" Court "RULE 44.2" and "IS" presented in "GOOD FAITH" and "NOT" for "ANY" further "RULE OF EVIL" in "DELAY" of U.S. "SUPREME" Court "FAIR" business "PRACTICES"!!!

Executed at Carl Robinson CI, Enfield CT, on October 28th 2020m

by MM/ "Factual Innocence"!!!
A.G. Michael A. Young "PRIVATEER"!!!

No. 19-8436

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In Re

Michael A. Young — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

Ned Lamont Et. Al. — RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Michael A. Young do "SWEAR" or "DECLARE" on October 28th 2020 as "REQUIRED" by "SUPREME" Court "RULE 29" I have "SERVED" the enclosed: "Motion for 'LEAVE' to proceed 'IN FORMA PAUPERIS' and Petition for 'REHEARING' and 'EMERGENCY' Suspension of 'MANDAMUS' and Prohibition 'DENIAL' on the (1) Connecticut Attorney General, William Tong, 55 Elm Street, Hartford CT. 06106... 'AN'... (2) The U.S. Solicitor General, Room 5616, Department of 'JUSTICE', 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington D.C. 20530-0001...

I declare under penalty of "PERTURJURY" the foregoing is "TRUE" and "CORRECT"!!!
Executed on October 28th 2020...

by "MAY" Factual Innocence!!!
A.G. Michael A. Young 'PRIVATEER'!!!

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**