1300 I STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

Telephone: (916) 210-6269 E-Mail: Sam.Siegel@doj.ca.gov

January 22, 2020

Via Electronic Filing System and Commercial Courier

Hon. Scott S. Harris, Clerk Supreme Court of the United States One First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20543

RE: California, et al. v. Texas, et al., No. 19-840

Dear Mr. Harris:

The state petitioners write in response to the request by the state and individual respondents for an extension of time in which to file their responses to the petition. Petitioners would not normally object to an extension request of this nature. Under the circumstances here, however, petitioners respectfully oppose any extension request. Petitioners recognize that the Court denied the motion to expedite consideration of the petition. After full certiorari-stage briefing, however, the Court may decide that it is appropriate to grant review and decide the case this Term. *See* Pet. 16-19. While denying the extension request would preserve that option, granting the request would foreclose it.

Sincerely,

SAMUEL P. SIEGEL Deputy Solicitor General

For XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General January 22, 2020 Page 2

cc: Douglas N. Letter General Counsel

U.S. House of Representatives

Noel J. Francisco Solicitor General United States Department of Justice

Kyle D. Hawkins Solicitor General Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

Robert Henneke Texas Public Policy Foundation Center for the American Future