

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OUTGOING LEGAL MAIL  
PROVIDED TO TAYLOR C.I. FOR  
MAILING ON

\*in cc:

Vincent RAGIBIR

3-24-2020, ES n

2.16.20

PLAINTIFF, PETITIONER, DEFENDANT  
DATE (MAILROOM-MAIN UNIT) OFFICER INT.

SECRETARY DOJ  
FLORIDA ATTORNEY GENERAL  
US ATTORNEY GENERAL  
USCA1 JUDICIARY + CLERKS,  
USDC JUDICIARY + CLERKS,  
ET AL  
DEFENDANTS, RESPONDENTS, PETITIONERS

19-8351

RECEIVED  
MAR 06 2020  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION & MANDAMUS  
\* W. Demand for July 2019 + 2020

ORIGINAL

OUTGOING LEGAL MAIL  
PROVIDED TO TAYLOR C.I. FOR  
MAILING ON

2-21-2020 n  
DATE (MAILROOM-MAIN UNIT) OFFICER INT.

FILED  
FEB 21 2020  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Vincent RAGIBIR  
493526

TAYLOR CE  
8501 HAMPTON SPRINGS RD  
PERRY, FLORIDA 32348

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. COVER PAGE
2. TABLE OF CONTENTS
3. JURISDICTIONAL ARGUMENT PER RULE 20.1
4. ARGUMENT & CONCLUSION
5. CERTIFICATE
6. APPENDIX

## JURISDICTIONAL ARGUMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 20.1

### QUESTIONS

"MAY A FEDERAL COURT SIDESTEP THE JUDICIAL OBLIGATION TO EXERCISE JURISDICTION, RENDERING PRIZE IMPOSSIBLE?"

\*  
"... That Courts lack jurisdiction over one matter, does NOT effect their jurisdiction over another!"

"What a Federal Court MAY NOT DO is to WRAP A MERITS DECISION IN JURISDICTIONAL GARB, SO THAT THE U.S. SUPREME COURT CANNOT ADDRESS A POSSIBLE DIVISION BETWEEN THAT COURT AND EVERY OTHER."

MATA V. LYNCH ATTORNEY GENERAL SPE. VS. — 135 S. CT. —, 192 LEd 2d 225, 2015 US LEXIS 3919 2015 BY LAGANED. JOINED BY ROBERTS, CH. J., AND SCALIA, KENNEDY, GINSBURG, BREYER, ALITO, AND SOTO MAYOR D. L. CONTINUED. ---

"When a Federal Court HAS JURISDICTION, IT ALSO HAS VIRTUALLY UNFLAGGING OBLIGATION TO EXERCISE THAT AUTHORITY!"

"True enough that courts sometimes construe one kind of filing as another. If a litigant misbrands a motion, but could get relief under a different label, a court will often make the requisite change. But that established practice DOES NOT ENTAIL SIMPLY STAMPING THE

JUDICIAL OBLIGATION TO EXERCISE JURISDICTION, AND RESULTS IN IDENTIFYING A ROUTE TO RELIEF \* NOT IN RENDERING RELIEF

IMPOSSIBLE. THAT MAKES ALL THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A COURT'S GENEROUSLY READING PLEADINGS AND A COURT'S CONSERVING AWAY ADJUDICATIVE AUTHORITY."

• PURSUANT TO "THE CONSPIRACY TO PREDETERMINE THE OUTCOME OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS, AS DEMONSTRATED IN ALL CASES, IN AND ARISING FROM 2016 UP 1833, 6:18-CV-1016-ORC-3787, 2016 UP 5331, 6:18-CV-1017-ORC-181251 CRH, AB INITIO, PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF ARREST WARRANTS,

THE ENTITIES LABELED AS COURTS, & JUDGES COMMENCED & CONTINUE TO PROCEED IN COMPLETE CONTRADICTION TO THIS LAW & RATIONALE, INTENTIONALLY DISSALLOWING "ROUTES TO RELIEF."

ARGUMENT, CONCLUSION, CERTIFICATE

THIS CASE COMES BEFORE THE COURT, UPON THE ONGOING, CONDUCT OF THE CAPTIONED DEFENDANTS, PURSUANT TO "THE CONSPIRACY TO PER-

DETERMINE THE OUTCOME OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS" (SEE ASHELMAN V. POPE, WHERE UPON THERE WAS CONSIDERATION OF IMPROPRIETY A JUDGE MUST BE RECUSED ON HIS OWN MOTION "TO AVOID EVEN THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY" - LITTLEBERG, UPON THE COMMISSION OF MULTITUDEOUS, ONGOING CONTRACT FRAUD, BREACH, AND FRAUDULENT USE OF THE PETITIONERS' IDENTITY VIA INSERTION INTO UNWANTED AND UNINTENDED CONTRACT LITIGATION, AS DEMONSTRATED IN ALL CASES IN AND ARISING FROM 2014 OF 1833, 6:18-CV-1016 ORL-3-PAGE, 2016 OF 5231, 6:18-CV-1017 ORL-18-KRS/LEH, INTENTIONALLY MIS-

CONSTRUCTING, INTENTIONALLY MISFILING, THIS "IMPERMISSABLE RECHAR-

ACTERIZING" EVERY PLEADING, AS INITIO, PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF ARREST WARRANTS, DENYING THE PROCESS OF LAW, MEANINGFUL ACCESS TO THE COURTS, SEE CASTRO V. UNITED STATES 540 US 375, 124 S.477 86, 157 LHA 29, 718 2003, DOWLING

"A FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT INTENDING TO RECHARACTERIZE PRO SE LITIGANT'S MOTION..." (Held) REQUIRED TO

- (1) NOTIFY LITIGANT OF INTENDED RECHARACTERIZATION AND CONSEQUENCES AND
- (2) PROVIDE AN OPPORTUNITY TO WITHDRAW OR AMEND MOTION

"THE PROCESS REQUIRES NOTICE AND OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD BEFORE SUBSTANTIAL RIGHTS ARE AFFECTED" (IN RE ALBA PRESS INC. 55 B.R. 127 OCT 30 1985 USDC 2 1485) ADDITIONALLY

ABROGATING, ABRIDGING, AMENDING, ALTERING, ENLARGING, MODIFYING, SUBSTANTIVE RIGHTS, RULES OF PROCEDURE, LEGISLATION AS DEMONSTRATED IN ALL PLEADINGS, ORDERS, RECORDS IN ALL CASES IN AND ARISING FROM 2014 OF 1833, 6:18-CV-1016 ORL-3-PAGE, 2016 OF 5231, 6:18-CV-1017 ORL-18-KRS/LEH, EXERCISING OVERSIGHT, MISAPPROPRIATION, ABUSE OF DISCRETION, USURPATION OF POWER, TO THE EXTENT OF ORGANIZED FRAUD & RACKETEERING, WHERE THE CONDUCT PROCURED UNLAWFUL ACTUAL & (OR ATTEMPTED) INSOLVENCY, COLLECTION OF COSTS, FINES, FORFEITURE, ALLEGED RESTITUTION AS TO EVERY PLEADING, ORDER, DECISION, AS INITIO, PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF ARREST WARRANTS IN ALL CASES IN AND ARISING FROM 2014 OF 1833, 6:18-CV-1016 ORL-3-PAGE, 2016 OF 5231, 6:18-CV-1017 ORL-18-KRS/LEH, THIS

"SUSPENDING THE WRIT" IN VIOLATION OF U.S. CONSTITUTION ARTICLE I § 9, CL 2, "THE PRIVILEGE OF THE WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS SHALL NOT BE SUSPENDED"

UNLESS WITHIN IN CASES OF REBELLION OR INVASION THE PUBLIC SAFETY MAY REQUIRE IT" AND \*FAILING TO LIBERALLY CONSTRUCT, SEE MEARS V. ALABAMA

"THE SUSPENSION CLAUSE US CONST. ART 1 § 9, CL 2 PROTECTS THE RIGHTS OF THE DETAINED BY A MEANS CONSISTENT WITH THE ESSENTIAL DESIGN OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. IT ENSURES THAT EXCEPT DURING PERIODS OF FORMAL SUSPENSION THE JUDICIARY WILL HAVE A TIME TESTED DEVICE "THE WRIT" TO MAINTAIN THE DELICATE BALANCE OF GOVERNANCE THAT IS NEARLY THE SWIFTEST SAFEGUARD OF LIBERTY. THE CLAUSE PROTECTS THE RIGHTS OF THE DETAINED BY AFFIRMING THE DUTY AND AUTHORITY OF THE JUDICIARY TO CALL THE JAILER TO ACCOUNT" - BOUMEDRANE V. BUSH 563 US 783 US SUPREME COURT 12-5, 2007-2019 UPDATE

SUBSEQUENT TO THE IMPERMISSIBLE RECHARACTERIZATION, THE COURTS ONLY CONSTRUCT THE STATUTE. "PELHAM V. PINKUS, DID NO MORE THAN CONSTRUCT THE STATUTE... THE CASE HAS NO CONSTITUTIONAL OVERTONES..." - LUNCH V. BROWN 334 SUPP 689 USCA 2 8-16-71 SEE ALSO MOORE V. DC 886 F.2D 335 NOV 21 1988 USCA DC 1, HAYMAN V. US 187 F.2D 456 USCA 9 OCT 22 1950, AS TO EVERY ORDER CAUSING SUSPENSION

OVER 103 PETITIONS HAVE BEEN FILED PURSUANT TO THE  
"CONSPIRACY TO PREDETERMINE THE OUTCOME OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS",  
HAVE BEEN "IMPERMISSABLY RECHARACTERIZED", "UN-LIBERALLY  
CONSTRUCTED", AND "IMPERMISSABLY TRANSFERRED",  
"SUSPENDING THE WRIT."

"THE PRIVILEGE OF THE WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS SHALL NOT  
BE SUSPENDED UNLESS WHEN IN CASES OF REBELLION OR INVASION  
THE PUBLIC SAFETY MAY REQUIRE IT, (US CONST. ART 1 § 9 CL 2);

"28 U.S.C. § 2251 (a) THE POWER TO GRANT WRIT" "WRITS OF HABEAS  
CORPUS MAY BE GRANTED BY THE SUPREME COURT ANY JUSTICE THEREOF, THE  
DISTRICT COURTS, AND ANY CIRCUIT JUDGE WITHIN THEIR RESPECTIVE JURISDICTIONS,"  
"(b) THE SUPREME COURT OR ANY JUDGE THEREOF AND ANY CIRCUIT  
JUDGE MAY DENY TO ENTERTAIN AN APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS  
CORPUS AND MAY TRANSFER THE APPLICATION FOR HEARING AND DETERMINATION  
TO THE DISTRICT COURT HAVING JURISDICTION TO ENTERTAIN IT."

"SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS RIGHTS ARE CREATED ONLY BY  
THE CONSTITUTION" (REGENTS OF UNIV. OF MICH. V. Ewing, 474 U.S. 114,  
229, 106 S. CT. 577, 575, 88 LED 2D 583 1985).

THE ONLY REASON ANY JUDGE MAY REFUSE <sup>THUS,</sup> ~~SUSPEND~~ AN  
APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS IS "IN CASES OF REBELLION  
OR INVASION THE PUBLIC SAFETY MAY REQUIRE IT"

THIS IS NO SUCH CASE.

THE WRIT CANNOT BE SUSPENDED FOR A RULE/ORDER,  
REQUIRING USE OF A "DIFFERENT FORM", OR TRANSFER TO  
A DISTRICT COURT (I.E. FED. R. APP. 22).

FED. R. APP. 22 IS AN ABRIGATION OF LEGISLATION.

THE CONDUCT PURSUANT TO "THE CONSPIRACY TO PREDETERMINE  
THE OUTCOME OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS", SUSPENDING THE  
WRIT, MUST BE PROHIBITED.

I VINDY RACHUBA, SWEAR UNDER PENALTIES OF PERJURY, THAT THE FORE GOING  
IS TRUE, CORRECT, AND NOT MEANT TO MISLEAD. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT A  
TRUE, CORRECT, COPY HAS BEEN FORWARDED 2.10.70

2.10.70 UC S.C. ONE 1ST ST. N.E. WASHINGTON DC 20543-0001  
FLATT GEN. THE CAPITOL TALLAHASSEE FL 32399  
USCA1 JUDICIARY + CLERKS 10 ERMING ST NW ATLANTA GEORGIA 30303  
US ATT GEN. 950 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW WASHINGTON DC 20530  
USMC JUDICIARY + CLERKS 401 W. CENTRAL BLVD 1200  
ORLANDO FL 32801  
100 N. DALLAS ST PENSACOLA FL  
32502

John VINDY RACHUBA TAYLOR LE BSI HAMPTON SPRINGS FL  
PRERAY FL 32348