

In The Supreme Court of The United States

Mitch Taebel

v.
Douglas Ducey,
Paul Penzance


19-8317

Petition For Rule 44 Rehearing

This Court said, one, two, three times, this case was "closed to trial", in Majority And Both Dissenting Opinions, see U.S. v. Russell, 411 U.S. 423 (1973) w/ Dissents.

The Arrest is barred from use in any state court by the 45 Minute Helicopter Video Footage Alone, see YouTube. The Unreasonable Seizure is barred by the Fourth Amendment, see Mapp v. Ohio, 367 U.S. 643 (1961). Ten of Ten Owners of Appellants Vehicle Make Over \$100,000 per Year.

Appellant Was Driving A
1 2014 Red Jeep Grand



Cherokee 4x4 Limited Ed.,
Most of the Owners
Are Millionaires. The
Attempted PIET Was
Aggravated Assault by
Police, See (Golden v.
State, 1 S.C. 292 (1870);
Bravetti v. State, 4 Tex. App.
175 (1878) two cases where
officers were convicted of
Assault For "Unnecessary"
Excessive Force And the
Convictions were upheld by
The Appellate Courts, See
Scott v. Harris, 550 U.S.
372 (2007) See Also Opinion
of Stevens quoting the GA
Association of Chiefs
of Police, Inc. that
require officers to
"Discontinue" Pursuits in
the interest of Public
Safety without Probable
Cause to Believe that
A Suspect Poses An immediate
threat to the lives innocent
Persons, See Tennessee v.
Garner, 471 U.S. 1 (1985).

This Appellant Was Assaulted
And Kidnapped on TV
And has entitlements under
The Crime Victims Rights
Act, The Victim And Witness
Protection Act And The
Whistle Blower Protection
Act. The Arizona Dep.
of Public Safety Who
Unlawfully Arrested And
Claimed Responsibility
For the kidnapping of
this Appellant makes
Twenty False Arrests
Per Day. See United
States v. Woodruff of America
v. Mills 705 F. Supp.
1426 (1988). The
Congressional Crime Victims
Rights Act 18 USC §
3771 has explicit
protections for those
who file Federal lawsuits
Against Unconstitutional
Retaliation by State
employees. Most of the
officers in Arizona are
indirectly on public welfare

And Unable to Support themselves.
This Appellant is A College
Graduate And Statistically
Earns over twice as much
per year as those without,
see World Almanac (2019).
Appellant would start as
at least a Lieutenant
if this Appellant worked
in Law Enforcement. Four
of the seven original false
arrest charges have
already been dismissed
for lack of probable
cause, see Public Docket
History on The Arizona
Courts Website (Criminal
Court Case Information -
Case History) CR2018-104389-
001. The stacking of false
arrest charges caused un-
constitutional prejudice to
the accused and resulted
in excessive bail which
the state has unconstitutionally
refused to reduce. The
National Bail Reform Act
18 USC § 3142(c)(2) clearly

states "The judicial officer
May Not Impose a Financial
Condition that Results
in the Pretrial Detention
of the person," See *US v*
Salerno, 481 U.S. 739 (1987);
Copez-Valenzuela v. Aspin,
770 F.3d 772 (9th Cir. 2014);
Appellant is clearly Detained
in Violation of "Due Process"
And in Violation of "Equal
protections" of the
Fifth and Fourteenth
Amendments of the U.S.
Constitution, See Also A.R.S.
13-3967 And ARIZ. R. Crim.
Pr. Rule 7.2 Which Both State
this Defendant should have
been released on own recog-
nizance. This False Arrest
And Kidnapping With Subsequent
False Imprisonment Are
Obvious Retaliation For
Filing \$5 Billion in
Federal Lawsuits And then
Sending them to ALL contacts
Attached in two EMAILS.
5 ALL of the Lawsuits contain

A DVD DISC Exhibit With
Appellants Acting And
Tossing Reels, One of
the Lawsuits, Was Filed
in The Southern District
of Indiana US District
Court the Very Morning
of this False Arrest,
Jan. 24th 2018 Before
it Was transferred
upon good cause to The
Central District US District
of California See
Mitch Jacob v. Jerry Brown,
Kamala Harris 218 CV 00811-
PH-JDE. The lawsuits
Are Exonerating Evidence
And Proof of Harassment
by Law Enforcement, As
Described in Our Declaration
of Independence, See
"Sent Hither Swarms of
officers to Harass our
People" And Malicious
Prosecutions For "pretend
offences". This Appellant
Refused to Stop Because
1) Appellant Had Supreme Court

Law on Appellants Website About
Resisting Unlawful Arrest
Including quotes From John
Bad Elk v. U.S., 177 U.S.
529 (1900) And 2) Because
Juan Venegas, Law Firm
Reyes, Steven Manning,
Peter Limone, Louis Greco,
Henry Tameleo, Annette
Patzig, Abner Colima
And 3) Robert Hall
Who Were All either Framed,
Maliciously Prosecuted
Or Killed in Jail, see
Venegas v. Wagner, 704 F.2d
1194 (9th Cir. 1983); Manning v.
Miller, 355 F.3d 1028 (10th
Cir. 2004); Limone v. London,
372 F.3d 139 (1st Cir. 2000);
Patzig v. O'Neil, 577
F.2d 841 (3rd Cir. 1978);
Screws v. U.S., 325 U.S. 91
(1945). Edward Lawson
Was Also Unlawfully Arrested
Fifteen times in Los Angeles,
see Kolender v. Lawson, 461
U.S. 352 (1983) And Raymond
Hill Was Unlawfully Arrested

Five times in Houston, see
City of Houston, Tex. v.
Hill, 482 U.S. 451 (1987)
(FN. #4). This Appellant has
made several request in
person and in writing to
have the case transferred
to the US District Court
pursuant 28 USC 1443.
Appellant has now been
detained without due process
over 200% the maximum
USSC for "Involuntary
manslaughter" which is
"ten to fourteen months"
if convicted by lawful
due process / and public
jury trial, however, in
this case no one was
killed or even seriously
injured. All of Appellants
recent court dates have
been canceled last minute
and Appellant has not
been to court since
February, almost six months
ago. The absolute right
to resist an unlawful search

on Arrest was Affirmed in
The Waco, Tex. Case (1993)
See The Documentary Film
Waco: Madman or Messiah.
Where Eleven Defendants
were Acquitted on Self-Defense
After At least twenty
officers were shot. The
incident is a reflection
of Excessive Force and
what unchecked Law Enforcement
Solicitates. According to
My World Almanac (2008)
Over seventy Citizens
were killed / During the
51 Day Siege, the
Survivors were Acquitted.
In 2008 the Deployment
of A single spiked strip
Sent twenty people to
the hospital here in Arizona,
the vehicle was traveling
only "About 45 MPH", ten
people died, see "Pineda-
Doval (2008)". This Appellant
was traveling about 75 MPH
on Interstate Ten when
Arizona Law Deployed

Six Spike Strips on the
~~Fred~~ Way. This Appellant
is An Arizona two time
State Football Champion
Who Dated Both Queen
Prom Queens And Was
on Academic Honors.

Appellant is A College
Graduate who works
for \$2,500 per Day,
Ashe Plaza Talent
Agency NYC, which is
Almost \$1,000,000
per year and over
200% the salary
of the US President.
According to The World
Almanac less than
60% of Arizona students
graduate, At least
Nine of Ten inmates
Dropped out of High
School, Although it is
Absolutely Free And
Offered to Everyone.
At least two of three
inmates in Arizona Can Not
read or write A A

seventh grade level, see
Casey v. Lewis, (9th Cir. 1994).
Appellant graduated High
School in 2005 and
College in 2010. This
Appellant has since
been building a career
in Film and TV Productions
and won two Film Awards
in 2017. See Writers
Intracnet Movie Database
listing with four new
productions from 2017.
Appellant received
an Award from the
Los Angeles Film Awards
Spring of 2017 for
A Political Documentary
Titled Logic; Interviewing
The Inauguration protesters
for the basis of their
opinions and an Award
from the Sweet AS Film
Festival in Canada for
an outdoor creative film
about Fly Fishing Titled
Best of Fall; Fishing
Great Lakes' tributaries


For Steelhead And Salmon
Now Available For Sale
On Amazon And Amazon
Prime. This Appellant
Also Set A World Fly
Fishing IGTFA Record
in 1999. Appellant
Worked At LA Fitness
Universal City And Hollywood
When this Appellant First
Moved to California
in 2010, before that
Appellant Worked A
Government Job in Public
Service For the Residents
of Broward County, FL.
Appellant Also Worked
As A Club Promoter in
Miami And As A Host
At the Miami Heat
Games in Front of
30,000 People Before
Moving to Los Angeles.
Appellant Booked Seven
Commercial in the First
Season And More than
Most A List Actors, See
12 George Clooney, Jason

Stratham, Nicholas Cage,
Robert De Niro, Ronald
Reagan and Morgan Freeman,
see Brad Pitt's Photos
Commercial. My Commercial
Reel can be found on the
Disc Exhibit Filed With
Every Federal Lawsuit.
This Appellant owns a
Production Company, an
Outdoor Guide Service,
a Photography Business,
and has an Independent
Website, as the other companies
have, as an Independent
Contractor in Entertainment
and Marketing. Appellant
also has five Social
Media sites not including
Appellant's YouTube and
Video Channels. This
Appellant scored 100%
on the recent TO MM
Memory test and the
inmate legal knowledge
(ILK) exam, however
the state has still
refused to honor this

Appellants Demands to Proceed
PROSEC. This Appellant
Already Represented Himself
three times, twice in Los
Angeles And one in Manhattan
where this Appellant Had
charges/tickets Dismissed
every time. This Appellant
Was on Americas Court
TV when this Appellant First
Started Working in the
Industry. This Appellant
Also Spent All Day
in Audience on the TV
Shows of J. Alex Ferrer
And J. Joe Brown. The
Primary question here is
Whether Counsel Has Been
Defective And 2) Whether
that Defect Has Caused Any
Prejudice to the Accused,
See Strickland v. Washington,
466 U.S. 668 (1984). This
Appellant Originally Retained
A Private Defense
Attorney With A \$10,000 Deposit
however the Attorney Clearly
had A Conflict of Interests

As the Subsequent Appointed
Counsel has in the
State of Arizona. This
Appellant in the State of
AZ Did Not Receive the
Police Reports Until Almost
Four months later, while
this Appellant in other
States After retaining Private
Counsel received the
reports that very Day:
Daniel Perlman, CA, Paul
Takajian, CA, Tom Pennif,
NY And Andrew Parks, FL.
A Defendant Deserves
the Undivided Loyalty
From effective Assistance
of Counsel, See Wood v.
Georgia, 101 S.Ct. 1097
(1981); See Also Laflin v.
Cooper, 132 S.Ct. 1376
(2012).

Dated Aug 25th
of year 2020


Mitch Tabor
*T 430659
201 S. 4th Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85003

In the Supreme Court of the United States

Mitch Tachol


v.
Douglas Ducey 19-8317
Paul Penzone

Certificate of Service

I, Mitch Tachol, Certify in Affirmation that this Petition For Rehearing that Comports With the Maximum Word Limit of Rules 33 and 44 has been mailed to:

U.S. Supreme Court
1 First Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20543

Dated July 5th
of yr. 2020


Mitch Tachol
* 430 659
201 S. 4th Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85003