

ORIGINAL

No. 19-8307

FILED  
APR 06 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Jose N. Lopez — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

State of Connecticut — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

SUPERIOR COURT J.D. of Tolland, 20 Park St. Rockville, CT  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Jose N. Lopez #285389  
(Your Name)

Brooklyn C.I. 59 Hartford Rd.  
(Address)

Brooklyn, CT 06234  
(City, State, Zip Code)

(860) 779-4500.  
(Phone Number)

## QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1) The State Superior court At Rockville sent me A letter stating that thay have misplaced the or some of the valuable evidece that I have provided at the habeas trial hearing for my claim of innocence.

# 2

2) At the hearing of providing copys of the evidense lost, the States attorney together with the judge Honr., John B.Farley.refused to accept the copys, falsely claiming that I added information.

#

3) At the habeas trial I was able to proof beyond reasonable of the though.But the states Attorney with the judge Hon., John B. Farley.attempted to,or on misleading my person to not any longer claiming my innocence without any merit,then to avoiding on making a verdict by claiming that the evidence spoke for themself so Hon., John B.Farley .decided on dismissing the case.

I am asking the Supreme court of The United States, to allow me a Fair and Just Do Process,as it is reqmire by our Country constitution and civil livity allow us as citizen of our great country the great UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Due to these three(3).facts the question that is born is,,Was due process followed per the connecticut constitution? I believe the answer to be (NO"! and I 'm asking the court to assist in correcting the rights that have been violated.

### Question to the above three(3) Statements

1) Do to the Court losing the evidence .Afe this act enough grunds to ask for a case overturn'd or case diss?

2) Do to the fact that the Judge together with the State Attorney refused the copys.According to the constitution,.Why was the Court allow to ignore part of the Constitution Do Process and Violate it?

3) After proving my innocence beyond resonable of though,according to the Constitution.Why is the Judge together with the States Attorney allowd to refuse to make a proper verdict so that the Court wont compromise themself with the Entity that pays or sign's their paycheck,as an act of Contempt to Court?

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A The Affidavit of appeal from the supreme/Appellate court .,Affirmation to the appearance to an oral argument on date Feb.13,2018 10;00 a.m.

APPENDIX B: The Affidavit of the affifmation to the certification of the approva and fee waving of the appeal to or frome the supe-rior court sent to the Appellate Court.

APPENDIX C The Affidavit of affirmation to the approval of the Application for writ of Habeas Corpus, Fee waver and Appointment of Counsel.aproved by the supèrior court.

APPENDIX D: The Affidavit of the affirmation to the appoval of th the Appeal,fee waver and Appointment of Counsel tom the Appeal from the Habeas Superior Court in to the A State Appellate/suprem APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
-------	-------------

PEOPLE Vs. JONES.,No.42-CAL.2V-219-CRM.NO.5464  
people Vs.Jones 1964,which states that if there is no corpus  
delecti there is no case.  
people Vs.jones.,2963 states for every prosecution there must  
be an injured party.

### STATUTES AND RULES

S Sec 1,Article 2.,of the Connecticut State Constitution say's  
that the power is inherent in the people,which I am, also it  
say's that I have an undeniable and indefisable Right to alter  
my form of Government as I see expedent,so with such power Im  
giving you the authority to dismiss and overturn my original  
sentence and set me free.

### BILL OF RIGHTS AMENDMENTS IN THE AMERICAN CONSTITUTION

6th Amendment

&TH

7th Amendment

8th Amendment

### OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished. *Case has not been dated for hearing.*

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at Connecticut Law Journal / State of Connecticut vs. Jose N. Lopez (AC 38882); or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the Habea Corpus Superior Court court appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

## **JURISDICTION**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was Case No. (AC 38882) has not been judged, it has been heard. Case No. TSR-CV-12-4004401-S. has not been dated for hearing at the State of Connecticut Supreme Appellate Court as yet.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from state courts:

Bpt, CT, SC H.C. SUP COOR  
The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 06/09/2015. 1/26/2012  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix B/C.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.  
[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_. A

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## **CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

The verbatim of the Bill of rights Constitution Provision appears at the Second, third and Fourth Page of APPENDIX:D.

## **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

The brief to the Statement Of The Case is Attached to the Petition.

## **REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

I believe that the attached Statement Of The Case Brief on this Petition will fully give the Court Sufficient reasons of the Violation of the Constitution and Unjustices acted by the Connecticut justice System that is worldly known. Televised on the BBC World News On the CPTV Channel and broadcast at the BBC Radio Station \*\*88.5 FM. Together with the following pages attached to the Appendixes.

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Jose N. Lopez

Date: 5/31/2018