



Supreme Court

STATE OF ARIZONA

ROBERT BRUTINEL
Chief Justice

ARIZONA STATE COURTS BUILDING
1501 WEST WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 402
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007
TELEPHONE: (602) 452-3396

JANET JOHNSON
Clerk of the Court

January 21, 2020

RE: STATE OF ARIZONA v EDWARD PAUL MOSS
Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-19-0333-PR
Court of Appeals, Division One No. 1 CA-CR 19-0157 PRPC
Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR2004-023611-001

GREETINGS:

The following action was taken by the Supreme Court of the State of Arizona on January 21, 2020, in regard to the above-referenced cause:

ORDERED: Petition for Review = DENIED.

A panel composed of Vice Chief Justice Timmer, Justice Bolick, Justice Gould and Justice Lopez participated in the determination of this matter.

Janet Johnson, Clerk

TO:

Edward Paul Moss, ADOC 043775, Arizona State Prison, Florence -
Eyman Complex-SMU #1 Unit
Joseph T Maziarz
Andrea L Kever
Amy M Wood
ga

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

v.

EDWARD PAUL MOSS, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 19-0157 PRPC
FILED 9-17-2019

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County
No. CR2004-023611-001 DT
The Honorable John Christian Rea, Judge

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix
By Andrea L. Kever
Counsel for Respondent

Edward Paul Moss, Florence
Petitioner

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Jennifer B. Campbell, Judge Lawrence F. Winthrop, and
Judge Michael J. Brown delivered the decision of the Court.

STATE v. MOSS
Decision of the Court

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Petitioner Edward Paul Moss seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's second successive petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 We grant review but deny relief.