

No. 19-8165

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

DEC 12 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Tanya Winters — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Industrial Commission of Arizona
FNF Construction/Zurich Insurance Co RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Arizona Supreme Court
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Tanya Winters
(Your Name)

9236 W. Stage Line Rd.
(Address)

Payson AZ 85541
(City, State, Zip Code)

480-438-0990
(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

FEB 25 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Is the Petitioner due the out-of-pocket payments paid toward medical cost June of 1998 (injuries)?

Is the Petitioner due monthly benefits?

Is the Petitioner due benefits from date of injury (June 1, 1998)?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

[Faint, illegible handwritten text]

RELATED CASES

CV 19-00157 PR
1 CA 19-0010
ICA 98155-295758

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Order of Arizona Supreme Court November 14, 2019 CV19-00157 PR
APPENDIX B	Award of the Industrial Commission of Arizona April 7, 2000 A.D. Laina Taylor
APPENDIX C	Arizona Appeals Division One No signature denied
APPENDIX D	Industrial Commission of Arizona Bindings and Award Approving Stipulation Claim No. 98155295-
APPENDIX E	758 April 10, 2000
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

- Please See Constitutional and
Statutory Provisions -

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at Supreme Court of Arizona; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Industrial Commission of Arizona court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was November 14, 2019
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

George F. Smith, Jr. (1971-1972)
1. 1971-1972
D152120020(3)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Petitioner is diagnosed with a Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) due to injuries sustained while working while only given a Stipulated disability. Due to the given Stipulated disability the Petitioner is not receiving workers disability.

- It is believed if all regular safety was present this injury would not have occurred. Safety is a police car with lights on to slow traffic. The safety is not present due to starting early work without notice given.


REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Because of the well developed facts shown it is pleaded this Court makes a decision now rather than allowing this situation to percolate further in the lower Courts to make a decision.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 12-12-19