

No. 19-8156

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JOHN STANCU — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.
HYATT CORPORATION/
HYATT REGENCY DALLAS — RESPONDENT(S)

PETITION FOR REHEARING A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

JOHN STANCU

(Your Name)

P.O. Box 133171


(Address)

Dallas, Texas 75313-3171

(City, State, Zip Code)

(469) 567-3365

(Phone Number)



STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. Petitioner Stancu's petition for a writ of certiorari in the above entitled case was denied by this court in June 1, 2020.

2. The questions presented by Stancu in his petition were :

- (a). Did the district court and the 5th Circuit usurped the Seventh Amendment to the United States Constitution by wrongly denying Stancu's right to a jury trial ?
- (b). Did the district court and the 5th Circuit wrongly denied Stancu's due process rights by first, obstructing Stancu from doing discovery and sanctioning him for trying to do discovery, and second, denying Stancu's right to amend his petition ?
- (c). Did the district court and the 5th Circuit wrongly denied Stancu's rights as provided by the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age, including age-based hostile work environments and retaliations ?

3. Petitioner Stancu files this Petition for Rehearing of the above mentioned petition for a writ of certiorari because of substantial grounds not previously presented, and intervening circumstances of substantial effect.

4. The substantial grounds that were not presented in Stancu's petition for a writ of certiorari are the following:

- A. This is the second petition for a writ of certiorari (No. 17-6310, and 19-8156) filed by Stancu in the last 3 years involving similar issues: Constitutional Rights and Civil Rights. And not by coincidence, is the second petition to be denied even a simple review.

B. These repeated denials by the U.S. Supreme Court to address the blatant abuses of Stancu's Constitutional and Civil Rights, gave a green light to Stancu's former employer, the U.S. District Court in Dallas, and the 5th Circuit, to practically nullify the U.S. Constitution and the Civil Rights Acts in this part of the country.

In fact, Stancu's former employer, Hyatt Corporation/Hyatt Regency Dallas transformed this hotel into a modern day slave farm.

C. This disturbing injustice is reflected by statistics:

1). In the entire history of Dallas federal court there has never been one single jury trial involving a prose plaintiff with a civil rights matter. This corrupt court even overturned jury verdicts when the victim of civil rights abuses was lucky enough to have a lawyer and get his or her day in court; 2). Millions of Americans under the jurisdiction of the district court in Dallas and the 5th Circuit are not even bothering to pursue justice because everybody knows that the notion of justice in these courts is a joke; 3). This systemic usurpation of people's basic Constitutional and Civil Rights was made and is being made possible by the U.S. Supreme Court. Case in point is the denials of Stancu's two petitions for writ of certiorari, and the unprecedented civil unrest in America's streets,

a revolution that is not just about police brutality, but about the obvious and deeply entrenched systemic injustice in general.

- D. In its letter to Stancu (see attached Appendix A) the Supreme Court states that, quote: "The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied. Justice Breyer took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition."

This sort of arbitrary decision from the highest court in the land is reminiscent of the kangaroo courts from the communist dictatorships.

First, it keeps secret the reasons for the decision to deny review, because is impossible to concoct a believable opinion for such distortions of the U.S. Constitution.

Second, it keeps secret the names of the justices who denied to review the petition for writ of certiorari.

Third, the decision was made without Justice Breyer, who is one of the very few, fair and impartial justices in this court.

- E. Making the decision without Justice Breyer presents an intervening circumstance of a difference making effect.

For this reason alone, Stancu asks the court that his petition for a writ of certiorari be scheduled for rehearing by all Justices of this court.

F. This travesty of justice, if allowed to stand, will further erode the public trust in our judiciary, and undermine the stability and future of our democratic experiment.

CONCLUSION

For all the reasons stated above, the petition for rehearing Stancu's petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Submitted by:

John Stancu

JOHN STANCU

Date: June 16, 2020