

Weixing Vincent Wang  
71 Vanderland Ave, #1  
Eat Providence, RI 0914



Supreme Court of United States  
Office of the Clerk  
Washington, DC 20543-0001  
No. 19-8061

Rehearing

Dear Judge of Supreme Court of the United State:

This case is truly a case of Extraordinary Circumstances. There are numerous extraordinary things happened in this case. How can a NH District court Judge in this country United States of American violated the laws and conduct so many criminal things? Even the Judge conducted so many criminal things in United States, the Judge is never punished? Is USA a lawful country? Are Judges in USA above the laws? If yes, then this needs to be fixed in this country.

In NH State, the Judges are immune from being sued for the criminal things they did. Why? These Judges conducted criminal actions are above the laws and can never be sued? Is this also the rules in other states of USA that all Judges conducted criminal actions are immune being sued? That is violating to the US Constitution, and violating the "Rule of Law" in this country, which is the foundation of this democratic country. Whose jurisdiction it is to take care the crimes conducted by Judges, it is FBI or the USA Supreme court? When Petitioner reported the criminal actions conducted by Judge Sadler to NH State police and FBI, they said they cannot do anything on this kind criminal thing to Judge L Sadler, but said that Petitioner needs to appeal to Supreme



courts. If the USA Supreme court does not care about the crimes conducted, then which Government organization will care in this country? If no one care, it will be totally free in this country for the Judges conducting all kinds of crimes. Is this what the USA Supreme court want to have for this country, which has been the most powerful country in the world? This is not only tolerating and protecting those crimes, but also supporting and encouraging the Judges to conduct more crimes. How could this kind thing be allowed to happen in USA? (In Taiwan the mall place in the world, according to the TV news, numerous Judges had been arrested and prosecuted for the crimes conducted by the Judges. But in the United States, the most powerful country in the world, the Judge who conducted so many violations to the laws is not punished at all? And no one cares about this crime, even in the Supreme Court of the United States?)

In USA Supreme court, was it because there have been too many petitioned cases that the Supreme court Judges have no time to look at the details of those cases, then the Supreme court of the United States will never care about those crimes conducted by Judges? This case is the case among the 1%, and need to be dealt with. If the crimes in this case are protected and supported by the Supreme court of the United States, then the Judges in this country are encouraged to conduct more corruption and criminal actions, as they are protected and supported by the USA Supreme court, and never be punished. That is why there are so many Judges like Judge L Sadler doing those violations to the laws in the corrupted way and conducted the crimes. If no actions taken, it will spread further and the US Justice system will become a corrupted one. (Hopefully, now it has not yet). Then the USA will be no longer a lawful country.

There are tons of extraordinary things happened in this case, and made this case Extraordinary Circumstances. The Judge violated on purpose almost all the laws involved in this case. The followings are the facts and evidences one by one for about twenty times.

1. There are the evidences showing the NH District Court Judge L Sadler was doing frauds on court documents more than once by fabricating the court document afterward, which are the crimes. In the EXHIBIT E of the Appellees' MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL PURSUANT TO SUPERMAN COURT RULE 25 in the NH Supreme court, there is a signature of Judge L Sadler in one format; and in the EXHIBIT H of the same document there is another signature of Judge L Saddle in a completely different format, see Appendix A and B. But the two signatures were from the same Judge on the same day 6/8/2016, and actually the same afternoon (as the Judge was in the court for the whole morning). Which Judge will change his/her signature in the same afternoon? Why? The other court documents show Judge L Sadler used one of the two signatures before and after that day 6/8/2018 (see the attached G on 3/22/2016 and D on 12/5/16), which indicates 6/8/2018 was not the date he started to use a new signature; but why only on that day 6/8/2016 Judge L Sadler used two different signatures? This is the fraud in the US Justice system; no body care about this fraud? This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.
2. The Judge L Sadler's judgment shown in Appendix A was also a fake order made afterward. The true order Judge L Sadler made was shown in Appendix C, which the Petitioner received in middle of June 2016, but Petitioner never

received the order shown in Appendix A even now. Those two orders were conflicting in content to each other, the one shown in Appendix C was the real one received. Petitioner forwarded it with other documents to an attorney, when Petitioner was looking for attorney to represent me. At that time, Petitioner never knew there was the fabricated fake order shown in Appendix A. That attorney received the documents from Petitioner did not understand why the Judge scheduled the hearing to 6 months later for the trial.

3. Appendix D is the evidence to prove the Appendix C is the real court document, and Appendix A was made up afterward. There was really the schedule for the trial of this case on 12/12/2016. As it was conflicting to Petitioner business trip, Petitioner filed a Motion to continue this trial to a different date, and the Judge wrote something on Petitioner's motion, see Appendix D. This proves the Appendix C is the true document. The conflicting document Appendix A was made up afterword, and Petitioner never received it. The true court document Appendix C now is hidden by the court in the court documents. It should be in the location of June 2016, as the date was 6/10/2016; but it is not being hidden at end of the document of this case, in Dec. 2016. Because there is Appendix D in the document, which could not be removed, and it is the evidence for Appendix C, so they could not take away Appendix C, but hide it. This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.
4. After the hearing on 6/8/2016, the tenant's attorney and the Judge L Sadler had known that Petitioner did not have any attorney to represent me, and Petitioner did not fill the interrogatory (because Petitioner thought the fake filed case will be

dismissed and no need to fill the interrogatory). Hence, they made up this fake order Appendix A afterwards, which was never sent to Petitioner as it a made up afterward. Also, the tenant attorney immediately fabricated the new motion for final default judgment, changed the original demanding in this case the \$1600 security deposit back suddenly increased to \$25,596. Anyone can easily see how fraudulent it was. Petitioner filed objection to that fake motion for final judgment, but Judge L Sadler just 100% granted whatever that tenant's attorney demanded, and 100% deny whatever Petitioner presented. It is completely corrupted. Everyone can see how corrupted in NH State Justice system. This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.

Is it true that no anyone in USA cares about the frauds that Judge L Sadler did, even in the US Supreme court Judge?

5. Judge L Sadler violated the court procedure numerous times. When there was no Default judgment, the Judge go directly to the Final Default judgment, see Appendix E. The strange thing is in the list of Event and Order of The Court, there are only to page in the court document; no other pages are in the document. This is another fraud arranged on purpose to hide the truth. This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.

According to the Laws, there must be first the Default judgment, and it should be sent to Petitioner. Only after waiting for ten days for Petitioner to respond, then there can be the Final Default judgment as the next step. But Judge L Sadler violated the law and completely omitted the court procedure of Default judgment, and directly granted the Final Default judgment, to prevent Petitioner to have any

chance to respond to the Default judgment. This fact shows how Judge L Sadler used her power to violate the law, ruling the legal case in the corrupted way. This is actually the corrupted way to violate the law! This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances. Does the US Supreme court care about this?

Afterward the court sent Petitioner a very confusing Final Default judgment that did not say who won the case, and did not say Petitioner need to pay any money; but saying the tenant's attorney's Motion was denied, which meant the Petitioner won. It was designed in this way to fool Petitioner on purpose. This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances. All those things with the details will be published in a book to be known by the entire world.

6. Judge L Sadler continued to use her power to abuse the laws, as listed in the followings. Judge L Sadler allowed the no-written-Motion for Periodic Payments and granted the letter like a motion, which violated the laws Rule 1.21 Periodic Payments (1). This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.
7. No notice of hearing on payment was scheduled, served to Petitioner in-hand or by certified mail of the notice of hearing, which violated the laws Rule 1.21 Periodic Payments (2). This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.
8. There was never a hearing on this Periodic Payments, which violated the laws Rule 1.21 Periodic Payments (3). This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.
9. Without any hearing, the Petitioner was ordered behind him, in the court WRIT OF EXECUTION on 9/8/2016, to pay \$25,569. And the Petitioner's name was not even on the CC list of the writ. It was to prevent Petitioner to know this writ, which

violated the laws Rule 1.21 Periodic Payments and violating the Constitutions and Human Rights. This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.

10. The court order on 9/12/2016 for sending out the WRIT of \$25,936 was never sent to Petitioner, and Petitioner's name was not even on the CC list, see Appendix F. This definitely violates the Constitutions and Human rights. This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.

11. Judge L Sadler did all above-mentioned frauds on purpose to violate numerous laws, preventing the Petitioner to know the court documents, to know the truth, including the Responder's letter demanding the payment, WRIT and court order. They were also violating the Constitutional Rights of the Petitioner, to prevent Petitioner to know the truth occurred about him and made him unknowing the facts occulted and missed appeal deadline. All those things were designed and implanted in the corrupted way to violate the laws and Constitutions. Those are crimes conducted by Judge L Sadler in the organized way. This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.

Does US Supreme court care about this kind crime or tolerate those conducted crimes? This is the question will have an answer in this Rehearing. No matter this Reheating is granted or denied, it will be recorded in the history of USA Justice system, will be published and known by the entire world.

12. Even in the only court hearing of this case on 6/8/2016, the Judge violated the court Procedure and laws on purpose and prevented the scheduled pre-trial of case No. 431-2016-SC-00081 on 6/8/2016 to occur. Appendix G shows the scheduled court procedure for the pre-trial of case No. 431-2016-SC-00081 on

6/8/2016. When the Petitioner was starting to present the evidences to proceed the pre-trials for a few times, it was all the times stopped by Judge L Sadler, who just did not want the scheduled pre-trial to occur. The Petitioner has the court audio record for that hearing and can email it to the honorable US Supreme court if he is informed do so. By abusing the power, Judge L Sadler not only succeeded prevented the pre-trials to be proceeded on 6/8/2016, but also succeeded afterward making that case No. 431-2016-SC-00081 completely disappeared forever. This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.

How powerful Judge L Sadler has in violating the court Procedure and violating laws! For such violations and criminal actions, no one cares about this even in the US Supreme court? The whole world would be shocked when people know the truth.

13. The law requires that the court will provide the opportunity for parties to mediate on the day of the pre-trial hearing. But Judge L Sadler never allowed a mediation to occur for this case; and only not allowed for this case to mediate, because they plan to make a big amount of money from this case since the beginning. Judge L Sadler prevented the mediation successfully just like prevented the pre-trials to occur; as Judge L Sadler had such huge power to violate the laws. And Judge L Sadler violated the law Rule 4.4. Pre-trial hearing (c) and Judge L Sadler never care about violating laws and had violated laws numerous times. This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.
14. The law requires that the court make pre-trial orders for the scheduled pre-trial case, but Judge L Sadler on purpose never did this or allowed this. She violated



the law Rule 4.4. Pre-trial hearing (d). This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.

15. This case #431-2016CV-00010 was filed based on that Petitioner was a Landlord, but in fact Petitioner was not a "Landlord" as he only had one rental unit, so this case should be dismissed. However, Judge L Sadler did in fraudulent and corrupted way to make this illegal case continued, which violated the NH State Law 540-A:5 Definitions and 540-A:7 Return of Security Deposit. Then afterward Judge L Sadler did in the corrupted and criminal way by violating numerous laws and Constitutions as described above, and stole Petitioner's \$25,936 in Petitioner's bank account. They are like a gang-members, as said by an NH State attorney after the attorney reviewed this case, but she was scared to represent the Petitioner. In fact, Judge L Sadler and the tenant's attorney Christopher Hilson acted like a group of Gang Members; cooperated in such well way in those violations to the laws and Constitutions, cooperated so well to prevent the court documents to be sent to and to be known by Petitioner, and succeeded stolen Petitioner's \$25,936 in Petitioner's bank account. This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.

Does anyone in the US Supreme court care about the criminal actions conducted by Judge L Sadler, or these crimes are under the protections of USA Supreme court?

16. Besides the above-mentioned violations to so many laws and the Constitutions, Judge L Sadler also violated other laws. The top limit of dollar amount that NH State district court Judge can rule is \$25,000 maximum, but Judge L Sadler

made it on purpose to be over the limit, as \$25,569. Judge L Sadler just never care about any law, and had the power to violate all the laws. This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.

17. In the signed lease, there is nothing about the attorney fee; hence, according to the laws, each party pays their own attorney fees; the court cannot ask one party to pay the other party's attorney fees as it is not in the signed lease. But Judge L Sadler just violated the laws and ordered Petitioner to pay \$10,500 attorney fee to the Responder's attorney, see Appendix H. For such simple rental case of the return of only \$1,600 security deposit there was so much \$10,500 attorney fee? Is there any law in this country USA? Judge L Sadler did all those corrupted and criminal ways, violated all the laws involved, and stole with the tenant's attorney Petitioner's \$25,936 from Petitioner's bank account, for the case of \$1,600 security deposit return case. Is this not strange at all in the NH State Justice system and in the USA country Justice system? This is one of the Extraordinary Circumstances.

18. The above facts have shown that Judge L Sadler almost violate all the laws involved and never care about following laws, and never care about what the laws say. If the laws can be violated as Judge L Sadler did, violating all the laws involved, and no one care even in the Supreme Court of the United States, then why we need to set up the laws in this country the United States? Are the laws only used to fool people, and the Judge can violate any laws as they wanted and never get punished? Then is the United States being still a Lawful country? Why Judge L Sadler was so brave to violate all the laws involved and even violating

the Constitutions? Is Judge L Sadler above the laws? And Judge L Sadler is different from other people in this country USA? After about twenty times violated the laws and Constitutions, Judge L Sadler never get any punishment, then Judge L Sadler is truly above the laws. Then the United States is no longer a Lawful country! Then the "Rule of Law" set up for United States of American is no longer effective in this country United States? This is completely and absolutely the Extraordinary Circumstance.

19. Why Judge L Sadler was so brave to break all the laws involved, the court procedures, the Constitutions and did all those things in the corrupted and criminal ways? Why Judge L Sadler never worried about being punished for violating the laws? The answer for that has been known: Judge L Sadler was protected by the NH State Supreme court, actually NH Supreme court is supporting and encouraging Judge L Sadler to do more those corrupted and criminal things. That has been known clearly for sure by the facts; and the NH Supreme court protected Judge L Sadler's corrupted and criminal actions, as well as the Responder attorney's cheating and lying. The NH Supreme court threw away the Petitioner's MOTION TO SANCTION APPELLEES FOR THEIR CHEATINGS TO SUPREME COURT in the court documents of this case to protect Judge L Sadler's corrupted and criminal actions, as well as the Responder's cheating and lying. This is definitely the case of Extraordinary Circumstances.

What is unclear now is whether those corrupted and criminal things conducted by Judge L Sadler will be protected and supported also by the Supreme Court of the United State. This will be known soon.

If the USA Supreme court protect Judge L Sadler's criminal actions that are listed above, and let this case go away; it will tell all the Judges in this country that in USA, Judges can do any criminal and corrupted things; nobody cares in this country about Judges' crimes, and they would not be punished, because the USA Supreme is protecting them. Then there will be thousands and thousands more Judges dealing cases in the criminal way in this country, as they know they will be safe by violating the laws, procedures and Constitutions and conducting frauds and crimes; then, there will be a huge mass in the USA court justice system. However, if Judge L Sadler is punished by the law for what it should be, then it will set up as a warning to those Judges who want to conduct the corrupted and criminal things in this country, so they will know clearly that anyone, even a Judge, who conducted any corrupted and criminal things will be punished by the laws; then there will be less and less such crimes in future in the USA justice system.

Whatever the USA Supreme court will rule in this case, it will be recorded in the history, and it will be known to all the people in the world. This Rehearing will be posted online. All the details of this case will be written in a book in future to be known by the whole world.

Please review the above listed Judge L Sadler's criminal actions.

CERTIFICATE

This petition is restricted to the ground specified in this paragraph and that it is presented in good faith and not for delay.

Very respectfully

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Weixing V Wang', with a long, sweeping horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Weixing V Wang

July 21, 2020

## Appendix List

Appendix A,	One format signature of Judge L Sadler on 6/8/2016.
Appendix B,	Another format signature of Judge L Sadler on 6/8/2016.
Appendix C,	True court order for trial date.
Appendix D,	Court document as the evidence for Appendix C.
Appendix E,	List of Event & Order of The Court.
Appendix F,	Court order never sent to Defendant, his mane not even on CC list.
Appendix G,	Court order signed by Judge L Sadler on 3/22/2016.
Appendix H,	Court order with \$10,500 ordered for Attorney fee.

Appendix A,      One format signature of Judge L. Sadler on 6/8/2016.

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
JUDICIAL BRANCH  
NH CIRCUIT COURT

Rockingham County

10<sup>th</sup> Circuit – District Division - Derry

ROBERT MARCOTTE and STACY J. SIMONE  
v.  
WEIXANG V. WANG

Case No: 431-2016-CV-00010

ORDER

COPY

In January 2016 Robert and Stacy filed their Writ against Weixang Wang (also known as Vincent) in regard to a security deposit. Vincent received service of the Writ in February 2016 and he filed an appearance thereafter. In March 2016 Vincent filed a Motion To Dismiss alleging (1) the Writ was filed before the 30 day timeframe for return of the security deposit and (2) Stacy was not a tenant under the lease therefore she has no standing to be a named plaintiff. He also indicated he was filing a Small Claim in respect to the tenancy. At this hearing Vincent produced a copy of the lease<sup>1</sup> for the court's review. According to the lease Stacy is listed as an occupant of the premises but not a tenant per se. She did not sign the lease

Robert and Stacy filed an Objection through counsel. Counsel argued Stacy fits the definition of tenant under the statute (540-A:1) and thus is entitled to bring suit with Robert. Under that statute a tenant is defined as "[T]enant" means a person to whom a landlord rents or leases residential premises, including manufactured housing or a space in a manufactured housing park." Under this definition Stacy would not qualify as a tenant. Therefore Stacy is dismissed from being a named party. This does not preclude her from being a witness if her testimony would be relevant and material.

In respect to the argument by Vincent that he had additional time to deal with the security deposit based on when he believed the property was relinquished, the court finds this to be a factual issue and thus will be held in abeyance until disposition at the final hearing. Motion to dismiss denied on this issue.

The final hearing on the matter shall be scheduled as the docket allows: one half day is reserved. At least 10 days before the final hearing the parties shall exchange exhibits and witness lists.

The plaintiffs filed for a Conditional Default in April 2016 due to Vincent's failure to answer interrogatories and requests for production of documents. Vincent must answer those requests within 10 days of the date of the notice of this decision or he may face a final default.

So Ordered.

*[Signature]*

Date

*[Signature]*

Lucinda V. Sadler, Judge

<sup>1</sup> Exhibit A

AP A.



Appendix B,      Another format signature of Judge L Sadler on 6/8/2016.

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
JUDICIAL BRANCH  
NH CIRCUIT COURT**

Rockingham County

10<sup>th</sup> Circuit – District Division - Derry

**WEIXANG WANG AKA VINCENT  
v.  
ROBERT MARCOTTE AKA BOBBY**

**Case No: 431-2016-SC-00081**

**ORDER**

The parties appeared for a hearing on the Motion to Dismiss filed by Bobby against the claim brought by Vincent. Vincent filed his Small Claim complaint on February 24, 2016 claiming Bobby owed money from a prior landlord/tenant relationship. Included in the total sum claimed by Vincent is a deduction for the security deposit. Bobby filed a Motion to Dismiss through counsel alleging the claim is the same as is in dispute in the civil action filed by Bobby against Vincent.

The court disagrees therefore the Motion to Dismiss is denied. The court finds Vincent's claim is for an amount based on the tenancy which if reduced by the security deposit is the amount actually claimed. The issue of the security deposit is in dispute in both cases but the actions are not the same.

**The matter will be consolidated for a final hearing with the civil action (#431-2016-CV-10) and will be scheduled as the docket allows. One half day reserved. Ten days before the hearing the parties will exchange proposed exhibits and witness lists through the ecourt system.**

**So Ordered.**

June 8, 2016

Date



Judge Lucinda V. Sadler

AP. B.

Appendix C, True court order for trial date.

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
JUDICIAL BRANCH  
NH CIRCUIT COURT**

10th Circuit - District Division - Derry  
10 Courthouse Lane  
Derry NH 03038

Telephone: 1-855-212-1234  
TTY/TDD Relay: (800) 735-2964  
<http://www.courts.state.nh.us>

**NOTICE OF HEARING**

**WEIXING V. WANG  
71 VANDERLAND AVE  
E PROVIDENCE RI 02914**

Case Name: **Robert Marcotte, Stacy Simone v. Weixing Wang**  
Case Number: **431-2016-CV-00010**

The above referenced case(s) has/have been scheduled for:  
**Hearing on the Merits**

To be heard in conjunction with small claims case #431-2016-sc-81.

As matter has been specifically assigned parties should be prepared to begin promptly at 12:45 pm.. Matter will not be continued without a showing of good cause.

Date: December 12, 2016      10 Courthouse Lane  
Time: 12:45 PM      Derry NH 03038  
Time Allotted: 3 Hours 15

**Minutes**

If you are unable to appear at this scheduled hearing, you must request a continuance from the Court in writing at least 10 days in advance of the hearing date. You must also send a copy of the request to the opposing party, unless restricted from doing so. Motions to continue filed fewer than 10 days in advance of hearing will only be granted if the Court finds that an emergency or exceptional circumstance exists. You must appear on the scheduled date unless you receive notification from the Court that a request to continue the hearing has been granted.

If the defendant(s) do(es) not appear and the court makes a finding for the plaintiff, the court may proceed immediately to the assessment of damages. If the plaintiff(s) do(es) not appear, it may result in a dismissal.

**NOTICE OF APPELLATE RIGHTS**

The party who receives an adverse decision in the District Division/Probate Division has the right to appeal the decision by filing an appeal with the New Hampshire Supreme Court. This is an appeal only on questions of law. In other words, the Supreme Court will not consider questions of fact already decided by the District Division/Probate Division. The appeal must be filed with the Supreme Court within 30 days of the date of the District/Probate Division's written notice of the decision.

If you will need an interpreter or other accommodations for this hearing, please contact the court immediately.

Please be advised (and/or advise clients, witnesses, and others) that it is a Class B felony to carry a firearm or other deadly weapon as defined in RSA 625.11, V in a courtroom or area used by a court.

June 10, 2016

Robin E. Pinelle  
Clerk of Court

(380)  
C: Christopher T. Hilson, ESQ

*AP C.*

Appendix D, Court document as the evidence for Appendix C.

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
JUDICIAL BRANCH  
http://www.courts.state.nh.us

Court Name: 10TH CIRCUIT - DISTRICT DIVISION - PERRY  
Case Name: ROBERT MARSHALL, JACQ SIMON V. WEIXING WANG  
Case Number: (431-2016-00010) 431-2016-CV-00010  
(if known)

MOTION: CONTINUE THE TRIAL TO A DIFFERENT DAY

I, WEIXING WANG

state the following facts and request the following relief:

DUE TO THE CONFLICTION TO AN IMPORTANT MEETING, I  
REQUEST THE COURT TO POSTPONE THE TRIAL TO A DIFFERENT  
DATE

Date  
DEC. 1, 2016  
Telephone

Signature  
[Signature]  
Address  
71 VANDERLAND AVE E. PROVIDENCE RI

I certify that on this date I provided a copy of this document to O. HILSON (other party) or to  
CHRISTOPHER HILSON (other party's attorney) by: ☐ Hand-delivery OR ☒ US Mail OR  
☐ E-mail (E-mail only by prior agreement of the parties based on Circuit Court Administrative Order).

DEC. 1, 2016  
Date

Signature  
[Signature]

ORDER

☒ Motion granted ☐ Motion denied.

Recommended:

as noted. final judgement entered due to Weixing not complying  
with order regarding Rule 110 and

Date

Signature of Marital Master/Referee mar court order

Printed Name of Marital Master/Referee

So Ordered:

I hereby certify that I have read the recommendation(s) and agree that, to the extent the marital master/judicial referee/hearing officer has made factual findings, she/he has applied the correct legal standard to the facts determined by the marital master/judicial referee/hearing officer.

[Signature]  
Date

Signature of Judge

Lucinda V. Sadler

Printed Name of Judge

AP. D.

**Appendix E,      List of Event & Order of The Court.**

Location: 10th Circuit - District  
Filed on: 01/20/2016

CASE INFORMATION

Related Cases  
01-2016-03-00001 (Information Only Cross Reference)

Case Type: Civil Writ

Case No: 01-2016-03-00001

PARTY INFORMATION

<b>Plaintiff</b>  Marcotte, Robert 1000 Main Street Providence, RI 02903  Simone, Stacy J. 1000 Main Street Providence, RI 02903	<b>Attorneys</b>  Hilson, Christopher T., ESQ Retained 603-778-0686(W)  Hilson, Christopher T., ESQ Retained 603-778-0686(W)
<b>Defendant</b>  Wang, Weixing V. 1000 Main Street Providence, RI 02903	

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

INDEX

01/20/2016	Writ of Summons Mailed to PLF for service upon the DEF	Index #1
02/12/2016	Return of Service Return Date: 3/1/16. Delivered to the Secretary of the State of NH by Sheriff Service. Also by certified mail to the DEF on 2/5/16.	Index #2
02/12/2016	Affidavit of Christopher T. Hilson, Atty. for the PLF	Index #3
02/24/2016	Appearance filed by Weixing Wang	Index #4
03/16/2016	Motion Party: Defendant Wang, Weixing V. to Dismiss the Case Due to the Violations of Two Laws.	Index #5
03/21/2016	Objection Party: Attorney Hilson, Christopher T., ESQ fw	Index #6
03/22/2016	Order (Judicial Officer: Sadler, Lucinda V) Cancel hearing scheduled for 4/6/16 and schedule for 6/8/16 to be heard in conjunction with small claims #16-sc-81.	Index #7
04/04/2016	Motion for Clarification Party: Attorney Hilson, Christopher T., ESQ	Index #8
04/06/2016	CANCELED Hearing on the Merits	
04/20/2016	Order (Judicial Officer: Sadler, Lucinda V) "This is scheduled for Motions on 6/8/16. Small Claims is set for a pre-trial on 6/8/16. If this case is not dismissed and the small claims is not resolved on that date a Merits Hearing will be scheduled to encompass both cases."	Index #9



Case Summary  
Case No. 2016-00010

07/20/2016	Motion Hearing	
07/20/2016	Order of the Judicial Officer: Sadler, Lucinda V.	Index #1
07/20/2016	Motion	Index #16
	Party: Defendant: Wang, Weixing V.	
	To Revoke Judge Sadler's Order on 6/8/16 and Prohibit L. Sadler Working On This Case Anymore	
08/30/2016	Denied (Judicial Officer: Sadler, Lucinda V.)	
07/11/2016	Motion for Final Judgment	Index #17
	Party: Attorney: Hilson, Christopher T., ESQ	
07/11/2016	Affidavit of Damages	Index #18
07/11/2016	Taxation of Costs	Index #19
	\$10,774.46	
07/11/2016	Military Service Statement	Index #20
07/11/2016	Order	Index #21
	Proposed Order	
07/25/2016	Granted (Judicial Officer: Sadler, Lucinda V.)	
	"Granted over objection based on Defendant not complying with Rule 1.10 and the prior Court Order."	
07/21/2016	Motion	Index #22
	Party: Defendant: Wang, Weixing V.	
	Derry Plaintiff's Motion On July 8, 2006	
07/25/2016	Denied (Judicial Officer: Sadler, Lucinda V.)	
07/28/2016	Other	Index #23
	Party: Attorney: Hilson, Christopher T., ESQ	
	Response to Motion to Deny Plaintiff's Motion on July 8, 2016. (Moot Motion was Denied)	
07/25/2016	Judgment for Plaintiff (Judicial Officer: Sadler, Lucinda V.)	
09/07/2016	Request for Writ of Execution	Index #24
	Party: Attorney: Hilson, Christopher T., ESQ	
09/08/2016	Writ of Execution	Index #25
	\$25,569.16	

Appendix F, Court order never sent to Defendant, his name not even on CC list.

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
JUDICIAL BRANCH  
NH CIRCUIT COURT**

10th Circuit - District Division - Derry  
10 Courthouse Lane  
Derry NH 03038

Telephone: 1-855-212-1234  
TTY/TDD Relay: (800) 735-2964  
<http://www.courts.state.nh.us>

September 12, 2016

**FILE COPY**

Case Name: **Robert Marcotte, Stacy Simone v. Weixing Wang**  
Case Number: **431-2016-CV-00010**

Enclosed please find the Writ of Execution.

Robin E. Pinelle  
Clerk of Court

(380)

C: Christopher T. Hilson, ESQ



AP F.

Appendix G, Court order signed by Judge L Sadler on 3/22/2016.

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
JUDICIAL BRANCH  
NH CIRCUIT COURT

Rockingham County

10<sup>th</sup> Circuit – District Division - Derry

ROBERT MARCOTTE and STACEY J. SIMONE  
v.  
WEIXING V. WANG

Case No: 431-2016-CV-0010

ORDER

This case was filed January 2016 and the plaintiffs seek damages based on three counts: failure to return a security deposit, unauthorized interruption of utility services and breach of the lease. The defendant filed an appearance on February 24, 2016. On March 16, 2016 the defendant filed a Motion To Dismiss the case indicating that the case "violated two laws." Plaintiffs filed an Objection to the Motion on March 21, 2016 arguing there were insufficient grounds raised for dismissal. The case is scheduled for a hearing on the merits on April 6, 2016.

There is a related case pending in the Small Claims division of this court: docket # 431-2016-SC-0081-the matter of Weixing v. Wang aka Vincent v. Robert Marcotte aka Bobby. The Small Claim was filed on February 24, 2016. The claim alleges Robert owes a sum of money minus the "deposit"; the court takes this to mean minus the security deposit. Robert filed a Motion to Dismiss in that case arguing the case should be dismissed as there is already a case pending in regard to the same matter. The court disagrees in part: it is unclear what is included in the amount sought by the Weixing excluding the issue of the security deposit. A Pre-Trial hearing on this matter is scheduled for June 8, 2016.

*The court finds these two matters are intricately intertwined. Therefore the court is rescheduling the April 6, 2016 merits hearing to be combined with the Pre-Trial on June 8, 2016. At that time the court will hear arguments on each Motion to Dismiss. The cases are therefore joined for purposes of going forward.*

Hearing on April 6, 2016 is removed from the docket and the matter will be rescheduled for a Motions hearing in conjunction with the Pre-Trial on the companion matter on June 8, 2016.

So Ordered.

*3/22/16*

Date



Lucinda V. Sadler, Judge

*AP G.I.*

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
JUDICIAL BRANCH  
NH CIRCUIT COURT

10th Circuit - District Division - Derry  
10 Courthouse Lane  
Derry NH 03038

Telephone: 1-855-212-1234  
TTY/TDD Relay: (800) 735-2964  
<http://www.courts.state.nh.us>

April 22, 2016

**WEIXING V. WANG**  
**71 VANDERLAND AVE**  
**E PROVIDENCE RI 02914**

Case Name: **Robert Marcotte, Stacy Simone v. Weixing Wang**  
Case Number: **431-2016-CV-00010**

On April 20, 2016 the Court ruled on the following:

Motion For Clarification:

"This matter is scheduled for Motions on 6/8/16. Small Claims is set for pre-trial on 6/8/16. If this case is not dismissed and the Small Claims is not resolved on that date a Merits Hearing will be scheduled to encompass both cases."

/s/ Lucinda V. Sadler  
Justisce

Robin E. Pinelle  
Clerk of Court

(380)

C: Christopher T. Hilson, ESQ

AP 62

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
JUDICIAL BRANCH  
NH CIRCUIT COURT**

10th Circuit - District Division - Derry  
10 Courthouse Lane  
Derry NH 03038

Telephone: 1-855-212-1234  
TTY/TDD Relay: (800) 735-2964  
<http://www.courts.state.nh.us>

**NOTICE OF HEARING**

**WEIXING V. WANG  
71 VANDERLAND AVE  
E PROVIDENCE RI 02914**

Case Name: **Robert Marcotte, Stacy Simone v. Weixing Wang**  
Case Number: **431-2016-CV-00010**

The above referenced case(s) has/have been scheduled for:  
**Hearing on the Merits**

Continued from April 6, 2016.

**Date: June 08, 2016                      10 Courthouse Lane**  
**Time: 8:30 AM                          Derry NH 03038**

If you are unable to appear at this scheduled hearing, you must request a continuance from the Court in writing at least 10 days in advance of the hearing date. You must also send a copy of the request to the opposing party, unless restricted from doing so. Motions to continue filed fewer than 10 days in advance of hearing will only be granted if the Court finds that an emergency or exceptional circumstance exists. You must appear on the scheduled date unless you receive notification from the Court that a request to continue the hearing has been granted.

If the defendant(s) do(es) not appear and the court makes a finding for the plaintiff, the court may proceed immediately to the assessment of damages. If the plaintiff(s) do(es) not appear, it may result in a dismissal.

Multiple cases are scheduled at this time. Please notify the court 15 days prior to the hearing date above if the hearing is expected to last longer than 30 minutes.

**NOTICE OF APPELLATE RIGHTS**

The party who receives an adverse decision in the District Division/Probate Division has the right to appeal the decision by filing an appeal with the New Hampshire Supreme Court. This is an appeal only on questions of law. In other words, the Supreme Court will not consider questions of fact already decided by the District Division/Probate Division. The appeal must be filed with the Supreme Court within 30 days of the date of the District/Probate Division's written notice of the decision.

If you will need an interpreter or other accommodations for this hearing, please contact the court immediately.

Please be advised (and/or advise clients, witnesses, and others) that it is a Class B felony to carry a firearm or other deadly weapon as defined in RSA 625.11, V in a courtroom or area used by a court.

March 22, 2016

Robin E. Pinelle  
Clerk of Court

(380)

C: Christopher T. Hilson, ESQ

AP 93

Appendix H, Court order with \$10,500 ordered for Attorney fee.



THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
JUDICIAL BRANCH  
NH CIRCUIT COURT

10th Circuit - District Division - Derry  
10 Courthouse Lane  
Derry NH 03038

Telephone: 1-855-212-1234  
TTY/TDD Relay: (800) 735-2964  
<http://www.courts.state.nh.us>

WRIT OF EXECUTION

Case Name: Robert Marcotte, Stacy Simone v. Weixing Wang  
Case Number: 431-2016-CV-00010

TO THE SHERIFF OR DEPUTY OR LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OF ANY COUNTY:

Robert Marcotte, Stacy J. Simone has recovered judgment against Weixing V. Wang of 71  
Vanderland Ave E Providence, RI 02914 in the amount of \$14,755.34 plus costs, including the  
sheriff's fees for service.

Judgment was recorded in the 10th Circuit - District Division - Derry on 7/25/16. Execution of this  
judgment has not yet been satisfied.

You are ordered to levy the money, goods, chattels, lands, personal estate, property interest, right or  
credit of Weixing V. Wang and pay to Robert Marcotte, Stacy J. Simone the amount stated below with  
interest.

You must return this writ to the court no later than December 06, 2016 with a record of your actions.

Judgment \$ 14,755.34

Amount previously paid

Attorney fees \$ 10,500.00

Costs \$ 274.88

Interest \$ 38.94

Total Due \$ 25,569.16

Witness, Edwin W. Kelly, Administrative Judge  
New Hampshire Circuit Court

9.8.16  
Date

Robin E. Pinelle  
Robin E. Pinelle, Clerk of Court

AP H.