

---

Katharine Van Dusen  
D (415) 772-5712  
kvandusen@coblentzlaw.com

January 9, 2020

**VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

Honorable Scott S. Harris  
Clerk  
Supreme Court of the United States  
1 First Street NE  
Washington, DC 20543-001

Re: Ehrman v. Cox Communications, Inc., S. Ct. No. 19-802

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am counsel of record for Respondent Cox Communications, Inc. (“Cox”) in the above-captioned case. The petition for a writ of certiorari in this case was filed December 16, 2019, and placed on the docket December 23, 2019. Respondent’s opposition is due January 22, 2020. We respectfully request, under Rule 30.4 of the Rules of this Court, a 30-day extension of that deadline to February 21, 2020.

The extension is necessary to permit Cox adequate time to consider and address the petition, which is over 6,000 words long. I note that an extension in this instance is particularly necessary because I, as counsel for Cox who argued the case before the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and who has the responsibility for preparing the brief in opposition, will be lead counsel in a jury trial in the Superior Court of Alameda County, California from January 17 through January 30. My preparation for, and participation in, this two-week jury trial, significantly limits my availability through the present deadline.

The proposed extension is unlikely to prejudice petitioner or affect the ultimate disposition of this case.

Very truly yours,  
COBLENTZ PATCH DUFFY & BASS LLP



Katharine Van Dusen  
*Counsel for Respondents*

cc: See Attached Service List