

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1) WHETHER THE DISTRICT COURT IS DENYING AN INDIGENT CIVIL DETAINEE (PRE-TRIAL DETAINEE) FOR SEVEN YEARS (JUNE 26, 2012) FROM ACCESSING THE COURT WHEN PLAINTIFF EARNS NO MONIES AND IS WELL BELOW THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL.

2) WHETHER THE DISTRICT COURT AND THE COURT OF APPEALS ASSESSING \$1,000.00 SETTLEMENT MONEY AS INCOME AND DID NOT TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THE LITIGATION EXPENSES OF \$1,300.00 ONLY TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION OF FABRICATED ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES TREATMENT AND DETENTION FACILITY TRUST FUND REPORTS.

3) WHETHER THE PLAINTIFF EARNS ANY MONEY AT THE "IDHS/TDF" FACILITY ONLY LIFE REWARD POINTS ONLY TO BE USED AT THE FACILITY STORE TO PURCHASE HYGIENE, LEGAL SUPPLIES, CLOTHES AND FOOD AND THE US DISTRICT COURT WOULD NOT ORDER THE "IDHS/TDF" TO CONVERT THESE POINTS TO MONEY FOR THE FILING FEES.

4) WHETHER THIS INDIGENT PLAINTIFF CAN EARN MONIES AND IS BEING HELD UNCONSTITUTIONALLY IN A ILLINOIS "SECONDARY PRISON SYSTEM" AND CANNOT ACCESS THE COURT BECAUSE OF HIS INDIGENCY.

5) Whether Plaintiff who earns "No Money" has abused the District's partial filing fee procedure.

6) Whether Plaintiff is being treated as a prisoner under the Prison Litigation Reform Act. 28 USC 1915(g) and applying the District Court in making their own rules 28 USC 1915(g)

7) Whether Plaintiff can access the court on a Motion to Rescind Order Bounds vs Smith 430 U.S 817 (App B)

8)

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

1) Krisfa Wilcoxen	<u>No 19-2478</u>
2) Ashley McCay	<u>No 19-2804</u>
3) Grace Itw	<u>No 19-2475</u>
4) Gregg Scott	<u>No 19-2478</u> / <u>19-2480</u> / <u>19-2483</u>
5) Sharlene Caraway	<u>No 19-2490</u>
6) Travis Smith	<u>No 19-3007</u>

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.




TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A US States Court of Appeals For the Seventh Circuit

APPENDIX B US Court of Appeal Denial to Access

APPENDIX C US District Court / Seventh Circuit outstanding
balance 10×400 plus 10×505
 4000 plus $5050 = \$9,050^{ce}$

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

28 USC 1915(s)
Bands vs Smith 430 U.S. 817

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

JURISDICTION

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was Oct 15 2019 (A) Oct 4 2019 (S)

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case. Denied to file

[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- ① Sixth Amendment
- ② Fourteenth Amendment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Plaintiff has been wrongfully declared Not indigent and cannot access the US District Court of Appeals or US District Court of the Central District of Illinois where Plaintiff has No monies and can not earn monies while detained in the Sexual Violent Person Illinois Department of Human Services Treatment and Detention Facility and has accessed over \$9,000⁰⁰ dollars (Appendix C) to an Indigent Detainee.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Plaintiff is a civil detainee who can not earn monies and is being held unconstitutionally for seven years as a pre-trial detainee and cannot access the Court. Plaintiff earns No Money. This case must be remanded back to Seventh Circuit and District Court and all costs (due to indigency/poverty level) waived.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

James Pava
Date: 2/5/2020

1920-1921
1921-1922
1922-1923