

19-7882

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

JAN 03 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

BRANDY V. HARRIS — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

ROCK HILL MUNICIPAL COURT 120 EAST  
BLACK ROCK HILL S.C. 29730 — RESPONDENT(S)

BRITNEY MAY "et al"

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE  
FOURTH CIRCUIT 1100 E. MAIN ST. SUITE 501  
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23219  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

BRANDY V. HARRIS  
(Your Name)

722 EAST MAIN  
(Address)

ROCK HILL S.C. 29730  
(City, State, Zip Code)

704 615 6206  
(Phone Number)


**ORIGINAL**

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED  
IF THE  $9+2=11$ . WHICH IS 9 DISMISSAL+2 NOTICES  
OF APPEALS=11 THEREFORE IF THAT'S NOT ADQUATE  
LET'S CONSIDER THE 7 DISMISSAL+4. SEE ATTACH  
RECEIPT OF RETURN, ORDER, BACK GROUND, DISCU  
SSION, IN THE COURT COMMON PLEAS SIXTEENTH  
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT NEW CASE NO. 2D18-CP-46-  
01301. CONSIDER SHOWING DIRECT BASIS

I WANT ALL 11!

Respectfully Submitted

Brandy V. Harris



## LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

ISSUE SUPPORTING FACT'S ARGUMENT'S  
1<sup>b</sup> THREW 15<sup>b</sup>

LIST OF RELATED CASE'S  
11 CASE'S, 9 NOT GUILTY, 2  
WRONGFULLY CONVICTIONS  
UNDER SAME CASE # 0:18-CV-03499-MGL

1. PUBLIC DISORDERLY CONDUCT
2. RESISTING POLICE
3. DUI §1 OFFENSIVE W/O BA
4. LEAV SCENE OF ACCIDENT (PD...
5. PUBLIC DISORDERLY CONDUCT
6. FAILURE TO OBEY TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVIC
7. TRESS PASSING
8. PUBLIC DIORDERLY CONDUCT
9. PREDESTRAIN ON HIGHWAY
10. CDV
11. CDV

Branch V. Harris

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS 4 <sup>th</sup> Cir.
APPENDIX B	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
APPENDIX C	COPY OF ORDER DENIEING REHEARING
APPENDIX D	FOR PETITION COPY OF JUDGMENT
APPENDIX E	COPY OF MANDATE
APPENDIX F	COPY OF THE & UNPUBLISHED

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

ISSUE SUPPORTING FACTS & ARGUMENTS  
1<sup>b</sup> THREW 15<sup>b</sup>

STATUTES AND RULES

AMENDMENTS #00, RULE 10a CONSIDERATIONS GOVER  
NING REVIEW ON CERTIORARI, RULE 13.3 REVIEW  
ON CERTIORARI: TIME FOR PETITIONING, RULE 14  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI, RULE 17.1.  
PROCEDURE IN AN ORIGINAL ACTION  
28 U.S.C. § 1251 const. Amdt. 11. RULE 21 MOTION THE  
ERIS OTHER COURT, RULE 391. PROCEEDING IN FORMAPAU-

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☒ reported at UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 10-11-2019.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 10-11-2019, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

☒ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including BRANDY V. HARRIS (date) on 1-16-2020 (date) in Application No. A.

By. MRS SCOTT S. HARRIS

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).



**CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

AMENDMENTS #00

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE

HARRIS VS MAY FOR REVIEW CONCISELY IS THE  
TOTAL OF 9 DISMISSAL'S AS STATEMENT OF  
THE CASE. 9 DISMISSAL'S + 2 NOTICE'S OF APPEAL'S  
IN QUESTION = 11 VIOLATION'S

Brandon V. Harris

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

ALL JUDGES FAILED TO REMEDY IT DURING TRIAL PERIOD. || NO DIRECT VERDICT AND || NOT GULTY'S IS CONSIDER SHOWING DIRECT BASIS

Respectfully Submitted

Brandy V. Harris

**REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

JUDICIAL DISCRETION!  
ASKING TO BE GRANTED ONLY FOR  
COMPELLING REASONS!

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Bundy V. Harris

Date: 2-28-2020