

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

OCT 31 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

KEVIN T. HAWKINS, AKA Ket T.  
Hawkins,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 18-10286

D.C. No.  
2:10-cr-00458-JAM-3

Eastern District of California,  
Sacramento

ORDER

Before: CLIFTON, N.R. SMITH, and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

Appellant's motion for reconsideration (Docket Entry No. 24) is denied. *See*  
9th Cir. R. 27-10.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUN 24 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

KEVIN T. HAWKINS, AKA Ket T.  
Hawkins,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 18-10286

D.C. No.  
2:10-cr-00458-JAM-3

Eastern District of California,  
Sacramento

ORDER

Before: CLIFTON, N.R. SMITH, and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

We have reviewed the responses to this court's order to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. We conclude that we lack jurisdiction to review the district court's interlocutory order. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1291; *Midland Asphalt Corp. v. United States*, 489 U.S. 794, 798 (1989) (stating that finality requirement generally "prohibits appellate review until after conviction and imposition of sentence"); *United States v. Steel*, 626 F.3d 1028, 1030 (9th Cir. 2010) (dismissing defendant's interlocutory appeal for lack of jurisdiction because double jeopardy claim was not colorable). According, this appeal is dismissed.

All pending motions are denied as moot.

**DISMISSED.**