

7-0F-18

#7  
19-7784 ORIGINAL

No.

88-5971 CF-

FILED

JAN 29 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Washington D.C. 20543

DC# 090529

DARREN LEE TENDRINS - PETITIONER

(Your Name)

THE STATE OF FLA. vs. THE BROWARD COUNTY  
COURT, 17TH JUDICIAL RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

THE SUPREME COURT OF FLA.

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DC#

090529

DARREN LEE TENDRINS

(Your Name)

MARTIN CORRECTIONAL  
INSTITUTION, 1150 S.W. ALAPATTAH ROAD

(Address)

INDIAN TOWN FLORIDA 33456

(City, State, Zip Code)

None

(Phone Number)

## QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. whether or not Police official  
can actually DELIBERATELY LIE with  
it's positional authorities too.  
enforcing such rules, that are  
not at all instructional or "legal"  
police and procedural rules  
of laws too, even make fiction  
and fictitiousness, it connecting  
the crimes in hand that has  
convicted petitioner under legal  
procedural avenues of laws at  
and inside any such court which  
maybe handling the directions  
of any jury or member's set  
of person's which takes under  
all considerations with evidences  
given too lead too, convictions  
and punishment penalty phases,  
can it be an illegal conviction  
and pre judices of authority.

9-07-18

#9.

1-28-20

### LIST OF PARTIES

[ ☒ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[ ] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

### RELATED CASES

UN-RELATIONAL TO, ANY OTHER  
CASES: AND, NONE CAN EXACTLY  
AND, IS. CERTAINLY THE VERY  
FIRST WHEN EXHIBITED WITH  
BURDENS OF TRUE TRUSTED SUCH  
PROOFS. TO, COMBINE JUNCTIONS  
AND CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS: SUCH  
RESPECTFULNESS AND FACTS  
TO, NOTE.

10 CR-18

#10

1-28-20

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	Appendix # A	1
JURISDICTION	Appendix of 4th DCA - #B	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	Appendix #C	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	Appendix #D	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	Appendix #E	
CONCLUSION	RE-ENSTATEMENT OF ALL VIOLATIONS	

### INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<u>THE ORDER OF AND AFTER TRIAL</u>
APPENDIX B	<u>4TH DCA</u>
APPENDIX C	<u>STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED</u>
APPENDIX D	<u>STATEMENT OF CASE</u>
APPENDIX E	<u>REASONS FOR GRANTING WRIT</u>
APPENDIX F	<u>RE-ENSTATEMENT OF ALL VIOLATIONS</u>

11-OK-18

#11

1-28-20

# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

## CASES

un-Related But, under

PAGE NUMBER

"CRANT" VS. STATE, 1980 - FIA,  
NIERL BIGGERS VS. STATE OF FIA,  
GUARDS VS. ARIZONA  
SMITH VS. STATE

## STATUTES AND RULES

THAT UNDER THE

5TH AMENDMENT ALL PERSONS  
OF AMERICA, INSIDE OF THE  
METHODS AND CRIMINAL PROC.  
PROCEDURES DOES HAVE ALL  
RIGHTS TOO, REMAIN SILENT  
DURING THE MIRANDA ACT'S

## OTHER

Also, within the identity  
ETHNIC, AND CODES OF PROCEEDURES  
IT'S NOTED AND LAWS TOO ALL  
SUPPLEMENTARY, & NECESSARY PROCEDURE

12-07-18

#12 - 1-28-20

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix #3 to the petition and is

- ☒ reported at 4 - district court of appeals; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the THE SUPREME FLORIDA court appears at Appendix #3 to the petition and is

- ☒ reported at TALLAHASSEE FLA; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

13-OK-18

#13

-1-28-20

## JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was SUPREME CT OF FLA.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix B.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: WAB, not ALLOWED, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

14-OF-18

#14

1-28-20

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

THE NEEDS TO, EXERCISE ALL,  
SUCH, RIGHT'S TO, BOTH REMAIN  
AT SILENCE ALSO, TOO, THE 14TH  
AMENDMENT OF AN ILLEGAL SUCH  
SEARCHING, BOTH PHYSICAL & MATERIAL

AND, THE 5TH MIRANDA  
ETHNICS CALL'S FOR, BEING UNDER  
QUESTIONING, VIOLATIONS & RULES  
OF WITHHOLD OR REQUESTING ALL  
"CONSTITUTIONAL" SUCH "RIGHTS" TO  
HAVE "AN" ATTORNEY PRESENT  
AT ALL TIMES & BY BEING  
RETARDED OR MENTALLY IMPAIRED

TO, REQUEST "GUARDIAN" TOO  
WHICH CAUSE IS, MENTAL HEALTH  
ISSUES WITH LONG TERM REVIEWS



15-OF-18

#15

1-28-70

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

one, AND in THE exact last 50 times  
AND, DATE, OF \_\_\_\_\_, THE PETITIONER  
WAS. HEREBY WHEN APPROACHED, FOR ALL  
OF "AN" ARMED ROBBERY CAR TAKING  
WITH THAT OF "AN" FIRE ARM TOO  
which. in. THIS night PETITIONER HAD  
FRIENDLY NEIGHBORHOOD FRANKS  
"AN" AUTO MOBILE CAR FROM FRANKS  
it WAS LATER TURNED OUT TOO.  
BE. THAT THIS VOLVO AUTO MOBILE  
WAS TAKEN AT CUM POINT FROM  
THE VICTIMS WHOM PETITIONER  
NEVER SEEN OR HAD ANYTHING TO  
DO WITH IT YET IN THE END  
SOME 24-DAYS LATER IT WAS A  
CUM 357 MAGNUM TURNED OVER TO  
BROWARD SHERIFF'S OFFICE TOO  
which with all prints DNA or any  
other substances I was then charged  
with all crimes I didn't do -

16-OK-18

#16

1-38-20

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

my REASON is when AFTER 2 years  
inside of the Broward county  
sheriff's department; in  
custody and can't re- witness  
of victims ever selected or  
chosen or picked me outside  
or inside of committing crimes  
as charged and later convicted  
of but at my trial I  
was then without any hand  
cuffs or restraints methods  
and devices I identified or for  
that last reason no finger  
prints on 357 magnum or etc. all  
but to be taken to an opening  
lot with Judge of court and  
jury 6 member panel to see  
and exhibit the study of automobile  
then I was finally identified —

17-OF-18

#17

1-28-20

I Am, Requesting your True  
Attention and Authority too  
actually see why, or what can  
any court, officials do - too by  
taking me at Jury trial too.  
A Location of the State, where  
I have never heard of something  
so - prejudice and bias being an  
African American Black man has  
it's vicious price tag on things

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Existence of bias and violations and  
affirming me and myself when I was never  
identified

Respectfully submitted,

Darren Lee Jenkins

a DC. 090529

Date: 1-28-20