

19-7741  
No.

FILED  
FEB 04 2020  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Robert W. Johnson — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

Victoria Fire & Casualty Insurance, et al. vs.  
— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Robert W. Johnson  
(Your Name)

3345 Fish Ave, APT. 1  
(Address)

Bronx, NY 10469  
(City, State, Zip Code)

914-839-7583  
(Phone Number)

## **LIST OF PARTIES**

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## **RELATED CASES**

None

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Was Appellant Granted an IFP Grant with demanded Jury Trial in U.S. District Courts for Pending litigations?
2. Why wasn't Appellant afforded a Jury Trial as requested in IFP Application?
3. Are Appellees authorized to be in business practice in the state of Texas?
4. Are Appellees committing insurance fraud against Appellant?
5. Has Appellant been afforded merits to Pending litigations as promised under the U.S. Constitution?
6. Have Appellants civil rights been violated?

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

### PAGE NUMBER

Casey v. Piphus  
Williams v. Pennsylvania  
Goldberg v. Kelly  
H-09-342  
Ponzi v. Fessenden ; 258 U.S. 254 (1922)  
3:09-CV-721 ; Stanford International Bank, Ltd.

### STATUTES AND RULES

Due Process Clause  
U.S. Constitution Laws  
14<sup>th</sup> Amendment  
Human Rights  
Ponzi Scheme Violations By A Corporation  
RICO ACT CRIMES  
18 U.S.C § 1341 & 1343 & 371

### OTHER

Corporate Crimes  
State and Federal Crimes  
Corporation Violations

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

(See attached documents.)

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

**[ ] For cases from federal courts:**

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

**[ ] For cases from state courts:**

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

### [ ] For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 01/14/2020.

No petition for rehearing was filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

### [ ] For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Appellee's have denied Appellant Due Process Rights and have committed RICO ACT Crimes punishable and subject for prosecutions.

Appellants 14TH Amendment Rights are being violated by U.S. Government Officials listed as Appellee's in pending litigations, for matters involved currently.

Appellants Civil and Human Rights are being violated due to Appellee's abuses and neglects of Appellants merits in insurance claims.

Appellee's are committing insurance fraud and are disregarding civil laws.

Appellants are denying only and all liability for Appeals of insurance claims. Appeals are prohibited government officials to dismiss Appeals are Civil law matters. Appeals are Criminal insurance fraud and RICO ACT crimes. Appeals are a Rose Corporation Barron from defenses and litigations. Appeal is meritorious and is being discriminated against to date. Appeal has not been offered a fair jury trial as demanded in the application that was granted.

**REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

Appellant has satisfied all requirements to be qualified for merits in pending legal matters. Appellee's are in continuance of insurance fraud and denial of Appellants civil rights. Appellant statute of limitations is expired and Appellant has not been afforded monetary compensation by Appellee's. Appellee's are committing RICO ACT CRIMES and are bribing Judicial Officials to dismiss Appellants civil matters. Appellant U.S. Constitutional Rights are in violation by Pro Se Corporation Appellee's.

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert W. Johnson

Date: 02/04/2020