

19-7629

No. \_\_\_\_\_

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

NOV 22 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
\_\_\_\_\_

Carolyn Hill-Lomas PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

VS.

Vittorio Tarent, Administrator RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Iowa Supreme Appellate  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Carolyn Hill-Lomas  
(Your Name)

1429 13TH ST  
(Address)

Des Moines, Iowa 50314  
(City, State, Zip Code)

515 779-1694  
(Phone Number)

**ORIGINAL**

### QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Why wasn't I given my day in Court? My original court date was May 1, 2019. Then April 26, 2019 I was summoned to court for a summary judgment. At the end of that hearing I was granted a continuance dated Sept. 30, 2019 to pull the jury, Oct. 1, 2019 for the hearing. Then on July 15, 2019 Judge Porter dismissed my case. I filed an appeal with the appellate court. July 19, 2019. Then I was given the reasons regarding jurisdiction of my case. A different judge responded to my appeal. Then when they dismissed my case and stated they refused to review my case, I filed in the United States Supreme Court. I also found out from the Clerk of Court of the Iowa Supreme Appellate Court that there was a mist ap. That the appellate court judge did not review my appeal that one of the Supreme Court judges saw it first & acted on it that's why there was the jurisdiction issue. Why have I been treated like this. Just because I am poor I should not be treated any different. I reached out to several attorneys and none into conflict of interest because they had represented United for other malpractice issues. Or they didn't do product cases plus the malpractice. I deserve to be heard and compensated for what the defendant did to me. The pain, the stress, the losses the domino effect. The loss of income, family time, social time, the weight loss now more surgery to come more pain & the beat goes on.

## LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

*Whee vs McCartney 390 NW 2d 52 56-57 (Iowa App 1998)*

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## **INDEX TO APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

### PAGE NUMBER

*Hill v McCartney*

### STATUTES AND RULES

*Hill won The Case*

### OTHER

*Rule 14*

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date:  
January 7, 2020, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Had my left knee replaced July 12, 2016. Dr. Vetter & Nikki Twest his assistant had my leg at the wrong angle which caused them to fracture my left hip & tore my left labrum. I was given no after care, no plan of action for another doctor to repair or replace my hip. My primary doctor suggested that Vetter ~~do~~ <sup>get</sup> a MRI and go from there. He did the MRI proved that my hip was fractured, labrum torn. When I went back to find out what the results were. He kept his back to me & said "your hip is fractured." Then he said "I don't know why your knee is staying swollen. I'm sure I didn't leave a sponge in there." My response was now I have to worry about a sponge left in my knee plus the fracture & tear? "No response other than he could stick a needle in my knee & draw out some fluid! He gave me another prescription for Oxycodone. I left never went back. My doctor sent me to Iowa Ortho. Adairho stated MRI on Monday. Tuesday see him again. Thursday Surgery. That Tuesday he changed his mind and refused to do the surgery. I then had to wait to get into Iowa City Hospital and had my surgery March 12, 2018 and Right hip separated & was replaced Sept 10, 2018. Had to be on walker from July 2016 to 2018. I deserve to be compensated. This is not his first malpractice. He also used components that had nickel in them he has known since 2002 that I am allergic to nickel. Now my left knee sounds like it is fevering when I get up from sitting, has shifled, very swollen & my doctor thinks I need to have it!



## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Vittorio & Tweet Have Known Since 2002  
That I Have an Allergic Reaction to  
Nickel. They had my left leg at The wrong  
Angle, causing a fracture to my left hip &  
a tear to my left labrum. My knee cap now  
is crooked, my knee is disfigured, Stump  
swallow. Constant pain. Have had multiple  
surgeries since his mistakes. Had my left  
Shoulder replaced due to walking on a  
Walker for 2 years. I had injured my left  
Shoulder in 2009 & Rt Shoulder in 2010 but no  
surgery was needed until they gave out from  
using the Walker for 2 years. I now have to  
have my right shoulder will be replaced  
some time this year 2020, after my  
left shoulder heals. I need to be  
Compensated!

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Because as you can read I  
deserve to have my day in court  
and I deserve to be compensated for  
what the defendants put me through.  
My quality of life has been horrible!  
Please reverse the previous decision  
and grant me compensation for what  
you feel my pain & suffering is worth.

I Thank You,

Carolyn Lee Long  
515 729-1694  
1429 13th St  
Des Moines, IA 50314

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Carolyn Hill-Lomas

Date: February 4, 2020