

2RB

NO. 19-7502

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D.C.

SCOTT PETERS

-V-

People of State of
Illinois

CASE NO. 19-7502

Motion for a Rehearing of an
ORDER Denying writ of Certiorari

PETITION for the Rehearing of an ORDER
Denying a WRIT of CERTIORARI UNDER
Supreme Court Rule 44

NOW COME SCOTT PETERS AN PROSE ATTORNEY AND IN SUPPORT
OF HIS PETITION FOR THE REHEARING OF AN ORDER DENYING A
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI UNDER U.S. Supreme Court
Rule 44 Rehearing states as follows;

1. PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS PROSE ATTORNEY SUBMITTED A WRIT FOR
CERTIORARI IN THE CASE AT BAR.
2. CERTIORARI WAS DENIED ON 2 MARCH 2020.
3. PETITIONER'S CASE WAS FOR A DENIAL OF MATERIAL FACTS BY
ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT RULE 415(C) AND VARIOUS VIOLATIONS
OF THE TENCKS ACT. 77 S.Ct. 1007, REYNOLDS - V - UNITED
STATES 345 U.S. 1, ASSOCIATED WITH ITS UNCONSTITUTIONALITY.
4. PETITIONER BRINGS THIS REHEARING OF HIS ORDER DENYING
WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN GOOD FAITH AND HOPES AND PRAYS THIS
COURT TO ADDRESS THE UNCONSTITUTIONAL ASPECT OF THIS
UNLAWFUL STATUTE OF THE ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT BEING
USED TO DENY FULL DISCLOSURE AND OBSTRUCT EVIDENCE OF
PETITIONER AND CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES.

5. PETITIONER STATES SCOTT PETERS HAS BEEN DENIED AND NEGLECTED PETITIONER'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF THE 1ST, 5TH, 6TH, 14TH AMENDMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

6. SPECIFICALLY, THAT THE COURT AND ITS AGENCIES THE STATE APPELLATE DEFENDER, PUBLIC DEFENDER, STATES ATTORNEYS ARE OBSTRUCTING, CONCEALING AND DISGUISEING EVIDENCE TO HIDE IT FROM THESE CLIENTS IN ORDER TO SELECTIVELY CONVICT AND INCARCERATE THEM ACTING AS JUDGE, JURY AND EXECUTIONER AND IN COLLUSION WITH THE COURTS OF THE STATE. BY UTILIZING RULES SUCH AS THE SUPREME COURT RULE OF ILLINOIS 415(C) AND THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD KEEPING RULES FOR THE CLERK'S OFFICE ISSUED AS WELL BY THE ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT. THIS HONORABLE COURT NEEDS TO LOOK AGAIN AT: ILLINOIS. IT HAS BEEN UNCHECKED SINCE *NAPUE V. ILLINOIS* (1959)

7. PETITIONER FURTHER IS BEING DENIED ACCESS TO THE COURTS BY THIS RULE AND ILLINOIS VIOLATION OF THE RULES OF THE UNITED STATES TO NOT REASON SOCIO ECONOMIC MEANS.

8. MOREOVER, THE STATE FAILS TO HEAR ANY MERITFUL ARGUMENT WITH SUB STANTIAL DISMISSEALS OF ACTIONS SUCH AS THIS CASE AND OTHERS PREVIOUSLY OR PRESENTLY ATTEMPTING TO BE HEARD.

9. PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS PROSE STATES THE COURT NEEDS TO DO AN INDEPTH ASSESSMENT OF THESE ACCUSATIONS AS THEY CONTINUE TO VIOLATE THE RIGHTS OF THE CITIZENS OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS THROUGH FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL DEPRIVATION OF EVIDENCE NEEDED TO PROVE HARMFUL INNOCENCE, *NAPUE V. PEOPLE OF ILLINOIS* 360 U.S. 264

10. PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PROSE ATTORNEY MOREOVER STATES;
IN CASES WHERE THERE IS A QUESTION OR CLAIM OF DENIAL OF
RIGHTS UNDER FEDERAL CONSTITUTION, THE UNITED STATES SUPREME
COURT IS NOT BOUND BY FACTUAL CONCLUSIONS OF LOWER COURTS,
BUT WILL REEXAMINE THE EVIDENTIARY BASIS ON WHICH THOSE
CONCLUSIONS ARE FOUNDED, NAJUE VS. PEOPLE OF ILLINOIS 360 U.S. 264
(1959).

11. PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PROSE ATTORNEY CONTINUES TO ASSERT HIS
RIGHTS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AS THE LAW OF THE
LAND AND FURTHER HIS RIGHT TO A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES
AT-FORWARD, THESE CLAIMS ARE NOT PRESENTED MALICIOUSLY, FOR
DELAY OR IN BAD FAITH, BUT ARE PRESENTED IN GOOD FAITH AND IN
THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE FOR ALL.

12. PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PROSE ATTORNEY ONLY ASKS THIS COURT
REMAND THE CASE TO A DIFFERENT COURT OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT WITH
COUNSEL IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, I BELIEVE THE FACTS SHOW THAT
THE RULING IN THIS CASE IS IN ERROR OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION
AND IS APPROPRIATE UNDER FEDERAL QUESTION JURISDICTION 28 USC 1331.
HECKLER VS. RINGER 104 S. CT. 2013, 2021, 2022 (884). HOWEVER, THIS
IS FOR THE JUSTICES OF THE UNITED STATES TO DECIDE AND RULE UPON.

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

WHEREFORE THE PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PROSE ATTORNEY HOPES AND
PRAYS THIS HONORABLE COURT WILL TAKE AN INDEPTH LOOK INTO THE CLAIM
AT BAR OF THE PETITIONER AND PROVIDE JUSTICE AND ANY OTHER RELIEF THIS
HONORABLE COURT MAY DEEM JUST AND NECESSARY.

DATE: 24 MARCH 2020

18 Scott Peters
SCOTT PETERS PROSE ATTORNEY
NO. MS2851
MSU X-426
PO. BOX 1000
MENARD, ILLINOIS 62259

CERTIFICATE

PURSUANT TO 28 USC 1746, 18 USC 1621 PETITIONER
DECLARES UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT I AM A NAMED
PARTY IN THE ACTION NO. 19-7502 THAT I HAVE READ THE ABOVE
DOCUMENTS, AND ITS GROUNDS PERTAIN TO INTERVIEWING CIRCUMSTANCES
OF SUBSTANTIAL EFFECT AND CONTROLLING EFFECTS OF THE UNITED STATES
CONSTITUTION AND IS PRESENTED IN GOOD FAITH AND NOT FOR DELAY.

DATE: 24 MARCH 2020

AFFIANT

151 Scott Peters

NAME: SCOTT PETERS PROSE ATTORNEY

NO. M52851

MSU X - A26

P.O. BOX 1008

MENARD, ILLINOIS 62259

NO. 19-7502

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D.C.

Scott Peters

Plaintiff

Vs.

Case No. 19-7502

People of State of Illinois

Defendant

Proof/Certificate of Service
NOTICE OF FILING

TO: Clerk of the United States
Supreme Court
1st Ave N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20543-0001

TO: Attorney General
State of Illinois
Kwame Raoul
100 W. Randolph St
12th Floor
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60601

TO: _____

TO: _____

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on 24 March 2020, I have placed the documents listed below in the institutional mail at MSU Menard Correctional Center, properly addressed to the parties listed above for mailing through the United States Postal Service.

Peterson Releasing Supreme Court RHY VERIFICATION

DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY

Pursuant to 28 USC 1746, 18 USC 1621, or 735 ILCS 5/1-109, I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a named party in the above action, that I have read the above documents, and that the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

DATE: 24 March 2020

/s/ Scott Peters
NAME: SCOTT PETERS
IDOC# M52851
MENARD Correctional Center

P.O. Box 1000
MENARD, IL 62299

RECEIVED

APR 2 - 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.