

19-7395

ORIGINAL

No. 19A215

ORIGINAL

IN THE


SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JEAN CRUMP.

PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ET AL. RESPONDENT (S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT, SAN FRANCISCO CA.

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

JEAN CRUMP

(Your Name)

1423 West 69th Street

(Address)

LOS ANGELES, CA. 90047

(City, State, Zip Code)

(323) 747-2882

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Supreme Court
19 AZ 15

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. This is my second trial. At least five federal attorneys advised my new attorney Dana Cephas, that Judge William Keller was a racist and he especially dislike Blacks and Jews, but my court appointed attorney did not ask for a new attorney. However, Judge Keller would not let a defendant attend hearings on evidences or any other matters between the attorneys. My question, is that suppose to be the law of the court?

2. As the defendant. I was entitled to an attorney who knew a little law at least. In my opinion, Attorney Cephas was afraid of the federal attorneys. Mr. Cephas refused to advise Judge Keller or my medical condition, Colon Cancer, Gallbladder and a poison stomach that need surgery, Mr. Cephas, cancelled all of the relevant witnesses and other things such as, double jeopardy, this was my second trial and with my pills, he made me a witness against myself and false arrest. My question, Was Mr. Cephas working for the Federal Attorneys?

-1-

Supreme Court
No. 19 A 215

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

3. **Attorney Ames** with all that I had advised her, refused to ask the court about my arrest, could it have been a false arrest. I was going to address the court, but Ms. Ames did not have the time to tell me the procedures of how to go about it. Is this type of action normal for an attorney working on an appeal for a seventy year old black female who had never seen a jail? Attorney Ames did not have the time to complete the assignment. My question is why did she walk away from this appeal?
4. **Attorney Jones**, another appeal attorney, lived in San Diego CA. At this time I was in prison. I never met attorney Jones. I tried to help her from my jail cell. My question, Is that possible? I do not know when Attorney Jones received the case from attorney Ames but, I was sentenced April 13, 2013 and my appeal was filed in Pasadena. CA. on January 5, 2015.
5. **The Federal Attorneys**, used blackmail, false statements on their witness for them to just plain lie on me. Dr. Williams only saw me once. See Dr. Williams statement to the Grand Jury. Also see the Mortuary Owner statement to the grand jury. There is a reason they brought this case against me, the question is, what is the reason. They know that I did not commit this crime. All of the owners, payers of these policies and beneficiaries are white and lives in Hollywood, Florida.

19 A 215 SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES ON PETITION
FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT No> 18-55950

LIST OF PARTIES

() All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

() All parties **do not** appear in the caption n the cover page. A list of parties in the proceeding in the court whose judgment in the subject of this petition is as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

JUDGE WILLIAM E. KELLER

U.S. ATTORNEY, ANDRE BIORETTE, JR.
and the LOS ANGELES U.S. ATTORNEY BRANCH

WARDEN, JOHNSON & VICTORVILLE PRISON, VICTORVILLE, CA.

STEWART And PEARCE MORTUARY

AIG INSURANCE COMPANY

ATTORNEY DANA CEPHAS

ATTORNEY STEPHANIE AMES

ATTORNEY REBECCA JONES

WEST LOS ANGELES VA MEDICAL CENTER

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Does1 - 100

DEFENDANTS

-415-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES , RULES, STATUES.....	Page.-2-
OPINION	Page..3
JURISDICTION.....	Page..4
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	Page.-5-
REASON FOR GRANTING THE PETITION.....	Page..6-
CONCLUSION.....	Page.-7-
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....	Page.-8-
INDEX TO APPENDICES.....	A,Thru.H
OTHER APPENDICES.....	Pages 9.-18
PROOF OF SERVICE.....	Page.-19-

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 19A215

JEAN CRUMP PETITIONER

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ET AL

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS; FOR NINTH CIRCUIT

USCA9 No. 18-55950

Supreme Court
19A215

TABLES OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBERS
Judges- Federal, district, Court Judges generally immunity. Hannover v U.S. Government, S.D.Miss. 1986 660 F. Supp. 77 Civil rights 1376 (8)	205
False Arrest. by U.S. Government Jones v. Village of Villa Park, N.D. Ill. 1993 815 F. Supp. 249. Civil Rights 1037	577
Public Defender Right. Nicholson v. Lenczewski D.Conn 2005. 356 F. Supp. 2d 157. Civil Rights 1326(10)	156-157

STATUTES AND RULES

Judges Statutes-USCA 42 1983 Vol 4 Section 42 1983- 3569
Rule -Code of Conduct for United States Judges (C) Disqualification (1)
Page 7.

False arrest and Incarceration by Federal Attorneys
USCA 42 1983 Volume 2 Section 42 1983 1723

Public Defender for indigent defendant
USCA 42 1983 volume 2 Section 42 1983-1052

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

19A215

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix 143 to MEM
the petition and is

reported at West's Federal Reporter, or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to
the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at
Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court
appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

Supreme Court 19A 215

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 06/12/2018.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix C.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: MAY 24, 2019, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix B.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Supreme Court

WIGA 215

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The statement that I am making is true. At my age and through all the mystery and pain that the federal government have put me through, I would be crazy to try and prove anything else, because they are always right, so they say.

The mortuary was closed that I helped. The only thing that I did for the mortuary that I worked for was, changed the beneficiaries on four policies. The policy in question was already cleared by the court. Now, after the mortuary (Simpson & McGee in Lynwood, CA.) was closed February 15, 2006, the beneficiary Ted Miller moved his paper work to (Stewart and Pearce in Long Beach CA.) where they carried out the insurance scheme. I, Jean Crump, did not even know that mortuary exist, they had recently changed their name. I, Jean Crump, did not carry out a scheme with the mortuary owner, Mrs. Lydia Pearce, (**see her grand jury statement APPENDIX G**) This was and is my statement to the court. None of this came out at trial, because my attorney Dana Cephas cancelled all of my witnesses the night before they were to testify at trial, telling them that the case had been won. Why? The honorable court, It would take at least 20 more pages to put this case in order but, I guess you only wanted one page. However, because this story made the news, they U.S. attorneys had to go on with the case. To me and everyone that know me, this is and was a setup.

Under penalty of perjury this is true and correct.

Jean Crump -09/18/2019

Jean Crump
Dose

Page (5)


Supreme Court
No. 19A 215

REASON FOR GRANTING PETITION

I really do not understand the title of this page. I guess I will try and put it together. The reason that my petition should be granted is because the case the federal government put together is strictly false. The insurance investigator came to California from Atlanta Georgia. Now his name was Jim Daley. Mr. Daley said the federal government have known about the cases for years but they had done nothing about it. Mr. Daley called it the (Gypsy Ponzi Scheme). The reason the government got my name is because the fictitious dead man, Jim Davis, wife said that I did the scheme because I, Jean Crump witnesses one of the policies..

The two or three witnesses that the government had was wanted for other crimes. Dr. Williams had sold over 60,000 Oxycodone pills, but the federal attorneys said he had sold 250 pills and was about to be sentenced to prison. He claimed that I, Jean Crump, asked him to sign to sign two death certificates and I, Jean Crump, would give him \$50,000.00. The doctor description of me is on (APPENDIX- E).I sent a photo of me and my son (APPENDIX F) and I, Jean Crump,, do not know how to drive a car. I have submitted my supervisor photo who used my name about the \$50,000.00. However, she has passed. (APPENDIX H). All I want is to let the public, the church, friends and family know that I, Jean Crump, did not do this.

Under the penalty perjury, this is correct and true to the best of my knowledge


Jean Crump
rose-


11/15/2019


PAGE 4

19A215

The Honorable Judge, what can I
say except at my age ~~now~~ my public
life has been destroyed. After 20 years in
Banking and in Moray. What else
I have always been honest on A STUDY
AND A GOOD MARINE during VIETNAM.

Thank You.

CONCLUSION

I pray for A FAVORABLE WRIT/PETITION.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

John Cough, Jr.

Date: 09/18/2019
11/15/2019

Page (7)