

APPENDICES

Constance

v.


U.S. District Court of Washington

DECLARATION OF AUTHENTICITY

I hereby swear and affirm that all copies of the documents contained here in, to include the documents on the APPENDIX N CD, are true and accurate copies of the originals.

Sworn under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States:

On: 11/16/19

by: 
Dino J. Constance, Petitioner

INDEX OF APPENDICES

- A. Order Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus
- B. Petition for Writ of Mandamus (brief only - See App. N below)
- C. Order Denying Reconsideration or Reconsideration En Banc (to Issue a COA)
- D. Motion for Reconsideration or Reconsideration En Banc (to Issue a COA)
- E. Order Denying Certificate of Appealability
- F. Motion for Certificate of Appealability (COA)
- G. Order Adopting Report & Recommendation
- H. OBJECTIONS to Report & Recommendation
- I. Report & Recommendation (R&R)
- J. Washington State Unpublished Appellate Opinion (of the State Post-Conviction Motion)
- K. MOTION TO VACATE UNDER CrR 7.8 AND FOR DISCOVERY (Opening State Post-Conviction Motion)
- L. AMENDED MOTION FOR RELIEF UNDER CrR 7.8 (Final/Amended State Post-Conviction Motion)
- M. SUBMISSION OF THE RELEVANT STATE COURT RECORD¹⁶ (Petitioner's)
- N. Compact Disk Containing All Documents Filed in Support of the Petition for Writ of Mandamus:
 - ATTACHMENTS
 - EXHIBITS
 - Verbatim Proceedings (Selected Transcript Excerpts)
- O. Admissions & Other Proof of Past Frauds on The Courts by Alleged Victim Jean Koncos

CONTENTS OF APPENDIX N (in Paper Form)

Survey Data Tabulation Sheets

¹⁶The 189 Exhibits listed in APPENDIX M and the actual documents contained in the "EXHIBITS" section of APPENDIX N are the same. Because the Petition for Writ of Mandamus involved a previously "lost" post-conviction record, the 189 EXHIBITS make up the bulk of that record and are very voluminous. Due to the prohibitive cost of reproducing and mailing these documents, and because Coyote Ridge Corrections Center refused to make the copies, Petitioner is forced to provide this material on CD (APPENDIX N.)

APPENDIX A

Order Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

AUG 28 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: DINO CONSTANCE.

No. 19-71994

DINO CONSTANCE,

D.C. No. 3:15-cv-05426-RBL
Western District of Washington,
Tacoma

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF
WASHINGTON, TACOMA,

Respondent,

DONALD HOLBROOK,

Real Party in Interest.

Before: TASHIMA, M. SMITH, and BENNETT, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of this court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See Bauman v.*

U.S. Dist. Court, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the petition is denied.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

DENIED.

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**