


No. 12-4732
19-7250
8:15-cr-00492-TDC-1

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



Thomas K. Jenkins — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.
• United States District Court
• Greenbelt, Maryland — RESPONDENT(S)
• U.S. Court of Appeals — 4th circuit
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. Court of Appeals — 4th circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Thomas Kevin Jenkins
(Your Name)

Prison 401 E. Madison St -
(Address)

Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Force Medication

Bias ruling

Frivolous ruling

Unethical ruling

Ruling Done due to
Collusion — Judge
Theodore D. Channing
and Fourth circuit

See: writ on
Back side

LIST OF PARTIES

- [] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

United States District Court
• 6500 Cherrywood Lane
Greenbelt, Maryland

U.S. Court of Appeals
• 4th circuit — Richmond VA

1100 Main Street

RELATED CASES

subtle
501

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Ruling - 4th circuit

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at See back side; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at See back side; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

Submitted on

9-23-2019

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 12-10-2019

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

In the United States Supreme Court

Thomas Kevin Jenkins
Petitioner

— v —

United States Court
of Appeals - 4th Circuit

United States District
Court - Greenbelt, MD

Case NO: —

18-4132

8:15-cr-00492
TDC-1

Supreme Court
Justices —
Clerks —

Bill of complaint

Writ of certiorari

Presented on the 15th day
of December, in the year of our
Lord 2019.

I Thomas Kevin Jenkins, hereby
declare and attest pursuant to cause
1746 — under penalty of law that the
following is true and correct to the
very best of my knowledge and perception
of all the events that transpired.

I Thomas Kevin Jenkins, seek
leave to proceed under rule-10 — and
ask that we be granted stay us

Outlined in 28 USC 2101(e). Due to the fact that adequate relief can not be obtained in any other form or from any other court.

We also seek injunctive relief from this illegal incarceration that I have been experiencing for the last 57 months. We ask that this court to Draft a release order. I base my claims on the following grounds.

First and Foremost, I want the Supreme court to acknowledge that controversy exist under Article III section 2.

The 4th circuit - Made a ruling of Force Medication and civil commitment - right after you all said that controversy do not exist - they was waiting for you all to do that. 16 months!

They made this ruling under False pretenses - They colluded with Judge Theodore D. Chuang United States District court - Greenbelt Maryland - He convinced them because he is in so much trouble - see - law suits against him. page - 2 -

Its collusion 100% — They
used — Sell - v - U.S. 2003 —
elaborated on a Four prong test -

Sell to my knowledge — You
has to be facing a long period
of confinement, you have to be
mentally ill, and Dangerous -
Incompetent. See: old application
I used that for my ammo -

I don't face a long period
of confinement, this can't apply
to me, I am not incompetent,
or Dangerous — See: U.S. - v - Hardy
(2015) Ability to articulate
litigation — See: U.S. - v - Grunton
2007 -

~~I~~ will present Substantial
grounds — and solid conclusion,
I will present facts which will
Support the foundation of these
truths.

All actions impose by them
violated multiple constitutional
laws — A complete miscarriage
of Justice. We ask that you
reopen old application along
with this application.

① must be rendered incompetent
to administer drug over defendant
objection — U.S.-v- Alyere 2005.
②. 18 USC 4216 — Dangerous-
ness finding under this statute must
be mentally ill and dangerous —
U.S.-v- Stell (1990)

③. Appellable under a collateral
order — Involuntary Medication —
U.S.-v- Godinez (2009)

④. Danger to other and
their property — U.S.-v- Loughrin
(2005)

Sec — Error Finding —
U.S.-v- Reynolds (2006)

Involuntary Medication of Defendant — Must be suffering from a serious disease mentally — need restoration and medicine to restore to competency.

They must show that medicine is appropriate — Government must show that medication will restore individual to competency to stand trial pursuant to 4241-4246.

Must file a certificate of Dangerousness — U.S. — v — Riven-Morales (2005)

pretrial Detainee cannot be forcibly administered antipsychotic drugs unless the drugs are — medically appropriate, important — necessary. — Riggins — v — Nev — 501 U.S. — 127, 135, (1992)

Further more — they had a hearing
in my absence — See — Federal rule
of criminal procedure 43 — Defendant
must be present at all times.

See: U.S. — V — Frierson — (1st Cir
2000) —

Be further mindful that Judge
Theodore D. Chuang — order all
competency exams under false
pretenses — My mental state
was never in controversy — and
good cause was not shown
for exams — See: Schlagenhauf —
— V — Holder 1964 — See: The Cohen
balancing test — U.S. — V — Cohen 1976.

Remember I refused the second
exam — because they lied on the
first one up in Massachusetts —
Devens — FMC. Soon ~~as~~ I got
to Butner — FMC I refused the
examination the first day —
they kept me there a whole year.

They was suppose to have —
remand me back to the custody
of the attorney general — soon
as I refused. on 8-24-2017.

I have a right to refuse
under the 5th amendment — the
right to remain silent — self
incrimination — I never took
notice under 12.2 Federal rule of
criminal procedure for the insanity
Defense — For them to have did all
the things that they done — There
was no imminent threat to public
safety which would necessitate
them to undertake their actions.

The forensic psychologist report
Dr. Gandy — Dr. Strickland Rielly
is inadmissible under 12.2 —
because I refused the test —

None of that report is
admissible — See — 12.2 FRCP.
See Estelle - v - Smith (1981)

Now let me tell you the story
of my incarceration — so that
you will know that I am more
than competent. First let me say
that I have successfully represented
myself in Prince Georges county and
Queen Annes county — All charges
Dismissed. They did all this
stuff to prevent me from representing
my self... Further — to much police
misconduct. They locked me up on
March 9, 2015, Multiple charges — I
had all those charges Dismissed —
lack of evidence — falsified evidence.
The Prince Georges county Police Dept.
Broke into my apartment at 3911
First Street South East D.C. Apt 103
Took a gun out put it in the p.g. county
indictment — The broke into my storage
bin up in Montgomery county — took

a Fire arm got — put it in the p.g.
County circuit Court Indictment —
You can not come across county
and state lines with guns and levy
charges in that Jurisdiction — that's
illegal execution of a falsified —
Warrant — 18 USC 2234 —
18 USC 2235 — 18 USC 2236 — 18 USC
3109.

Prince Georges county circuit court
Dismissed all charges — The Federal
Government illegally procured these
charges — A Fake Indictment —
Dated 9-9-2015 — No Grand Jury
gave them concurrence to indict —
Judge M. Shaw-Geter, Judge Michael
Peterson — Disposition dated —
9-11-2015 — Nolle Prosequi —
Trial Meet. Look at those dates
9-9-2015 — 9-11-2015. That's
not right — how you get a
indictment Federal Government???

That's Double Jeopardy — The
second prosecution. See: U.S.-v-Goldstein
1974 — The Judges Dismissed
Decided the general issue of guilt
See: U.S.-v-Fass 1975 — The tier
of fact can be a Judge or Jury.

~~This~~ means that I am very
very competent. These charges are
no good — See: them law suits —
Washington D.C. - United States
District court — plus the \$100
million dollar law suit on Judge
Chuang — Butner - F.M.C. in the
U.S. - Court of Appeals — D.C. circuit
That's what the **problem** is —
It's in Disposition Form.

See: Stenograph transcript
Dated 10-26-2016 , 5-18-2017
7-14-2017 — 8-30-2018

My competency state was never
put in controversy. Therefore good

cause was not shown to order competency examinations.

Under 18 USC 4241 - I am suppose to be suffering from a mental Disease or defect which hinders me from aiding and assisting my counsel or self, and understanding the charges before me. Before they could order all these exams or make a ruling of incompetence.

I have a 9221g Max penalty of ten (10) years - No enhancements for violent felony 1984 Arm robbery.

Plus that act like somebody name Gary E. Procter was suppose to represent me on that 1st appeal, I have never talk to him or even seen him - This is how they are doing things - I told them out at the 4th circuit - NO one represent me but me, sixth amendment right - Statutory right 28 USC 1654.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The 4th circuit Richmond, VA
and Judge Theodore D. Channing
has mis cited, Misquoted, —
Misrepresented, Mischaracterized Facts
of Authority of the law — which
constitute Misconduct in office.

Henceforth, we pray that the
Supreme court grant me relief from
this unjust incarceration, and
the Fourth Circuit frivolous ruling.
As well as Injunctive relief.

I certify that the following was
mailed to the Supreme court clerk
office on 12-15-2019.

(12)

Respectfully
Submitted,
Thomas H. Jenkins
Thomas H. Jenkins

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Shannon K. Jenkins

Date: 12-15-2019