

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

---

No. 18-50783  
Summary Calendar

---

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit  
**FILED**  
September 6, 2019

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

DOMINIC HOWARD,

Defendant-Appellant

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
USDC No. 7:18-CR-114-1

---

Before SMITH, DENNIS, and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges

PER CURIAM:\*

Dominic Howard pleaded guilty to distribution of child pornography. He was sentenced to a 120-month term of imprisonment. Howard appeals, raising several challenges to his sentence.

As a preliminary matter, although the district court orally referred to its sentence as an upward departure, the written Statement of Reasons (SOR) indicates that the sentence was an upward variance. *See United States*

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 18-50783

Finally, Howard contends that his sentence is unreasonable. In conjunction with this argument, he asserts that the Government did not prove the facts underlying his sentence by a preponderance of the evidence, and he contends that the district court abused its discretion by basing its sentence on such facts.

Because Howard presented no rebuttal evidence, the information in the PSR was presumed to be reliable and the district court did not err in adopting it. *See United States v. Gomez-Alvarez*, 781 F.3d 787, 796 (5th Cir. 2015). We have affirmed similar or greater upward variances or departures based on the § 3553(a) factors. *See, e.g., United States v. Hebert*, 813 F.3d 551, 562-63 (5th Cir. 2015) (affirming upward variance to 92 years from a guidelines sentence of “six to seven years”); *United States v. Brantley*, 537 F.3d 347, 348 (5th Cir. 2008) (upholding an upward departure or variance to 180 months where the maximum guidelines sentence was 51 months); *United States v. Smith*, 417 F.3d 483, 491-93 (5th Cir. 2005) (upholding a 120-month upward departure sentence where the maximum guidelines sentence was 41 months). Howard has not shown that the district court abused its discretion and imposed a substantively unreasonable sentence. *See Smith*, 440 F.3d at 707-08.

AFFIRMED.