

19-7258 PETITIONER  
No. 19-7258 PETITIONER  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION



IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Isaac Montañez — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

McDeen — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Court of Appeal Second Circuit  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Isaac Montañez  
(Your Name)

65 N. Lake Ave  
(Address)

Albany, NY 12206  
(City, State, Zip Code)

(518) 248-9621  
(Phone Number)

## QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Defendant discriminated on me on the basis of race and national origin. I was "set up" by defendant to get fired and ~~honest~~ and was treated different from other employes because I turn down defendant Sexual Advances. Therefore I was subject to wrongfull writting ups and falsely accused of breaching Security. I was also wrongfully terminated due to retaliation.

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

Montañez vs Cheesecake Factory

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A *Lower Court decisions*

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES		PAGE NUMBER
Montanez	J. Luna	
Montanez	v. McDerm	

## STATUTES AND RULES

Discrimination of Race, National Origin &  
Disability.

## OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[ reported at Court of Appeals]; or,  
[ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: Sep 18 2019, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Discrimination of Race, national origin, Disability  
Wrongful termination, Retaliation

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I was discriminated on basis of Race  
national Origin and disability. I was wrongfull  
terminated and retaliated

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The Court should Review because the lower court may be erroneous. This case is of national importance and should be reviewed by the Supreme Court.

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Isaac Montañez

Date: Dec 18, 19