

No. 19-7085

In the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Ratha Oeur, Petitioner,

v.


County of Los Angeles, California, Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the
Supreme Court of the State of California

PETITION FOR REHEARING

RATHA OEUR
61 EAST 56TH STREET
LONG BEACH, CA 90805
MOBILE PHONE: (562) 754-1137
EMAIL: OEURMICHAEL@AOL.COM

PETITIONER IN PRO PER



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 19-7085

Ratha Oeur, Petitioner,

v.

County of Los Angeles, California, Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the
Supreme Court of the State of California

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Pursuant to Rule 44 of this Court, the petitioner Ratha Oeur respectfully petitions for rehearing of this case. This petition for rehearing is filed within 25 days of this Court's decision in the case.

Redmond Barnes excluded crucial information from the court's docket. The judges of the court did not have all the information needed to make a judgement.

On December 23, 2019, Sonia Lopez served the United States Supreme Court and all parties with my Petition for a Writ of Certiorari.

My Petition for a Writ of Certiorari was received by the United States Supreme Court on December 27, 2019 and placed on the docket on December 30, 2019.

On January 4, 2020, I downloaded my Petition for a Writ of Certiorari from the United States Supreme Court docket. The files that I downloaded are as follows:

1. Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis
2. Petition
3. Appendix
4. Proof of Service

After reviewing the files I noticed that the Appendix on the docket was not correct. The Appendix on the docket did not record or reflect all of the files from the Appendix in my petition. (that was sent by Sonia Lopez on December 23, 2019)

On the Table of Contents page under the Appendix section there are three separate appendix items listed. The items are;

APPENDIX A, Supreme Court Decision, on page 1A, consisting of 1 page

APPENDIX B, Court of Appeals Decision, on page 2A, consisting of 18 pages (the last three pages are fee waiver form and denial of request for rehearing)

APPENDIX C, District Court Decision, on page 20A, consisting of 6 pages

The total page count for the Appendix section should be 25 pages. The page count for the Appendix section found on the docket is 15.

The Appendix on the docket did not record APPENDIX C, District Court Decision, the Cover Page to APPENDIX B, Court of Appeals Decision, or the fee waiver form and denial of request for rehearing.

On January 08, 2020 I filed a letter of omissions with the court requesting that the court include Appendix C, the District court Decision made by Commissioner Angela Villegas, to the Appendix on the United States Supreme Court docket.

On January 16, 2020 I called the court and spoke with a clerk by the name of Kelly. The clerk forwarded me to Redmond Barnes. I left a message on the voicemail of Redmond Barnes concerning the exclusion of documents in the Appendix section of my Petition for Certiorari.

On January 21, 2020 I left messages on Jeff Atkins voicemail informing Mr. Atkins I have called Mr. Barnes multiple times and was unable to speak with him.

I was able to speak with Mr. Barnes on 21 or 22 of January 2020. I informed Redmond Barnes that he had excluded documents Appendix C from the docket. I also informed Redmond Barnes that I sent the court a letter of Omissions with Appendix C attached.

Redmond Barnes informed me that he had the Appendix C and that it was in my case folder. Mr Barnes informed me that he had received the letter of omissions and the judges would have access to the file if they wanted to see those files. The exclusion of Appendix C, the order made by Commissioner Angela Villegas, is pertinent to the case. The order clearly states that Commissioner Angela Villegas is not a judge. The order also shows that Commissioner Angela Villegas made a child support order without a current Income and Expense Declaration from both Ratha Oeur or Channa Oeur.


The exclusion of the order from the court's docket by Redmond Barnes left out crucial information pertaining to my case. The judges of the court did not have all the information needed to make a judgement.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the petition for rehearing should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 09, 2020




by: Ratha Oeur

Petitioner In Pro Per

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

I hereby certify that this petition for rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay, and that it is restricted to the grounds specified in Supreme Court Rule 44.2.

Dated: April 09, 2020

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Ratha Oeur', is written over a horizontal line.

by: Ratha Oeur

Petitioner In Pro Per