

United States Supreme Court

In Re: Ramsey Randall

o Petition for Re-Hearing/
o Reconsideration Nunc Pro Tunc
o

o No: 19-7058
o

RECEIVED

MAR 11 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Request

And now on this 1st day of March in the year of 2020; I, Ramsey Randall (Pro Se) comes before this court to petition for re-hearing/re-consideration Nunc Pro Tunc.

Ground(s)

- 1) An appeal by this petitioner of a §2254 decision to stay the Writ for state exhaustion requirements in contention to Rhines v. Weber, 544 U.S. 269, 125 S.Ct. 1528, 161 L.Ed. 2d 440 determination is currently pending. see Fed. App. 3rd. No: 18-2381
- 2) The dispute in which this petition and the above-mentioned appeal contains the substantial issue that was decided by this court in Lozman v. Riviera, 138 S.Ct. 1945 (2018) surrounding the First Amendment; and the determination by this court would aid the Federal Appeals determination/decision in the face of an unappealable or appealable collateral order.

3) The primary respondent's counsel of record has not submitted a notice to the court as to whether they will or won't contend the petition or the facts within it. US Supreme Court Rule 15-see enclosed exhibits

4) The matter/Writ decision sought ~~and~~ holds a level of national importance for all citizens, news, social media, and journalistic/reporting entities which will combine a NEW precedent for First Amendment cases, and the current state of libel/defamation laws).

Wherefore, a Nunc Pro Tunc re-hearing/reconsideration is appropriate to determine the retroactivity of this Writ aligns with its prior precedent.

Thus, re-hearing should be granted and the respondent's counsel of record ought to be so ORDERED by the court to submit notice whether or not it is contending the Writ.

This request is presented in good faith on the grounds of this action's respondent counsel entered ^{ing} an appearance; yet, not a brief or notice of their stance. A response brief will allow sufficient determination by this court to provide the guideline(s) for the appropriate action. The intervening circumstances of this Writ alleviates any further review by the Federal Appeals Court.

Re-hearing should be granted.

I do so declare under the penalty of perjury that all is true and correct 1884904, and that this is submitted in good faith and not for delay.

Respectfully,

~~Ramsey~~
~~Randall~~ ~~Prose~~
RAMSEY/RANDALL-ND0295
SCI-MAHANOY
301 Moreo Rd.
Frankville, PA 17932